

Subject:

FW: Planning Application: 213086

Attachments:

image001.png; image002.jpg; image005.jpg; Objection DM (06-12-22).docx

-----Original Message-----

From: Cllr Michael Lilley <Michael.Lilley@colchester.gov.uk>

Sent: 30 January 2023 10:14

To: Simon Cairns <Simon.Cairns@colchester.gov.uk>; Karen Syrett <Karen.Syrett@colchester.gov.uk>; Eleanor Moss <Eleanor.Moss@colchester.gov.uk>; Robert Carmichael <Robert.Carmichael@colchester.gov.uk>

Subject: Fw: Planning Application: 213086

Morning, I and others have received this email so for Transparency and information ive sent it to you Guys.
Mike

From: Matt Free

Sent: 30 January 2023 09:45

To: Cllr Michael Lilley; Cllr Lyn Barton; Cllr Nigel Chapman; Cllr Helen Chuah; Cllr Roger Mannion; Cllr Sam McCarthy; Cllr Chris Pearson; Cllr Leigh Tate; Cllr Martyn Warnes

Cc: Cllr Kevin Bentley; Daniel Markham

Subject: Planning Application: 213086

This email was sent to you from outside of our organisation.

Hi All,

I'm sorry for reaching out to you all, but I don't know where else to turn.

Can I please ask that you take the time to read this email as I , along with the residents of Copford, feel that the case officer for Planning Application 213086 has provided you with a Committee Report, which is not factual correct and is missing some key questions which I would like to share with you so that you can all make an informed partial decision based on having all the evidence at your disposal.

The case officer has recommended the application for approval, but I understand it will be up to Planning Committee to determine the application.

There are elements of the attached objection that have been brushed over in favour of the need for affordable housing approval on a proposed rural exception site. I would really appreciate it if you could take the time to read this objection so that you are getting the full picture and not just a biased view.

I have emailed the case officer and asked, 'why isn't the text, as shown below, part of your report? The full objection, dated 15/12/22, needs to be shared with all councillors making the decision about this planning application so that they are not prejudiced. Is this something that can happen? If not, then can you explain why not?'

[cid:image002.jpg@01D9348D.F386EF80]

I would like to feel that CBC planning department are fully transparent and would provide all the key information to ensure that councillors are not biased in any way.

Please see the link to the 'Copford with Easthorpe Neighbourhood Plan', which clearly states that Pits Wood and surrounding open aspect views have high local value and contribute to the nature of the Parish.

<https://colchester.oc2.uk/document/30/2396#topofdoc>

[cid:image005.jpg@01D9348F.9D853220]

I agree that there are needs to affordable housing, but not in the heart of our community and in an area that is held in such a high regard that it is shown on the website and within the emerging local plan.

Once again, I am so sorry for having to reach out, but there must be alternative sites in Copford for this type of development.

Kind regards,
Matt

Matt Free MSLL



Disclaimer: The information in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged.

It is intended solely for the addressee and others authorised to receive it.

If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender.

Any disclosure, copying, distribution or action taken in reliance on its contents is prohibited and may be unlawful.