

AMENDMENT SHEET

Planning Committee
13 December 2018

AMENDMENTS OF CONDITIONS AND REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

- 7.1 181309 – Land to the north of Elmstead Road/East of Swan Close, Colchester

SUDS Response (6th December 2018)

Having reviewed the Flood Risk Assessment and the associated documents which accompanied the planning application, we wish to issue **a holding objection** to the granting of planning permission based on the following:

The pumping station proposed is not a sustainable form of drainage. Therefore, a more sustainable approach needs to be taken.

In the event that more information was supplied by the applicants then the County Council may be in a position to withdraw its objection to the proposal once it has considered the additional clarification/details that are required.

Update

The Applicant has submitted an alternative drainage proposal (a pond in lieu of a pumping station) in response to SUDS comments. The SUDS Team at Essex County Council have been consulted and a response is awaited.

- 7.2 182217 – Essex & Suffolk Gliding Club, Wormingford Airfield, Frdham Road, Wormingford

Mount Bures Parish Council:

Mount Bures Parish Council having heard all the objections to the above planning application is minded to not object to the application on the proviso that all conditions remain as they were for the original temporary planning application reference 150972.

During the past long hot summer of 2018, we understand that the TMG was launched no more than 100 times. We would ask that if the permission is granted, planners consider that the TMG be restricted to a maximum 100 launches per year.

We would also ask those considering this application ensure that they are ENTIRELY clear on the definition of a TMG.

We are concerned that gliders fitted with a sustainer motor could be considered as a TMG. It is our understanding that a TMG is capable of self-launching and sustained flight. A glider with a sustainer motor is NOT capable of self-launching. We are concerned that as some sustainer motors are JETS, and therefore very loud, that they will present a major problem for livestock in the surrounding area. We would ask that gliders with sustainer motors NOT be included within this application, and not be used at this club.

We make the above requests to ensure that the amenity of the surrounding area is not compromised any more than it already is. There are those within our, and surrounding parishes, who have grave concerns that once this permission becomes permanent, it could open up opportunities in the future for a less scrupulous management to exploit a commercial opportunity at the expense of the local area's amenity.

Please would the above comments and observations be considered as conditions to be attached to any permanent planning that might be granted.

Cadent Gas Pipelines Team

Do not object to the proposal in principle.

Local Representation

A Local Resident has submitted further comment reiterating their concerns regarding safety and the risk of air strike and explosion at the nearby BioGas Plant to the south of the site.

Case Officer response: The BioGas Plant was approved prior to the temporary TMG permission, but does not look to have been constructed until after the temporary permission was granted. It is considered that the use of the airfield by TMG would have been in place at the time that the biogas plant was constructed. The Health and Safety Executive (HSE) provide details on the safety reports required for methane gas holders at each stage of development and such reports need to demonstrate that they have taken all measures necessary to prevent major accidents and to limit the consequences to people and the environment of any that do occur. Similarly, the airfield would need to comply with requirements of the Civil Aviation Authority in terms of safety, as well as other matters. Both matters are dealt with outside the planning system.

The HSE, as well as Cadent Gas, have been consulted on the application and have no objection to the proposal. The Case Officer assessment of the proposal is informed by this professional advice.