AMENDMENT SHEET

Planning Committee 13th April 2017

AMENDMENTS OF CONDITIONS AND REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

7.2 170466 – George Street, Colchester

Please see attached letter

The attached representation was not received within the normal consultation period, however, it has been reproduced here in the interests of openness and transparency. It was also passed to Colchester Borough Homes who confirmed that it is their policy to offer residents their choice of door style from the list of approved types shown on drawing A-1516-PL 07. It was pointed out to them that the replacement door schedule seemed to indicate that all front doors would be replaced with door type D1. In order to rectify this a replacement door schedule has been submitted so the condition dealing with the approved plans is to be amended to include this as follows:

ZAM - *Development to Accord with Approved Plans*

The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the details shown on the submitted Drawing Numbers A-1516-PL-01, A-1516-PL-06, A-1516-PL-07 **REVISION A**, A-1516-PL-08, A-1516-PL-09 and A-1516-PL-10.

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt as to the scope of this permission and in the interests of proper planning.

7.3 170488 – Lancaster Toyota, Axial Way, Colchester

Myland Community Council have no objection to the proposal.

89 Maidenburgh Street, Colchester, Essex, CO1 1TT

Daniel Cameron Colchester Borough Council Rowan House 33 Sheepen Road Colchester CO3 3WG

Dear Mr. Cameron,

Re: Planning Application Ref 170466

I am writing about see above planning application to replace windows and doors at various locations in the Dutch Quarter including my own home 89 Maidenburgh Street.

I am really upset at myself to find that I am just outside the time period of three weeks for comments, which has happened due to illness over the past fortnight and losing track of paperwork and dates as a result. I only have one comment to make and very much hope but you will be able to take it into account.

My comment is about the intention to replace our front doors which currently have two long panes of glass in their upper half from (waist height up), with more solid doors that have two much smaller square panes of glass set up high (so that you can't see out). This change will greatly reduce the light that comes into the hallway of these flats currently. On the west side of Maidenburgh Street our front doors face east and look immediately on to high buildings opposite, which means they get very little sunlight other than first thing in the morning. The existing door design maximizes the light coming into the flat on this side. Without them the hallway will receive virtually no light and be dark and gloomy as a result.

The longer glass panes also have an important security function in that they enable me to immediately see who is at the door. This is particularly important in this part of the Dutch Quarter where many of the residents are elderly. Although I am disabled and a fulltime wheelchair user, I do not regard myself as particularly vulnerable, yet even so I realize I do value this visual contact with the outside from a safety point of view.

For both reasons please reconsider the chosen design of doors for these flats. I've lived here for 20 years and we've always had the current door design, so there is a precedent for replacing like with like. The doors are not unsuited to the character of the area and to my knowledge nobody has raised an issue with their design. Please, please therefore reconsider and give us the benefit of more light and greater security!

					bmission			

Yours sincerely,

Rowena Macaulay