



The Ordnance Survey map data included within this publication is provided by Colchester Borough Council of Rowan House, 33 Sheepen Road, Colchester CO3 3WG under licence from the Ordnance Survey in order to fulfil its public function to act as a planning authority. Persons viewing this mapping should contact Ordnance Survey copyright for advice where they wish to licence Ordnance Survey map data for their own use. This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey Material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller Of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Crown Copyright 100023706 2017

Item No: 7.1

Application: 172049

Applicant: Mr Robert Eburne

Agent: Ms Nicky Parsons

Proposal: Development of site to provide 100 dwellinghouses (Class C3) with access from Chitts Hill, associated on-site infrastructure, open space, landscaping and parking.(REVISED PLANS AND REPORTS RECEIVED)

Location: Land west of, Chitts Hill, Stanway

Ward: Stanway

Officer: Lucy Mondon

Recommendation: Approval

1.0 Reason for Referral to the Planning Committee

- 1.1 This application is referred to the Planning Committee because the proposal is a departure from the adopted Local Plan by virtue of it being outside the adopted settlement boundary of Colchester. In addition, the proposal constitutes major development where objections have been received and the recommendation is for approval. A s106 legal agreement is also required.

2.0 Synopsis

- 2.1 The key issues for consideration are principle of development; landscape and trees; heritage; contamination; flood risk and drainage; ecology; highway matters; layout and design; and amenity. Other material planning matters are also considered.
- 2.2 The comments submitted by statutory consultees, as well as other consultees and local representations are also considered.
- 2.3 The application is subsequently recommended for approval subject to conditions and a legal agreement.

3.0 Site Description and Context

- 3.1 The application site is currently a fallow field, measuring approximately 6.73 hectares in area. The site lies outside the Colchester settlement boundary, although it is adjacent to it on its western, southern, and eastern boundary.
- 3.2 Existing access to the field is via Iron Latch Lane, which is a Bridleway Public Right of Way (PROW 149). Iron Latch Lane runs along the western boundary of the site and leads over the railway line (along the northern boundary of the site) to Iron Latch Nature Reserve to the north-west of the site. The nature reserve is designated as a local wildlife site. Immediately to the north of the site is an area of designated open space.
- 3.3 The site is identified as being Grade 2 Agricultural Land. The site is grassed and there are a number of trees within the site and on the boundaries. There are hedges and hedgerow trees to the eastern and western boundaries and there are TPO trees running through the site and on the western boundary (refs: 11/88 and G2). The northern boundary along the railway line is also heavily tree'd. On the southern boundary adjoining the Holmwood House School, there is a hit and miss fence, trees, and ditch, alongside the school buildings, and a dense conifer hedge around the school playing field. The site is relatively level apart from a significant dip in ground levels at the centre of the site.
- 3.4 The site is within a SSSI Impact Risk Zone.
- 3.5 In terms of context, the site is on the edge of an existing residential area. Properties are quite mixed in terms of type and age, although they are generally detached or semi-detached houses (two-storey). Recent

development has taken place on a site to the west of the application site (the 'Railway Sidings', now called 'Oliver's Grove'); this is a Hopkins Homes development of houses and flats. However, as the site levels are considerably lower than its surroundings, the development is consequently not overly prominent.

4.0 Description of the Proposal

4.1 The application seeks full planning permission for 100 dwellings, accessed from Chitts Hill. The proposals include on-site infrastructure, open space, landscaping, and parking.

4.2 Details of housing mix and parking provision as follows:

100 No. Residential Units

Private: 70 units

16 two-bed

27 three-bed

27 four-bed

Affordable: 30 units 30%

8 two-bed

12 three-bed

10 four-bed

Car Parking:

76 Garages

35 Carports

163 Parking Spaces

Visitor Parking:

8 Car Parking Spaces

4 Powered two-wheel spaces

Cycle Storage:

One-bed 1 cycle space

Two-bed 2 cycle spaces

Three-bed 2 cycle spaces

Four-bed 4 cycle spaces

Five-bed 4 cycle spaces

4.3 Public open space is provided on-site that would include a Locally Equipped Area for Play (LEAP) and Trim Trail. The area of public open space to be provided on site exceeds 2 hectares, equating to approximately 31% of the site area. Cycle paths and an informal Bridleway is also proposed.

4.4 In addition to plans and drawings that show the site location, scheme layout, landscape masterplan, tree survey and protection plan, drainage strategy, and floor plans and elevations, the application is supported by the following documents:

- Planning Statement;

- Design and Access Statement;
- Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment;
- Archaeological Evaluation;
- Geophysical Survey Report;
- Arboricultural Impact Assessment;
- Extended Phase 1 Habitat Survey;
- Hedgerow Survey;
- Agricultural Land Classification and Soil Resources;
- Transport Assessment;
- Framework Travel Plan;
- Road Safety Audit;
- Statement of Community Engagement;
- Phase I/II Geoenvironmental Assessment;
- Health Impact Assessment;
- Noise and Vibration Assessment;
- Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment;
- Sustainability Statement;
- Flood Risk Assessment;
- Shadow Habitats Regulations Assessment.

5.0 Land Use Allocation

- 5.1 Unallocated in adopted Local Plan. The site is allocated for residential development (100 dwellings) in the Emerging Local Plan, policy WC2.

6.0 Relevant Planning History

- 6.1 There is no site-specific planning history, but the adjacent site (to west) has been developed following planning permission for 123 residential properties, associated infrastructure, public open space, equipped play space and public highway works (ref: 120848).

7.0 Principal Policies

- 7.1 Planning law requires that applications for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the development plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) must be taken into account in planning decisions and is a material consideration, setting out national planning policy. Colchester's Development Plan is in accordance with these national policies and is made up of several documents as follows below.
- 7.2 The adopted Colchester Borough Core Strategy (adopted 2008, reviewed 2014) contains local strategic policies. Particular to this application, the following policies are most relevant:
- SD1 - Sustainable Development Locations
 - SD2 - Delivering Facilities and Infrastructure
 - SD3 - Community Facilities
 - H1 - Housing Delivery

- H2 - Housing Density
- H3 - Housing Diversity
- H4 - Affordable Housing
- UR2 - Built Design and Character
- PR1 - Open Space
- PR2 - People-friendly Streets
- TA1 - Accessibility and Changing Travel Behaviour
- TA2 - Walking and Cycling
- TA3 - Public Transport
- TA5 - Parking
- ENV1 - Environment
- ER1 - Energy, Resources, Waste, Water and Recycling

7.3 The adopted Colchester Borough Development Policies (adopted 2010, reviewed 2014) sets out policies that apply to new development. Specific to this application are policies:

- DP1 Design and Amenity
- DP2 Health Assessments
- DP3 Planning Obligations and the Community Infrastructure Levy
- DP12 Dwelling Standards
- DP16 Private Amenity Space and Open Space Provision for New Residential Development
- DP17 Accessibility and Access
- DP19 Parking Standards
- DP20 Flood Risk and Management of Surface Water Drainage
- DP21 Nature Conservation and Protected Lanes

7.6 Submission Colchester Borough Local Plan 2017-2033:

The Council is developing a new Local Plan that has been submitted to the Planning Inspectorate (October 2017). An Inspector has been appointed and the formal examination commenced in January 2018. The examination is ongoing.

Paragraph 48 of the Framework states that decision makers may give weight to relevant policies in emerging plans according to:

1. The stage of preparation of the emerging plan;
2. The extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies in the emerging plan; and
3. The degree of consistency of relevant policies to the policies in the Framework.

The Emerging Local Plan is at an advanced stage and is, therefore, considered to carry some weight in the consideration of the application. The application site is an allocated site within the emerging plan (policy WC2) so the weight to be afforded is particularly relevant. Detailed consideration of this is set out in Section 16 of this report.

7.7 Regard should also be given to the following adopted Supplementary Planning Documents (SPD):

- Backland and Infill

- Community Facilities
- Vehicle Parking Standards
- Sustainable Design and Construction
- Open Space, Sport and Recreation
- Extending your House
- The Essex Design Guide
- External Materials in New Developments
- Affordable Housing
- Cycle Delivery Strategy
- Sustainable Drainage Systems Design Guide
- Street Services Delivery Strategy
- Stanway Joint Design Statement and Parish Plan

8.0 Consultations

- 8.1 The stakeholders who have been consulted and who have given consultation responses are as set out below. More information may be set out on our website.

Anglian Water:

The foul drainage from this development is in the catchment of Colchester Water Recycling Centre that will have available capacity for these flows. The sewerage system at present has available capacity for these flows. Recommended informative regarding Anglian Water assets and the Water Industry Act 1991.

Arboricultural Officer:

The Arboricultural Officer is in agreement with the submitted tree survey and Arboricultural Impact Assessment. The proposed development does require the felling of a number of trees but given the size, species and location of these it is deemed of limited impact to the wider area and is therefore acceptable in arboricultural terms.

No objection subject to conditions to make the tree report an approved document; secure tree protection; and ensure hand excavation underneath the canopies of tree.

Archaeological Adviser:

An adequate desk-based assessment, geophysical survey and trial-trenched evaluation has been undertaken for this proposed development site. On the basis of the results of these surveys, no further predetermination archaeological evaluation is required. These assessments have identified archaeological remains within the area of trial trenches T22 and T23 and these will require further investigation (preservation by excavation) in advance of development commencing in this part of the development site. In addition, the east edge of the development site, the location of the proposed access onto Chitts Hill, is across the line of Gryme's Dyke (HER no. MCC7464). Late Iron Age Colchester was protected on its western edge by a series of defensive earthworks known as the Dykes and Gryme's Dyke is the latest of these; a dyke is a bank formed from the earth dug out of a defensive ditch. A trial trenched investigation of the road line, and quite likely full excavation, will be required in this part of the site, across the Dyke in advance of development.

There are now no grounds to consider refusal of permission in order to achieve preservation *in situ* of any important heritage assets. However, in accordance with the *National Planning Policy Framework* (Paragraph 141), any permission granted should be the subject of a planning condition to record and advance understanding of the significance of any heritage asset before it is damaged or destroyed. A condition for an archaeological investigation is recommended.

Building Control:

No comments received.

Contaminated Land Officer:

Having considered the GEMCO Phase I/II Geoenvironmental Assessment (ref: 905 R01 Issue 1), dated 15th December 2016, it is concluded that there are no significant pollution linkages presently associated with the site, and that no remedial actions are required to make the site suitable for the proposed use. The report is satisfactory for Environmental Protection's purposes and, based on the information provided, this initial risk assessment would seem reasonable and it would appear that the proposed additional works would not preclude the safe development of the site as far as contamination matters are concerned. Consequently, since the applicant's specialist environmental consultants have recommended:

- Further ground gas monitoring to confirm the risks;
- That the relevant service providers should be consulted with respect to their requirements for buried services (note: it is likely that the drinking water service provider is Anglian Water rather than the stated Essex and Suffolk Water);
- That any imported engineering fill and / or topsoil must be demonstrated to be suitable for use; and
- A discovery strategy for any unexpected contamination encountered during the development works should be put in place.

Conditions are recommended for site characterisation, the implementation of an approved remediation scheme, and the procedure to follow should any unexpected contamination be encountered.

Environment Agency:

The development proposal does not raise any specific environmental issues within our statutory planning remit and accordingly we have no comments.

Environmental Protection:

No objection subject to conditions: detailed acoustic mitigation report; details of acoustic barrier along the railway line; control of demolition and construction hours of working and deliveries; scheme to mitigate the impacts on local air quality.

Essex Bridleways Association:

The proposed site is adjacent to an existing bridleway – Iron Latch Lane, number 149_33 – on its western perimeter (marked on your External Works Layout diagram as a track). As a minimum, we would expect this bridleway to remain accessible and unspoilt. We note that a cycleway is proposed to the north of the site exiting onto that bridleway, and suggest that rather than a cycleway being constructed, that a bridleway is created instead which will ensure that the site is accessible to all non-motorised uses rather than excluding equestrians as a significant user group. Safe access onto the existing bridleway will be necessary, especially if cyclists are likely to enter the bridleway at speed.

There is an aspiration within the Rights of Way Improvement Plan (currently being reviewed) to link this bridleway 149_33 southwards with 127_217 off New Farm Road. Currently, users need to traverse the busy Halstead Road, over the A12 bridge, and thereon along King Coel Road and New Farm Road. It would be far safer for all users if safe access through the site is provided, accessing Chitts Hill (which is a 30mph road) thereon over the A12 bridge and King Coel Road. The greensward area running parallel to the railway line would be ideal for east-west access. Some additional works to the A12 bridge – for example closing in parapets – would be a welcome enhancement for equestrians.

Essex County Fire and Rescue:

No comments received.

Essex Partnership for Flood Management:

No comments received.

Essex Police:

Essex Police raised some concerns in respect of the original layout (rear boundaries of dwellings adjacent open space resulting in minimal surveillance).

[Case Officer Note: These aspects of the proposal have since been revised.]

Essex Wildlife Trust:

Satisfied that the necessary survey work has been undertaken and agree the proposed mitigation. The Essex Wildlife Trust therefore have no objection subject to an Ecological Mitigation and Enhancement Plan being produced to guide the effective implementation of the proposed mitigation measures and habitat creation/enhancement proposals. This can be secured by condition.

Highways England:

No objection subject to a condition to secure a Travel Plan.

Highway Authority:

The proposal is acceptable to the Highway Authority from a highway and transportation perspective subject to conditions for a construction traffic management plan; visibility splays; additional footway to connect the Colchester bound bus stop in Halstead Road with the existing footway; and Residential Travel Information Packs.

Landscape Officer:

The Council's Landscape Officer concludes that they cannot support the application on landscape policy grounds. Main points:

- The site lies outside the settlement boundary and is therefore subject to Core Policy ENV1. ENV1 requires that 'unallocated green-field land outside of settlement boundaries (to be defined/reviewed in the Site Allocations DPD) will be protected and where possible enhanced, in accordance with the Landscape Character Assessment. Within such areas development will be strictly controlled to conserve the environmental assets and open character of the Borough'.
- The site lies within Landscape Charter Area A5, this identifies a key planning issue as 'potential pressure from expansion of Colchester', it sets a landscape strategy objective to 'conserve and enhance' the landscape character of the Area, with a landscape planning guideline to 'Ensure any new development on the valley side is small-scale' and a landscape management guideline to 'conserve and enhance the existing hedgerows'.
- Ensure any new development on valley sides is small-scale, responds to historic settlement pattern, form and building materials.
- The hedge on the eastern boundary will be breached to allow for the vehicular access to the site. This hedge is protected under the Hedgerows Regulations 1997 (HR97), and, contrary to the findings of the submitted Hedgerow Survey Summary, has been found to be classified as 'important' under HR97 (see Appendix 2) by the LPA who are the assessing authority. This hedge needs to be emphasised as the most valuable landscape feature on site. Given its status there is a presumption, in landscape terms at least, that it will be retained intact. Note: the central hedge on site is also classified as Important under the Hedgerows regulations 1997 (see Appendix 2), though it would appear no works are currently proposed to this hedge. It is recommended the Hedgerow Survey Summary is removed from the application as the local authority are the assessors of hedgerows protected under HR97 and the applicants own Landscape Appraisal acknowledges that the hedges are 'Important'.
- It should be considered within the planning balance that the proposal, introducing as it does a large-scale urban development with proposals to remove the existing protected 'important' field hedge frontage is not compatible with its rural location. This as it fails to conserve and enhance the existing open field character of the site and dilutes the sites protected field hedgerow structure, fragmenting the rural setting of Colchester (which is characterised by 'A mosaic of medium to large-sized irregular and regular, predominantly arable fields with medium hedgerows containing semi-mature/ mature hedgerow trees') and thereby fails to comply with the requirements of the Colchester Borough Landscape Character Assessment and therefore Core Policy ENV1.

Natural England:

It has been identified that this development site falls within the 'Zone of Influence' (Zoi) of one or more of the European designated sites scoped into the Essex Coast Recreational disturbance Avoidance and Mitigation Strategy (RAMS). Under the provisions of the Habitats Regulations, it is anticipated that, without mitigation, new residential development in this location is 'likely to have a significant effect' on one or more European designated sites, through increased recreational pressure, wither when considered 'alone' or 'in combination' with other plans and projects.

Natural England are satisfied the the Appropriate Assessment undertaken by the Local Authority is in line with the Natural England strategic-level advice. The proposed mitigation should rule out an 'adverse effect on the integrity' of the European designated sites that are included within the Essex Coast RAMS from increased recreational disturbance.

A condition (or planning obligation) is recommended to secure the mitigation measures.

Network Rail:

Repeated consultations have been sent to Network Rail since August 2017 (when the application was registered). Despite assurances from Network Rail that comments would be forthcoming, no consultation response has been submitted.

NHS:

[Case Officer Note: Comments have been provided as part of the Council's Development Team. See Section 15 of this report.]

Planning Policy:

[Case Officer Note: Planning Policy have provided detailed comments in respect of the policy background and status of the emerging plan in respect of this application. These matters are included in Section 16 of this report.]

The Ramblers Association:

No comments received.

RSPB:

No comments received.

Sport England:

Housing is proposed immediately adjacent to an area of playing fields. Whilst there is no direct loss of playing field caused by the proposed development, Sport England has assessed the application to ensure the proximity of housing would not prejudice the use of the playing field for example by introducing a risk of noise complaints or cricket ball strike.

The English Cricket Board have been consulted and confirm that the playing fields at Holmwood Preparation School are mainly used by the school, but there is community use of the cricket pitches (and indoor sport hall) next to the proposed housing development. This is primarily for junior cricket and some occasional use by an adult cricket team. The grass cricket pitches are used for junior cricket mainly by the school and it is unlikely that the proposed housing development next to the school playing fields would be adversely affected by ball strike from school use (with juniors not hitting the ball so hard/far and younger age groups using a softer ball). However, given the potential older junior/adult club team use, in order to confirm there is not a ball strike risk or ensure appropriate mitigation, a risk assessment should be conducted by specialists Labosport and, if necessary, mitigation undertaken such as the installation of ball stop netting if recommended.

Sport England therefore have no objection to the proposals subject to a condition that requires a Labosport Ball Strike Assessment and any recommended mitigation measures as a result.

Street Services:

No comments received.

SUDs:

No objection subject to conditions for a detailed surface water drainage scheme; a scheme to minimise the risk of offsite flooding during construction works; and a maintenance and management plan.

The Woodland Trust:

The application was assessed against our criteria for comment and it was identified that there are no aged or veteran trees on site nor is it adjacent to woodland recorded on the Ancient Woodland Inventory nor is it adjacent to one of our sites. Therefore, the Woodland Trust will not be submitting comment on this occasion.

Urban Designer:

Extensive negotiations have taken place with the Council's Urban Designer, resulting in a number of revisions to the design and layout of the scheme. In response to the latest scheme, the Urban Designer has recommended revisions as follows:

- Push parking spaces behind building line (11 plots identified) [Case Officer comment: This would have implications whereby cars could park in the remaining space between the road and the formal car parking space and

extending over footways. Examples of this undesirable design is included in the EPOA Vehicle Parking Standards SPD. As such, the amendment has not been sought.];

- Traffic calming measures should be introduced informed by Highways guidance in the new (2018) Essex Design Guide and tailored (de-engineered) for increased characterisation, e.g. localised narrowing characterised by landscaping including trees [Case Officer comment: some road narrowing has been negotiated with the Highway Authority in response to the Urban Designer comments. Some of the recommended amendments would not, however allow the roads to be adopted by the Highway Authority so are considered to be inappropriate to pursue further. Traffic calming measures will be required by the Highway Authority];
- Visitor spaces that 'eat' into green infrastructure where there is adequate scope for on-carriageway parking (or where dwellings have three plus on-plot parking spaces) should be omitted (3 instances identified) [Case Officer comment: The provision of visitor spaces is important and, given that only 3 instances have been identified by the Urban Designer this is not considered to be a justifiable reason to refuse planning permission in this case.];
- Pedestrian link to Chitts Hill at south-east corner of site recommended [Case Officer comment: This would result in further breaches to the boundary hedge which would be undesirable. Pedestrian access to Chitts Hill is provided via the footpaths alongside the road. This request has not, therefore, been taken forward.];
- Request for clarification as to how the landscape buffer at the southern edge of the site will be maintained [Case Officer comment: The Applicant has confirmed that this would be via a management company. This will be secured via the s106 agreement.];
- Recommended conditions:
 - Precise details of materials. Informatives should also be added to ensure consistency with the Essex Design Guide, i.e. weatherboarding to be natural timber, 'clay' tiles to be natural clay plain tiles and not pantiles except on subsidiary buildings, and 'black/grey' tiles should be natural slate or slim-line fibre-cement slates.
 - Key details should be conditioned in accordance with the Essex Design Guide and traditional style, including (with informatives in brackets), windows and doors, window and door reveals (at least 100mm), plinth projection, window surround projections, door surrounds, eaves (avoiding boxed eaves), rainwater goods and service intakes.
 - Details of boundary walls and fences.

9.0 Parish Council Response

9.1 The Parish Council have stated that they raise no objections to the proposal, although they note the numerous objections from local residents.

10.0 Representations from Notified Parties

10.1 The application resulted in a number of notifications to interested third parties including neighbouring properties. The full text of all of the representations received is available to view on the Council's website. However, a summary of the material considerations is given below.

10.2 The application has undergone a number of amendments since its original submission. Local Residents were reconsulted following resubmissions, although were not reconsulted on resubmissions that solely amended internal layout and design as these amendments did not relate to issues that had been raised by local residents. All comments received will be summarised below for completeness; an update will be provided where certain issues have been superseded.

10.3 Four general observations:

- 100 dwellinghouses will generate possibly 200 plus vehicles with only one exit from the estate resulting in increased congestion given that Chitts Hill is already a very busy road, the traffic generated by Holmwood House School, and the railway crossing gates;
- Having experienced years of construction traffic using Iron Latch Lane for the Oliver's Grove development, assurances need to be given that the access to the site would be restricted to Chitts Hill and that there would be no vehicular access to Iron Latch Lane (as stated in the submitted Design and Access Statement);
- The main sewer collapsed following years of construction at the adjacent site;
- Waste of good agricultural land;
- Would like to connect to mains sewer if one is provided;
- Extra traffic would cause more problems;
- Speeding problem in this area;

10.4 A total of 45 objections have been received, some of which are from the same households given the reconsultation process:

- View from home will be affected and this will impact on the value of our home;
- The development would affect business being run from home as the increased traffic will impede clients/customers/patients being able to access the property promptly;
- The developer has not been a good neighbor when developing the adjacent site (Oliver's Grove): heavy site lorries have been parked outside houses in the early morning and queuing on Halstead Road during the day; the bus stop at Iron Latch Lane was knocked over and replaced by a sign advertising the sales office;
- Procedural Matters:
 - Letter sent to Hopkins Homes as part of their Community Consultation has not been referred to in the application documents;
 - The application states 'redevelopment of site', but there is no development on site currently so this statement is misleading;
 - Consider that a separate planning application would be required for the access from Iron Latch Lane as it would be a change of use of the bridle path to a foot path;
- Principle of Development:

- The site is a greenfield site on the urban edge of Colchester and is close to an area of outstanding natural beauty and adjacent to a nature reserve {Case Officer Note: the site is approximately 4 miles from an area of outstanding natural beauty};
- The Council and Highways Agency opposed inclusion of the site in the Local Plan in 2008;
- The size and type of development is inappropriate being out of character with existing dwellings in the area, the rural character of the surrounding area and the surrounding landscape;
- The draft Local Plan has not yet been adopted by the Council;
- The draft Local Plan states that Chitts Hill is well-served by public transport, but there is no public transport convenient to the site;
- There are other sites around the Colchester area that could be earmarked for residential development which do not increase the risk to public safety;
- Urbanisation of Colchester;
- If the application is on land outside the Council's 5 year plan then it should be refused;
- The development would not provide any benefit or enhancement to the local community or rural economy;
- Highways and Sustainability:
 - The site is not readily accessible; there is no bus route on Chitts Hill and the service on Halstead Road is hourly which is not convenient for getting to school or work;
 - The proposal would increase the queues at the railway crossing (20 minutes being the norm), as well as the risk of cars being stuck on the level crossing if behind cars waiting to turn right into the site access;
 - The proposal for 'keep clear' markings at the access on Chitts Hill will increase queues to the railway crossing;
 - Cars queuing will increase emissions and noise;
 - Drivers are likely to try to overtake the queue resulting in risks of accidents;
 - Siting of access is dangerous due to its proximity to the railway crossing;
 - The traffic assessment underestimates vehicle movements from/to the site during the morning and evening peak hours;
 - People do not abide by the 30mph speed limit on Chitts Hill;
 - The development would add more pressure on all road systems in the area including the A12 and Tollgate;
 - The access/exit is too close to the exit from the school;
 - It would be better to have road access on Halstead Road and only horse/foot/cycle access to Chitts Hill;
 - There is only one footpath opposite the development site on Chitts Hill so the pedestrians will be forced to cross the road at the site entrance point;
 - Visibility splays would be inadequate;
- Infrastructure:
 - The local primary school is full to capacity and the secondary school is 8 miles away;
 - Not enough supporting infrastructure to support new houses (doctors, schools etc);

- Infrastructure cannot cope with a development of this size. There is no mains sewer at the application site and surface rain water flows to the railway;
- Flood Risk and Drainage:
 - The drainage strategy set out in the Flood Risk Assessment is vague and has inadequate proposals for maintenance;
 - Flooding issues on Chitts Hill;
 - The application refers to drainage to a pond, but the plan shows the pond in green so it has presumably been omitted; [Case Officer Note: the ponds are clearly shown on the submitted drawings]
- Ecology:
 - The development will adversely impact on the woodland to the north west of the site and the Iron Latch Nature Reserve;
 - The site is greenfield and any change to this would have a detrimental effect to the countryside and wildlife habitat;
- Landscape and Trees:
 - Area of outstanding natural beauty [Case Officer Note: The application site is not within an area of outstanding natural beauty; it is over 4 miles away].
 - Extensive infrastructure works would risk damage to trees;
 - 50 years ago the area was rural. The site is greenfield and should be kept as countryside;
 - The development will significantly affect the views across Colne Valley which is an area of special landscape value;
 - No proposals on how landscape issues will be dealt with after construction;
 - The Arboricultural Impact Assessment raises significant concerns about tree preservation and removal of trees important to the local area and landscape;
 - Visibility splays will not be possible without destroying valuable hedgerows;
- Design and Layout:
 - One and two bedroom dwellings are out of character with the surrounding development;
 - The horse/foot/cycle path is inconsistent as the application states that it will be kept separated from vehicles, but the plans show it merging with the road; [Case Officer Note: this is as a result of amendments to the layout]
- Impact on Holmwood House school:
 - Concern regarding security and safeguarding with housing close to the boundary of the school and properties having a direct views;
 - Do not want any 'gifting' or responsibility for ownership and maintenance of any buffer/boundary area to the school playing fields;
 - Queues of traffic often block the exit from the school and the development will increase this;
 - The school grounds and surrounding countryside are a very important part of the character, appearance and attraction of the school. A housing development adjacent to the school will have a negative impact on the aesthetics of the school and potentially impact on admissions;

11.0 Parking Provision

11.1 See Section 4 of this report.

12.0 Accessibility

12.1 Highway and sustainability matters will be discussed in the main body of the report.

12.2 In terms of the Equality Act, the proposal provides a variety of house types (apartments, houses, and bungalows ranging from one-bed to four-bed) that would be provide flexible choice for different lifestyle requirements. The dwellings would be capable of adaption. In addition, 3 No. bungalows would be either fully wheelchair adapted or capable of adaption.

13.0 Open Space Provisions

13.1 Development Plan policy DP16 requires new residential development to provide at least 10% of the site area as public open space. The proposal includes 2.08 hectares of public open space, that includes playspace and an informal bridleway, which equates to over 30% of the site area. The level of open space is therefore entirely in accordance with policy DP16.

14.0 Air Quality

14.1 The site is outside of any Air Quality Management Area and will not generate significant impacts upon the zones.

15.0 Planning Obligations

15.1 As a “Major” application, there was a requirement for this proposal to be considered by the Development Team. It was considered that Planning Obligations should be sought. The Obligations that would be agreed as part of any planning permission would be:

- Affordable Housing: 30% provision. The tenure mix of the affordable dwellings should be no less than 80% affordable rent and no more than 20% intermediate. Wheelchair accessible units should be provided.
- Community Facilities: £163,244 to be spent on the building of a new community centre on the Western Approaches Road.
- Education: £699,717 total (Primary £347,638 and Secondary £352,079). To be spent on: Primary Education Contribution for the expansion of/provision of additional primary school places at the new school to be built at land reserved on the Lakelands development. Secondary Education Contribution for the expansion of/provision of additional secondary school places.
- NHS: £36,271 to be spent on additional capacity at Ambrose Avenue Group Practice Branch Surgery.
- Public Open Space, Sport, and Recreation: £108,315.27 off-site contribution on the basis that on-site public open space and play facilities are provided and that these areas are maintained and managed and not

adopted by the Council. Contribution to be spent on ancillary facilities at Iron Latch Woods (adjacent to the application site).

15.2 Following notification of the necessary planning obligations, the Applicant submitted a Viability Assessment which concluded that the scheme could not support any planning contributions or affordable housing. The Viability Assessment was independently reviewed by a Consultant with the conclusion that the scheme was capable of meeting the planning contributions, as well as providing 30% affordable housing. Although the Applicant issued a rebuttal on certain points made by the Consultant, it was agreed that the necessary planning obligations and affordable housing requirements would be met.

15.3 A s106 legal agreement is subsequently being progressed to secure the above obligations. Further provisions will be required where they cannot be conditioned, such as securing the provision of public open space by an agreed trigger point. Mitigation measures under the Habitat Regulations and Essex Coast Recreational disturbance Avoidance Mitigation Strategy (RAMS) will also need to be secured via s106; further details are provided in the main body of this report.

16.0 Report

16.1 The main issues in this case are: principle of development; landscape and trees; heritage; contamination; flood risk and drainage; ecology; highway matters; layout and design; and amenity.

16.2 Principle of Development:

The site lies outside the current adopted settlement boundary of Colchester, although it is allocated for residential development in the emerging Local Plan. The emerging plan is at an advanced stage and therefore carries weight as a material planning consideration. Consideration of the principle of development needs to focus on the adopted local plan and the weight to be afforded to the emerging local plan.

16.3 Given the location outside of the settlement boundary, the proposal is a departure from the adopted Local Plan in terms of Core Strategy Policies SD1, ENV1, and ENV2 given that these policies direct new residential development to allocated sites, protect greenfield sites outside of settlement boundaries, and restrict development outside settlement boundaries to rural business, leisure, and tourism schemes.

16.4 Turning to the emerging Local Plan, the new Local Plan will inform development in the Borough over the period 2017 to 2033 and will include new housing allocations to meet the predicted growth of the Borough over that period. The Emerging Plan was submitted to the Planning Inspectorate (PINs) on 9 October 2017. Section One of the Local Plan is currently subject to examination. The Inspector wrote to the North Essex Authorities (NEAs) on 8 June 2018 outlining areas of future work required to progress the emerging Local Plan and three options for the NEAs to consider. A supplementary post hearing letter was also received on 27 June 2018 confirming the OAHN study and requirement figures contained in the Emerging Local Plan to be soundly based.

16.5 As the emerging plan is currently being examined, it is considered to be at an advanced stage. In terms of whether the emerging plan can be considered in the determination of planning applications, paragraph 48 of the NPPF states that decision-takers may give weight to relevant policies in emerging plans according to the stage of preparation, the extent to which there are unresolved objections and the degree of consistency to the policies in the Framework. The paragraphs below address the issue of weighting for relevant policies in the emerging plan.

16.6 Emerging Policy SG2 (Housing Delivery) states that:

The overall distribution of new housing, as shown in Table SG2, is guided by the settlement hierarchy set out in the Spatial Strategy and Policy SG1. New housing development will be focused on the following key areas:

- *Colchester urban area (place policies for Central, North, South, East and West Colchester)*
- *Tendring/Colchester Borders Garden Community*
- *Colchester/Braintree Borders Garden Community.*

Table SG2 Spatial Hierarchy states the hierarchy as the following:

Urban Area of Colchester

o Central Colchester

o South, East, North and West Colchester including Stanway and Myland and Braiswick

16.7 The proposal at Chitts Hill is located in Stanway which is within the Colchester Urban Area – West Colchester; and is therefore consistent with the spatial hierarchy and Policy SG2. A total of 31 representations to Policy SG2 have been made with a range of support and objection. A few comments relate to the need to be clearer and more transparent of the total housing provision (Essex County Council rep ref: 6205 and Maldon District Council rep ref: 7473). It is also suggested that the housing figure is too high given the spatial constraints of the borough when compared to Chelmsford and the Objectively Assessed Need (OAN) is considered to underestimate the housing need of Colchester (Gladman Development rep ref: 7167; House Builders Federation rep ref: 7150: and Persimmon Homes rep ref: 6916). Concerns are also raised for the infrastructure provisions that would be required, and when this would be delivered to support the total housing need across the Plan period (Colchester Hospital University Trust rep ref: 7199). It is suggested that greater reference to the Sustainable Settlements maintaining a 5-year land supply should be included within the Policy (Bloor Homes rep ref: 7248; Hopkins Homes rep ref: 7128). Additional comments to this policy relate to the Garden Community proposals and Sustainable Settlements allocations. Similarly, a number of alternative sites are proposed for inclusion within the Local Plan.

16.8 The initial LPA response to these comments are that a Minor Modification is proposed to add clarity to the total housing need figure. The Section One Local Plan Inspector has reported his findings on the NEAs OAN (Meeting the Need for New Homes, letter dated 27 June 2018). The Inspector endorses the figure of 920 dwellings per annum as representing the objectively-assessed housing need for Colchester (paragraph 35). In regard to the comments made by

Colchester Hospital University Trust, Bloor Homes, and Hopkins Homes, CBC will consider the need for modifications and this will be explored in more detail through the examination. Comments regarding Garden Communities and Sustainable Settlements will be resolved under the relevant site-specific policies.

16.9 Emerging Policy WC2 states that:

Allocations as shown on the policies map will be safeguarded for predominantly residential uses unless otherwise stated. In addition to meeting the requirements set out in Policy PP1 existing capacity issues at the primary schools will be addressed by planned expansion at a number of the schools in the short term and by the provision of a new primary school at Lakelands. A new school will also be required on 2.1 hectares of land to the north of London Road in a location to be decided. The primary school will be secured through a S106 agreement and will be co-located with a 56 place early years and childcare facility (D1 use). An additional 0.13 hectares of land for a 56 place early years and childcare facility will also be required in Stanway in a location to be decided.

All proposals must also satisfy the Local Planning Authority with regard to the site specific requirements as identified below:

Land at Chitts Hill

Development of this site will be supported where it provides:

- i. Up to a maximum of 100 new dwellings of a mix and type of housing to be compatible with surrounding development;*
- ii. Substantive landscaping in particular to reflect appropriate boundary treatment to the north along the railway line and the south around the school;*
- iii. Access to the site to be restricted to Chitts Hill;*
- iv. Open space / green infrastructure provision to compliment the biodiversity and wildlife interests of the neighbouring Local Wildlife designation (CO68) at Iron Latch Lane Woods and Meadows;*
- v. Adequate noise mitigation from the adjacent railway line; and*
- vi. Further exploration of potential archaeological significance of the site.*

16.10 The proposed site is in conformity with Policy WC2 in principle as the proposal is within the proposed settlement boundary and the allocation shown on the emerging plan policies map; it provides a mix of 100 dwellings including affordable units; landscaping is proposed along the northern boundary and around the school; access is restricted via Chitts Hill; open space and green infrastructure is proposed; noise mitigation is proposed; and matters of archaeology has been explored.

16.11 There are a total of 18 representations received relating to Policy WC2 with a range of support and objection across the five site allocations within the Policy. A number of generic comments are made relating to all allocations in the policy. This includes the potential impact upon the A12 and A120 and a traffic impact assessment for all allocations in Stanway (Highways England rep ref: 6672). It should also be established that required health infrastructure is provided for significant proposed development in Stanway (North East Essex Clinic Commission Group (rep ref: 6217). Similarly, it is suggested that an amendment to the policy is sought to ensure contributions to education are not

listed to specific sites but that policy SG7 applies to all sites and education is included within Policy PP1 (Essex County Council, rep ref: 6221). Equestrian access is suggested to be included across all proposals (Essex Bridleways Association rep ref: 6316).

- 16.12 It is noted that the main access to the proposed site is via Chitts Hill and a bridleway to the north of the site is included to provide equestrian access. A Travel Plan has been considered within this planning application. The relevant statutory consultees have not objected to the proposal and have recommended conditions to satisfy these requirements. It can be considered that the proposal has had regard to Policy WC2 and the representations from Highways England and the Essex Bridleways Association.
- 16.13 A proposed Minor Modification to Policy WC2 is suggested by CBC to clarify that residential developments in Stanway are expected to contribute towards new education facilities (see schedule of minor modifications to the Publication Draft Colchester Local Plan: Section Two October 2017). This document was included as a supporting document in submission of the Local Plan to PINs on 9 October 2017. There is currently uncertainty about the preferred approach with regard to ensuring that sufficient health infrastructure is provided. The NHS has, however, commented on the current application and are seeking a monetary contribution to mitigate the impact of the development upon health services. A contribution to mitigate the impact of the development on education services has been sought by Essex County Council and this is being met by the Applicant.
- 16.14 Comments directly relating to Chitts Hill include requests for clarification of the inclusion of a maximum housing yield (in conjunction with Policy PP1) and clarity of the access road (Hopkins Homes rep ref: 7134). It is also noted that the Local Wildlife Site integrity should not be undermined by the development and a buffer zone surrounding public open space to prevent inhibiting the use of playing fields should be provided. Concern regarding increased pollution and poor transport links is noted.
- 16.15 As the maximum number of dwellings outlined in policy WC2 and the number proposed through this application are both 100 dwellings, it is not considered necessary for clarity of a maximum housing yield to be sought. As outlined in Policy WC2, access to the site should be restricted to Chitts Hill, the proposed development conforms to this.
- 16.16 As part of this application, a master plan and ecological survey reports have been provided and the Essex Wildlife Trust have been consulted. The Trust do not object to the application and have suggested that the requirement for an Ecological Mitigation and Management Plan can be secured via condition. Similarly, consideration of surrounding playing fields has been undertaken through this application and Sports England have not objected to the application. Sports England have suggested that a Labosport Ball Strike Assessment can be secured via condition. Concerns regarding pollution can be addressed through conditions recommended by an internal consultee (Environmental Officer).

- 16.17 As noted above Highways England and the Highways Authority have not objected to the proposal, and the scheme also includes a bridleway, cycle pathway and cycle storage to provide transport links.
- 16.18 It can be considered that there are no unresolved representations directly relating to the Chitts Hill site allocation and significant weight can therefore be afforded to policy WC2 of the emerging local plan.
- 16.19 Emerging Policy SG7: Infrastructure Delivery and Impact Mitigation states that: *All new development should be supported by, and have good access to, all necessary infrastructure.*

Permission will only be granted if it can be demonstrated that there is sufficient appropriate infrastructure capacity to support the development or that such capacity will be delivered by the proposal. It must further be demonstrated that such capacity as is required will prove sustainable over time both in physical and financial terms. Where a development proposal requires additional infrastructure capacity, to be deemed acceptable, mitigation measures must be agreed with the Local Planning Authority and the appropriate infrastructure provider. Such measures may include (not exclusively):

- i. Financial contributions towards new or expanded facilities and the maintenance thereof;*
- ii. On-site provision (which may include building works);*
- iii. Off-site capacity improvement works; and/or*
- iv. The provision of land.*

Developers will be expected to contribute towards the delivery of relevant infrastructure. They will either make direct provision or will contribute towards the provision of local and strategic infrastructure required by the development either alone or cumulatively with other developments.

Small sites can have a cumulative effect on infrastructure and proportional contributions will be sought from all developments where this is demonstrated to be the case. Developers and land owners must work positively with the Local Planning Authority, neighbouring authorities and other infrastructure providers throughout the planning process to ensure that the cumulative impact of development is considered and then mitigated, at the appropriate time, in line with published policies and guidance.

Exceptions to this policy will only be considered whereby:

- i. It is proven that the benefit of the development proceeding without full mitigation outweighs the collective harm;*
- ii. A fully transparent open book viability assessment has proven that full mitigation cannot be afforded, allowing only for the minimum level of developer profit and land owner receipt necessary for the development to proceed;*
- iii. Full and thorough investigation has been undertaken to find innovative solutions to issues and all possible steps have been taken to minimise the residual level of unmitigated impacts; and*

iv. *Obligations are entered into by the developer that provide for appropriate additional mitigation in the event that viability improves prior to completion of the development.*

- 16.20 A total of 19 representations were received to Policy SG7, including a mix of support and objection. Support for the policy is noted by the Environment Agency, Sports England, Anglian Water and NEE CCG. Bloor Homes (rep ref: 7158) and Hopkins Home (rep ref: 7129) suggest the policy is an “infrastructure first” approach which is beyond the remit of the development industry. Concerns regarding the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) is noted by Colchester Hospital University Trust (rep ref: 7198) as it is thought this has underestimated population growth over the Plan period and the resultant potential impacts to health services. Rewording of the supporting text is also suggested by ECC (rep ref: 6204) to ensure developers consider the ECC Developers Guide to Infrastructure Contributions where infrastructure provision is the responsibility of the County Council and not LPA i.e. schools and early years’ provision.
- 16.21 The initial response of the LPA is that in terms of the principle and objectives of the Policy, no significant changes are considered necessary. CBC will continue to work with ECC and NEE CCG to address concerns and prepare Statements of Common Ground and recommend modifications to the Plan where appropriate. Opportunities to improve the wording for clarity will be further explored through the examination process.
- 16.22 A minor modification is proposed to include reference to ECC Developers Guidance to Infrastructure Contributions (see schedule of minor modifications to the Publication Draft Colchester Local Plan: Section Two October 2017). This document was included as a supporting document in submission of the Local Plan to PINs on 9 October 2017.
- 16.23 The Infrastructure Delivery Plan for Colchester was updated in October 2017 to provide an accurate representation of the infrastructure requirements for allocations within Section Two of the Emerging Local Plan. The principle of seeking contributions to mitigate the impact of new developments is not new. The Adopted Plan also includes similar policies. It is therefore considered appropriate that at this early stage, when dealing with a site still to be allocated in an Adopted Plan, and where there has been no material change in circumstances, the full contribution towards infrastructure should be secured.
- 16.24 The application includes details of drainage and road infrastructure which has been accepted by the relevant statutory consultees (Anglian Water; Essex County Council SUDs; Highway Authority; Highways England). Contributions are also being secured via a s106 legal agreement in relation to health and education. The proposal is considered to meet the requirements of this policy.
- 16.25 Emerging Policy DM8: Affordable Housing states that:
The Council is committed to improving housing affordability in Colchester. Accordingly, 30% of new dwellings (including conversions) on housing developments of more than 10 dwellings in urban areas and above 5 units in

designated rural areas (in accordance with Planning Policy Guidance), should be provided as affordable housing (normally on site).

Where it is considered that a site forms part of a larger development area, affordable housing will be apportioned with reference to the site area as a whole. This level balances the objectively assessed need for affordable housing in the Borough established by the evidence base, against the requirement for flexibility to take account of changing market conditions. At present the overwhelming need in Colchester is for affordable rented properties, which should be reflected in development proposals. For sites where an alternative level of affordable housing is proposed below the target, it will need to be supported by evidence in the form of a viability appraisal.

In exceptional circumstances, where high development costs undermine the viability of housing delivery, developers will be expected to demonstrate an alternative affordable housing provision.

The Local Planning Authority will require developments to integrate affordable housing and market housing, with a consistent standard of quality design and public spaces, to create mixed and sustainable communities. The affordable housing provision should proportionately reflect the mix of market units unless otherwise specified by the Local Planning Authority. In schemes over 15 units the affordable housing should be provided in more than one single parcel. Elsewhere the affordable housing mix on any site should normally be "pepper potted" throughout the scheme in groups, the size and location of which should be discussed and agreed with the Local Planning Authority.

- 16.26 12 representations were received to Policy DM8; including support from Colne Housing Society; Andrew Granger & Co. and Angora Bare Trusts. The main comments relating to this policy are that the provision of 30% affordable housing is too high, not based upon sound evidence and represents a significant increase from the 20% outlined in the Preferred Options iteration of the Local Plan.
- 16.27 It is also noted by Bloor Homes (rep ref: 7192) and Hopkins Homes (rep ref: 7138) that the Sustainability Appraisal refers to 20% affordable housing and question the justification for an increase to 30%. The initial response from the LPA is that the evidence from the Colchester Local Plan Viability Study supports a target of 30-35% affordable housing in new developments in Colchester and the SHMA also evidences the need for a higher proportion of affordable housing.
- 16.28 The SHMA was updated in 2015 which concluded that the total annual affordable housing need in Colchester of 267 units represents 30.2% of the annual projected household growth in the Borough between 2013 and 2037. It is outlined that the affordable housing requirement can be met by the OAN identified and no adjustment is required to the figure.
- 16.29 During the examination of Section One of the Local Plan, the Inspector asked the Councils to set out the anticipated delivery of affordable housing relative to the total delivery of housing. The NEAs demonstrated that the authorities have

the means to meet the affordable housing requirement of 10,224 dwellings up to 2033 across the three authorities without the need to increase the overall housing requirement (see North Essex Authorities Affordable Housing Delivery note reference EXD/009 submitted to the Inspector during the examination).

- 16.30 The Section One Local Plan Inspector has reported his findings on the NEAs OAN (Meeting the Need for New Homes, letter dated 27 June 2018). The Inspector endorses the figure of 920 dwellings per annum as representing the objectively assessed housing need for Colchester (paragraph 35). In the same letter, the Inspector also concludes that *“there is a good prospect that affordable housing need will be met over the Plan period in Braintree and Colchester if their overall housing requirements are met in full”* (paragraph 30).
- 16.31 The proposal for 100 dwellings at Chitts Hill is required to provide 30% of affordable housing due to the development being within an urban area proposing more than 10 dwellings. It is not considered the site forms part of a larger development and an apportioned figure is not relevant. The current proposal provides 30% affordable housing in accordance with the policy.
- 16.32 In conclusion, both the adopted Local Plan and emerging Local Plan are relevant in the consideration of this application. The emerging Local Plan can be considered to be at an advanced stage given examination of Section One has commenced and significant weight can be applied to the policies discussed above in accordance with paragraph 48 of the NPPF 2018. The site is allocated for residential development through Policy WC2 in Section Two of the emerging Local Plan. The allocation could start to be delivered within 5 years and contribute to the supply of deliverable housing during the period 2020/21 to 2022/23.
- 16.33 The Local Plan process ensures that potential development locations are the subject of thorough assessment (including Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environmental Assessment) and meaningful engagement with residents, service providers and other key stakeholders and, in the case of the garden communities, comprehensive master planning. Colchester Borough Council considers this to be the proper process for determining the merits of new development proposals which are not compliant with current development plan policy.
- 16.34 Although the proposal is not in accordance with the Adopted Local Plan, the proposal is in general conformity with the NPPF and emerging Local Plan in terms of the principle of development. The NPPF (2018) enables the testing of prematurity of an emerging Local Plan through considering the volume and substance of representations received to the emerging Local Plan and the ability for these to be resolved on a site-specific basis prior to examination of the Local Plan. Significant weight can therefore be applied to the emerging Local Plan in this case. On this basis, the proposal is concluded to be acceptable in principle.
- 16.35 Landscape and Trees:
Core Strategy Policy ENV1 seeks to conserve and enhance Colchester’s natural and historic environment, countryside and coastline, with Development

Plan Policy DP1 requiring development proposals to demonstrate that they, and any ancillary activities associated with them, will respect and enhance the character of the site, context and surroundings in terms of (inter alia) its landscape setting. Emerging Plan Policies ENV1 and DM15 broadly accord with these requirements.

- 16.36 Experience of the site is that it is very secluded in terms of views to and from public vantage points from the wider surroundings; the site is heavily screened by trees, the majority of which would be retained as part of the development and there is a wide landscape buffer of open space along the northern edge of the site. Existing development in the vicinity of the site also restricts public views. The submitted Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment records the impact of the development on a number of vantage points, with effects ranging from 'no effect' to 'moderate to minor adverse' effect. There are two instances of 'major to moderate adverse' effect at vantage points along Iron Latch Lane which is where the development would be more readily viewed.
- 16.37 The site is surrounded by development on all sides: existing residential development to the east, south, and west; Holmwood House School to the south; and the railway line to the north. The site is not, therefore, perceived within open countryside and the railway line acts as a definite 'end stop'. Local representation has commented that the site is within a protected landscape and an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB), but this is not the case; the site is not within a protected landscape.
- 16.38 Given the site characteristics, the proposal is not considered to have an adverse impact on the wider landscape. The proposal would, therefore, conserve Colchester's natural environment and countryside in accordance with policies ENV1 and DP1.
- 16.39 A Landscape Masterplan has been submitted with the application and the Council's Landscape Officer has no objections to these proposals. Detailed landscape proposals will be required to be approved and implemented via condition. A Landscape Management Plan will also be required to be approved via condition. Local representation has commented that there is insufficient information with which to consider landscape proposals, but this is not considered to be the case. It is entirely reasonable to submit a landscape strategy or masterplan and for detailed landscape proposals to be secured via condition.
- 16.40 With regards to trees, the submitted Arboricultural Impact Assessment states that 'the majority of trees within the proposed development envelope are located around the outsides of the site and comprise areas of individual trees and hedgerows. The proposed layout has been designed with the existing tree cover in mind and avoids impacting the sites tree population where possible. The RPAs of T4, T22, G23, T24 and G25 are indicated as having their RPAs impacted by the proposed layout. These areas will need specialist design considerations such as the use of a 'pile & beam' type foundation where heavy structures such as houses are within the RPA of trees, and the use of a 3-dimensional sub base like as 'Cellweb' for lighter structures, roads and parking bays.' Only trees of low or moderate quality are proposed for removal: With the

proposed preliminary design layout provided by the client it is indicated that 1 section of tree group (G32) of moderate quality and value, tree group (G18), 2 sections of tree groups (G25 and G31) of low quality and value will require removal to accommodate the proposals. In addition, H43 (low value) has been identified as obstructing the visibility splay for the access and egress to the site and therefore the proposal is to remove this hedge line. The TPP shows the obstruction caused to H43 which is contained within G29 but protrudes 1m either side of the trunk centers, thus requiring its removal.

16.41 The Arboricultural Officer is in agreement with the submitted tree survey and Arboricultural Impact Assessment. The proposed development does require the felling of a number of trees but given the size, species and location of these it is deemed of limited impact to the wider area and is therefore acceptable in arboricultural terms. Conditions are recommended to secure the proposals in the submitted information, secure tree protection; and ensure hand excavation underneath the canopies of trees.

16.42 There would be a breach of the hedgerow on Chitts Hill to allow for the creation of a vehicular access. As identified by the Council's Landscape Officer, the hedgerow is considered to be important and the presumption is that it should be retained in its entirety. As discussed above, the site is allocated in the emerging plan, with access to the site being set on Chitts Hill. The site allocation (which has undergone a number of assessments to ensure appropriateness) therefore necessitates a breach of the hedge. It is important to ensure that the site access and associated visibility splays do not result in the removal of the hedge and to this end the Applicant has submitted a tree survey and protection plan to show that the required visibility splay would be to the front of the existing hedgerow and hedgerow trees. It is considered that the scheme proposes an acceptable approach to providing the site access in line with the site allocation policy with limited impact on the existing hedge boundary.

16.43 Heritage:

Both Core Strategy Policy ENV1 and Development Plan Policy DP14 seek to conserve and enhance Colchester's historic Environment. Development Plan Policy DP14 makes it clear that development will not be permitted that will adversely affect a listed building, conservation area, historic park or garden, or important archaeological remains. Emerging policies ENV1 and DM16 include the same principles and requirements to conserve and enhance the significance of heritage assets.

16.44 The proposal would not have an impact on built heritage as there are no listed buildings or designated conservation area within the vicinity.

16.45 In terms of archaeology, the Council's Archaeological Adviser has confirmed that an adequate desk-based assessment, geophysical survey and trial-trenched evaluation has been undertaken for this proposed development site. On the basis of the results of these surveys, no further predetermination archaeological evaluation is required. These assessments have identified archaeological remains within the area of trial trenches T22 and T23 and these will require further investigation (preservation by excavation) in advance of

development commencing in this part of the development site. In addition, the east edge of the development site, the location of the proposed access onto Chitts Hill, is across the line of Gryme's Dyke (HER no. MCC7464). Late Iron Age Colchester was protected on its western edge by a series of defensive earthworks known as the Dykes and Gryme's Dyke is the latest of these; a dyke is a bank formed from the earth dug out of a defensive ditch. A trial trenched investigation of the road line, and quite likely full excavation, will be required in this part of the site, across the Dyke in advance of development. Subject to a condition for further archaeological investigation, the proposal is considered to both conserve and enhance (by way of providing further information on heritage) the historic environment in accordance with the aforementioned planning policies.

16.46 Contamination:

Development Plan policy DP1 requires new development to undertake appropriate remediation of contaminated land. Emerging Local Plan Policy ENV5 requires an assessment of the extent of contamination and any possible risks, with a requirement for any remediation works as necessary.

16.47 A Geoenvironmental Assessment has been submitted with the application which provides details of the environmental setting, desk based information, site walkover, preliminary conceptual site model, preliminary intrusive investigations, laboratory testing of representative samples, gas monitoring and generic quantitative risk assessment of potential risks to human health and the environment based on appropriate technical guidance, culminating in provision of a revised conceptual site model for the proposed development.

16.48 The Council's Contaminated Land Officer has noted that a total of 15 representative samples were submitted for analysis and that a single sample marginally exceeded the chosen generic assessment criteria (GAC) for a single determinant: beryllium (1.8mg/kg compared to a GAC of 1.7mg/kg for a residential end use), but that this is considered unrepresentative and that there is no risk to human health from this contaminant. They also note that there has been a single round of ground gas monitoring undertaken at four locations and monitoring for volatile organic compounds. These initial results indicate a very low risk. Further monitoring is recommended to confirm the preliminary findings.

16.49 It is concluded that, based on the information available to date, there are no significant pollution linkages presently associated with the site, and that no remedial actions are required to make the site suitable for the proposed use. The submitted assessment is, therefore, considered to be satisfactory in demonstrating that the proposed works would not preclude the safe development of the site as far as contamination matters are concerned. Subject to conditions to ensure that further investigation is carried out, as well as any necessary remediation, the proposal is considered to be acceptable with regards to contamination matters.

16.50 Flood Risk and Drainage:

Core Strategy Policy SD1 and Development Plan Policy DP20 require proposals to promote sustainability by minimising and/or mitigating pressure on (inter alia)

areas at risk of flooding. Policy DP20 also requires all development proposals to incorporate measures for the conservation and sustainable use of water, including the appropriate use of SUDs for managing surface water runoff. More detailed requirements to mitigate flood risk from development are also included in Emerging Local Plan Policy DM23.

16.51 The site is located within Flood Zone 1 which means that there is low probability of flooding (less than 0.1%). The development itself is, therefore, unlikely to be susceptible to flooding. It is still important, however, to assess whether/how the development could affect flood risk elsewhere.

16.52 The drainage strategy is essentially designed to mimic existing drainage at the site. Following comments received from Essex County Council SUDs, the Flood Risk Assessment was revised in order to provide further clarification on the drainage strategy and confirm that all surface water would drain via gravity to a culverted watercourse. Essex County Council SUDs have agreed this strategy subject to conditions for final details, as well as details of maintenance and management. The impact of the proposal on surface water drainage and flood risk is therefore negligible.

16.53 Foul drainage would be via a pumping station (on-site) that would discharge to an Anglian Water sewer on Chitts Hill. No objection has been received from Anglian Water who has confirmed that there is capacity for the flows from the proposed development.

16.54 The Environment Agency have confirmed that they have no comments to make in respect of the application.

16.55 On the basis of the above, the proposal is considered to accord with relevant planning policy.

16.56 Ecology:

Section 40 of the Natural Environment and rural Communities Act 2006 places a duty on all public authorities in England and Wales to have regard, in the exercise of their functions, to the purpose of conserving biodiversity and a core principle of the NPPF is that planning should contribute to conserving and enhancing the natural environment. Development Plan policy DP21 seeks to conserve or enhance biodiversity and geodiversity in the Borough. New developments are required to be supported by ecological surveys where appropriate, minimise the fragmentation of habitats, and maximise opportunities for the restoration, enhancement and connection of natural habitats. Emerging Local Plan Policy ENV1 requires appropriate ecological surveys where necessary and seeks the preservation, restoration and enhancement of natural habitats where appropriate.

16.57 The submitted Habitats Survey details the relevant habitat on site, such as trees, scrub, pond, and arable land. Given the on-site habitat and desk study results, further surveys were recommended for bats, reptile, and great crested newts. A Phase 2 Ecological Survey provided the necessary surveys: a single day roost for a single soprano pipistrelle bat was recorded on site, as well as bat activity; Great Crested Newts were recorded; no reptiles were recorded.

16.58 Recommendations to mitigate the impact upon identified species, as well as enhancement measures, are included in the submitted survey and cover the following:

- Sensitive lighting;
- Retention of green and dark corridors;
- Bird and bat boxes;
- Great Crested Newt translocation;
- Tree retention; and
- Wildlife friendly planting within pond and hedgerows.

16.59 Essex Wildlife Trust have considered the information submitted as part of the application and have confirmed that the necessary survey work has been undertaken and that acceptable mitigation is proposed. The Trust considers it necessary to secure an Ecological Mitigation and Management Plan (EMMP) via condition to guide the effective implementation of the recommended mitigation measures and habitat creation/enhancement proposals. The aim should be to maximise the biodiversity potential of the site and ensure an overall net gain in biodiversity as a result of the development. This is considered to be a reasonable requirement; the Ecological Survey summarises that the proposed development would have either a neutral or moderate positive residual impact on ecological receptors (local wildlife site; habitats; rare and notable plants; bats; and great crested newts).

16.60 With a condition to secure an appropriate EMMP, the proposal is considered to conserve and enhance biodiversity in accordance with planning policy requirements.

16.61 The whole of Colchester Borough is within the zone of influence (Zoi) for the Essex Coast Recreational disturbance Avoidance Mitigation Strategy (RAMS) and the proposal is thus subject to Appropriate Assessment (AA) under the Habitats Regulations. The proposal is for 100 dwellings and it is anticipated that such development is likely to have a significant effect upon the interest features of Habitat sites [Colne Estuary SPA and Ramsar site, Blackwater Estuary SPA and Ramsar site, Dengie SPA and Ramsar site, Stour and Orwell Estuaries SPA and Ramsar site (south shore) and Essex Estuaries SAC] through increased recreational pressure, when considered either alone or in-combination with other plans and projects. An appropriate assessment is therefore needed to assess recreational disturbance impacts.

16.62 A shadow HRA ('Habitats Regulations Assessment: report to Inform an Appropriate Assessment', dated November 2018) has been submitted in support of the planning application. The assessment details that the development will include both on-site and offsite measures which are considered below.

16.63 On-site measures:

- SANGS provision of 2.65ha greenspace to offer the estimates increase in dog walking as associated disturbance from the development at the site. The area provided would be in excess of the minimum figure (1.84ha) advised by

Natural England to offset associated disturbance. The greenspace area should be established during construction phase and managed as a SANGS through the provision of a range of measures that will include cutting and maintaining a network of paths, the provision of dog-waste bins and signage to inform residents of the SANGS area and reasons for it.

- The site is located adjacent to and directly connected with a Public Right of Way (Iron Latch Lane) that leads to a large area of public open space (to the north-east of the site) available for recreation (walking, cycling etc).

Off-site measures:

- The shadow HRA confirms that a contribution in accordance with the Essex Coast RAMS will be secured.

16.64 The Local Planning Authority (LPA) has considered the proposed avoidance and mitigation measures detailed above as part of an AA, with the conclusion that, with mitigation, the project will not have an Adverse Effect on the Integrity of the European sites included within the Essex Coast RAMS. Having made this appropriate assessment of the implications of the plan or project for the site(s) in view of that (those) site(s)'s conservation objectives and having received confirmation from Natural England that they agree with the proposed mitigation, the proposals can be agreed under regulation 63 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017. Both the SANGS provision and monetary contribution can be secured via s106.

16.65 Highway Matters:

Core Strategy policy TA4 seeks to make the best use of the existing highway network and manage demand for road traffic. The policy makes it clear that new development will need to contribute towards transport infrastructure improvements to support the development itself and to enhance the broader network to mitigate impacts on existing communities. Development Plan policy DP17 requires all development to maintain the right and safe passage of all highways users. Development Plan policy DP19 relates to parking standards in association with the Vehicle Parking Standards SPD (see Section 11 of this report for details of parking requirements). Policies in the emerging Local Plan seek to promote sustainable means of transport and managing the demand for road traffic.

16.66 A large proportion of local representation was concerned with increases in traffic, as well as safety implications in respect of the proximity of the development to the railway crossing on Chitts Hill and impacts on the wider road network. Both Highways England and the Highway Authority have been consulted on the application and their comments have informed the assessment of the proposal on highway grounds. Network Rail have been consulted on the application, but despite multiple consultations and requests for comment, have not commented on the application; in this respect it is concluded that Network Rail have no concerns regarding the impact of the proposal on the railway line and railway crossing.

16.67 A Transport Assessment (TA) was submitted with the application. The TA set out that the development could generate approximately 15 arrival and 48 departure trips (63 two-way) in the morning peak hour (8am-9am), and 38 arrival

and 17 departure trips (55 two-way) in the evening peak hour (5pm-6pm). Local representations criticise the data used in the TA and state that the development could lead to over 200 car movements. Whilst local concerns are acknowledged, the data used in the TA is from a nationally recognised database (TRICS trip data) and is considered to be reliable. The assertion that the development could result in 200 trips does not appear to be based on any evidence that can be used as part of a planning decision; in any case, it is considered to be extremely unlikely that every household on the development would have at least two cars and leave the site at the same time.

- 16.68 The potential traffic impact to the railway crossing has been assessed in the TA. Whilst it is acknowledged that the development would result in some increased queuing, this would be low and it is ultimately considered to have a negligible impact to the existing level of queuing vehicles. The Highway Authority have not expressed any concerns with this assessment.
- 16.69 Junctions have been designed to accommodate the necessary capacity, as well as the movement of larger vehicles accessing and egressing the site.
- 16.70 The Highway Authority have considered the impacts of the proposal on the local road network, as well as the safety and practical requirements for the road works within the site, the junction with Chitts Hill, and the impact on public rights of way (Iron Latch Lane). During the course of the application, the Highway Authority requested amendments in respect of footways and cycleway and these have been incorporated into revised proposals. Consequently, the Highway Authority have no objection to the proposal on highway and transportation grounds subject to conditions for a construction traffic management plan; visibility splays to be provided at the junction with Chitts Hill; a new section of footway and dropped kerbs at the Colchester bound bus stop on Halstead Road; and residential travel information packs.
- 16.71 In terms of impact on the wider network, Highway England have confirmed that they have no objections to the proposal subject to a travel plan being approved via condition.
- 16.72 Local representations have also queried statements within the application, as well as within the site allocation policy WC2, that the site is well-served by public transport. For clarity, the site is served by public transport on Halstead Road; whilst the vehicular access to the site is on Chitts Hill, there is pedestrian and cycle access to Halstead Road via Iron Latch Lane so future occupants of the development would have access to public transport; Iron Latch Lane is a public bridleway, but there is no restriction on riding bicycles or travelling on foot on a bridleway.
- 16.73 The bus service on Halstead Road is hourly and although a more regular service may be more convenient, a regular service to and from Colchester town and west to Halstead is readily available to future residents of the site which contributes to reducing the need to travel by private car. In addition, the close proximity of the site to a public right of way network and Iron Latch Woods provides amenity/leisure opportunities that again reduce the need/desire to travel by private car to the benefit of sustainable principles.

16.74 In terms of vehicle parking, the proposal includes policy compliant car parking (at least 2 spaces per dwelling) and cycle parking (at least 1 space per dwelling). With regards to visitor spaces, approximately half of the development would provide additional on-site parking for visitors (i.e. a third on-site car parking space); a further 8 visitor car parking spaces and 4 visitor motorbike parking spaces would be provided throughout the scheme and there would be opportunity for some on-road parking for visitors. On this basis, the proposal is considered to be acceptable in terms of parking provision.

16.75 In conclusion, the proposal is considered to meet planning policy requirements subject to condition.

16.78 Layout and Design:

In considering the design and layout of the proposal, Core Strategy policy UR2 and Development Plan policy DP1 are relevant. These policies seek to secure high quality and inclusive design in all developments, respecting and enhancing the characteristics of the site, its context and surroundings. With particular reference to housing density and diversity, Core Strategy policies H2 and H3 require developments to make efficient use of land and relate to their context. A range of housing types and tenures across the Borough is sought in order to create inclusive and sustainable communities. The policies go on to state that new developments must enhance local character and optimise the capacity of accessible locations. The density of developments needs to be informed by the provision of open space and parking, the character of the area, and the mix of housing, with the mix of housing types being informed by an appraisal of community context and housing need.

16.79 Further, Development Plan Policy DP12 requires high standards for design, construction, and layout. In considering proposals for new residential development, the following needs to be taken into consideration:

- i. The avoidance of adverse overshadowing between buildings or over neighbouring land uses, and of other adverse microclimatic effects resulting from medium and high-rise buildings at a high density;
- ii. Acceptable levels of daylight to all habitable rooms and no single aspect north-facing homes;
- iii. Acceptable levels of privacy for rear-facing habitable rooms and sitting-out areas;
- iv. A management and maintenance plan to be prepared for multioccupancy buildings and implemented via planning conditions to ensure the future maintenance of the building and external spaces;
- v. Flexibility in the internal layout of dwellings to allow adaptability to different lifestyles;
- vi. Vehicle parking (including secure cycle and motorcycle parking) to an appropriate standard, as set by Essex County Council and policy DP19, and provided in a visually acceptable manner. In the case of flats, secure cycle storage should be incorporated into flat blocks and readily located at the building entrances, and;
- vii. An accessible bin and recycling storage area, and external drying areas.

- 16.80 The above principles are carried forward in the emerging Local Plan policies (in particular, policies DM9, DM10, and DM15).
- 16.81 The proposal includes a variety of house types: two-bed apartments; 2-4 bed houses; and 2-3 bed bungalows, with 30 units being for affordable housing. This is considered to meet Core Strategy objectives to provide a range of house types and tenures.
- 16.82 The proposals have undergone extensive negotiation with regards to layout and design in response to Urban Designer comments. This has included establishing a more continuous frontage along the main route through the site and improving the relationship between dwellings and adjacent public open space. The Urban Designer still has recommendations for further amendments but given that these relate to very limited instances within the layout and would have unsatisfactory 'knock on effects', the further amendments have not been carried forward by the Case Officer (further clarification is provided in the Urban Designer consultation comment at section 8 of this report).
- 16.83 The layout and design of the scheme is considered to be acceptable. There is a generous provision of public open space which is complementary to the rural edge of the site. The proposed dwellings are well-designed, with traditional forms, proportions, and materials. Conditions can secure precise details in order to ensure a high standard of design.
- 16.84 Some local representations have criticised the scheme in terms of it not reflecting the context. Given that the application site would not be seen in direct context with existing development (the site is heavily screened), the relationship between new and existing development is not significant. In any case, the proposal is for one and two storey houses in the main which is considered to be in character with surrounding development.
- 16.85 In response to policy DP12, the proposal is not considered to cause adverse overshadowing; appropriate back-to-back distances are preserved; acceptable levels of daylight would be afforded to the proposed dwellings; there would be flexibility in the internal layout of dwellings to allow for adaptability; parking provision is considered to be acceptable; multi-occupancy buildings will be managed and maintained by a management company; and bin, recycling, and drying areas, will be accessible.
- 16.86 The proposed scheme is considered to adhere to relevant planning policy in design terms.
- 16.87 Amenity:
Development Plan policy DP1 requires all development to be designed to a high standard that protects existing public and residential amenity, particularly with regard to privacy, overlooking, security, noise and disturbance, and daylight and sunlight. These requirements are also included in emerging Local Plan Policy DM15.
- 16.88 The proposal would not have any adverse impacts on existing residents by way of overlooking or overshadowing given the degree of separation afforded.

A number of proposed dwellings would back onto the adjacent school, but a landscape buffer is proposed, as well as boundary fencing so and views to or from the school would be restricted or filtered.

16.89 Matters of noise to future residents from the adjacent railway line have been considered by the Council's Environmental Protection team. The acoustic assessment submitted with the application surveyed the impacts from road traffic noise, railway noise, and vibration from the railway. The assessment demonstrated that there would not be any significant adverse effects to the development from vibration. There would be some ambient noise levels (from the railway and A12 traffic), but the scheme has been designed to mitigate this impact. The assessment does not specifically discuss noise exposure by habitable room per plot with allowances for sound reduction offered by a potential acoustic barrier at the top of the railway embankment, although Environmental Protection are satisfied that suitable mitigation can be provided and that the need to rely on fixed windows and mechanical ventilation can be minimised. Mitigation can be dealt with by condition. Further conditions have been recommended by Environmental Protection in terms of protecting existing residential amenity during the construction phases of development (by restricting working and delivery hours). The recommendation for a condition to require a scheme that considers air quality is considered to be somewhat vague and the requirements can be subsumed by the highway conditions relating to residential travel packs and a travel plan.

16.90 The proposal is therefore considered to be acceptable in terms of amenity, subject to conditions.

16.91 Other Matters:

A Health Impact Assessment has been submitted with the application in accordance with Development Plan Policy DP2. This document would have been available to the NHS as part of their consultation on the application. The NHS have confirmed that it is necessary to mitigate the impacts of the development on healthcare by way of a monetary contribution.

16.92 Paragraph 170 of the NPPF states that planning decisions should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by recognising, inter alia, the economic and other benefits of the best and most versatile agricultural land (i.e. grade 1, 2, and 3a agricultural land classification). The site has been identified as being grade 2 agricultural land according to Defra mapping. This mapping is at a very high level so further assessment on a site specific basis is often required in order to ascertain the true agricultural classification. In this case, an Agricultural Land Classification and Soil Resources report has been submitted that concludes that the site is grade 3b agricultural land; this is below the classification of 'best and most versatile agricultural land'.

16.93 Sport England have commented on the application in terms of whether the development could negatively impact the use of the adjacent school cricket pitches by restricting the use of these pitches should there be any issue of ball strike. A condition is recommended to seek further assessment of the risks of ball strike and to implement any mitigation as necessary. This condition is considered to be appropriate and can be applied.

16.94 Local representations have been taken into account and matters relevant to material planning considerations have been considered in the above assessment. The level of local objection is noted, but the proposal is ultimately found to be acceptable and in accordance with emerging planning policy to which weight can be given.

16.95 The original description of the proposal as 'redevelopment' has caused some confusion to local residents. The description has therefore been amended to 'development' in the interests of clarity.

17.0 Conclusion

17.1 In conclusion, whilst the proposal is contrary to the provisions of SD1, ENV1, and ENV2 of the adopted Local Plan nevertheless the application site is allocated in the emerging Local Plan which can be afforded significant weight in the consideration of this application given its advanced stage and low level of objection to relevant policies. The proposal is considered to be in accordance with those emerging policies that can be afforded significant weight and is broadly in accordance with relevant policies (aside from SD1, ENV1, and ENV2) of the adopted plan. Impacts from the proposal can be mitigated by condition or s106 legal agreement. The proposal is therefore recommended for approval as it represents sustainable development.

18.0 Recommendation to the Committee

18.1 The Officer recommendation to the Committee is for:

APPROVAL of planning permission subject to:

- Agreement of pre-commencement conditions with the Applicant as per the Town and Country Planning (Pre-commencement conditions) Regulations 2018, and delegated authority to revise those conditions as necessary in accordance with the regulations;
- The signing of a legal agreement under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, within 6 months from the date of the Committee meeting. In the event that the legal agreement is not signed within 6 months, to delegate authority to the Head of Service to refuse the application, or otherwise to be authorised to complete the agreement.

The Permission will also be subject to the following conditions:

APPROVAL of planning permission subject to the following condition:

1. Time Limit for Full Permissions

The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

2. Development to Accord With Approved Plans

The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the details shown on the submitted Drawing Numbers:

Site Location Plan RP-001

External Works Layout RP-002 Rev E

Planning Layout RP-003 Rev E

Materials Plan RP-004 Rev D

Street Scenes RP-005 Rev C

Cross Sections RP-006 Rev B

HT 1300 Floor plan- 21H, 25, 69 RP-010 Rev B

HT 1300 Elevations- 21H, 25, 69 RP-011 Rev B

HT 1042 Floor plans and Elevations- 26 RP-012 Rev A

HT 1567 Floor plans- 67, 70 RP-013 Rev A

HT 1567 Elevations- 67, 70 RP-014 Rev A

HT 946 Floor Plans 84-85 RP-017 Rev C

HT 946 Floor Plans 84-85 RP-018 Rev C

HT 1050 Floor plans- 80-81 RP-019 Rev B

HT 1050 Elevations- 80-81 RP-020 Rev B

HT 1635 Floor plans- 17H, 27, 56H, 61, 72, 74, 86H RP-021 Rev B

HT 1635 Elevations- 17H, 27, 56H, 61, 72, 74, 86H RP-022 Rev B

HT 1635 Elevations- 5, 61 RP-022.1 Rev A

HT 1200 & 1050 Floor plans - 18-20 RP-023 Rev A

HT 1200 & 1050 Elevations 1 - 18-20 RP-024 Rev A

HT 1200 & 1050 Elevations 2 - 18-20 RP-025 Rev A

HT 1302 Floor plans- 1 RP-026 Rev A

HT 1302 Elevations- 1 RP-027 Rev A

HT 1460 Floor plans- 3, 22, 75H RP-028 Rev B

HT 1460 Elevations- 3, 22, 75H RP-029 Rev B

HT 1050 Floor plans- 23, 24 RP-030 Rev B

HT 1050 Elevations- 23, 24 RP-031 Rev B

HT 1200 & 1469 Floor plans- 50-51 RP-032 Rev A

HT 1200 & 1469 Elevations 1- 50-51 RP-033 Rev A

HT 1200 & 1469 Elevations 2- 50-51 RP-034 Rev A

HT 654 & 807 Floor plans 1- 45-49 RP-037 Rev A

HT 654 & 807 Floor plans 2- 45-49 RP-038 Rev A

HT 654 & 807 Elevations 1- 45-49 RP-039 Rev A

HT 1145 Floor plans- 54-55 RP-042 Rev A

HT 1145 Elevations- 54-55 RP-043 Rev A

HT 1762 Floor plans- 52, 73 RP-044

HT 1762 Elevations- 52, 73 RP-045

HT 1762 Floor plans- 57, 62H RP-046 Rev B

HT 1762 Elevations- 57, 62H RP-047 Rev B

HT 1469 Floor plans- 59-60, 82-83 RP-048 Rev A

HT 1469 Elevations 1- 59-60, 82-83 RP-049 Rev A

HT 1469 Elevations 2- 59-60, 82-83 RP-050 Rev A

HT 1481 Floor plans- 68 RP-051 Rev A

HT 1481 Elevations- 68 RP-052 Rev A

HT 1200 Floor plans- 63-64 RP-053 Rev A

HT 1200 Elevations 1- 63-64 RP-054 Rev A

HT 1200 Elevations 2- 63-64 RP-055

HT 1250B Floor plans and Elevations- 65 RP-056 Rev C

HT 1400 Floor plans- 66 RP-057 Rev B
HT 1400 Elevations- 66 RP-058 Rev B
HT 1481 Floor plans- 71 RP-059 Rev A
HT 1481 Elevations- 71 RP-060 Rev A
HT 1050 & 1350 Floor plans- 76-79 RP-061 Rev A
HT 1050 & 1350 Elevations- 76-79 RP-062
HT 1302 Floor plans- 86, 100 RP-063 Rev B
HT 1302 Elevations- 86, 100 RP-064 Rev B
HT 800 Floor plans and Elevations- 91 RP-067 Rev B
HT 1200 Floor plans- 92-93 RP-068 Rev A
HT 1200 Elevations 1- 92-93 RP-069 Rev A
HT 1200 Elevations 2- 92-93 RP-070
HT 1145 Floor plans- 7-9 RP-071 Rev A
HT 1145 Floor plans & Elevations- 7-9 RP-072
HT 1145 Elevations- 7-9 RP-073
HT 887 & 673 Floor plan 1- 94-97 RP-077
HT 887 & 673 Floor plan 2- 94-97 RP-078
HT 887 & 673 Elevations 1- 94-97 RP-079
HT 887 & 673 Elevations 2- 94-97 RP-080
HT 1042 Floor plans- 98-99 RP-081
HT 1042 Elevations- 98-99 RP-082
HT 1200sp Floor plans - 2 RP-083 Rev A
HT 1200sp Elevations 1 - 2 RP-084 Rev A
HT 1200sp Elevations 2 - 2 RP-085 Rev A
HT 946, 673 Floor plans 1 - 28, 29, 39, 40 RP-086 Rev A
HT 946, 673 Floor Plans 2, Elevations 1 - 28, 29, 39, 40 RP-087 Rev A
HT 946, 673 Elevations 2 - 28,29,39,40 RP-088 Rev A
HT 852 Floor plans - 6 RP-089 Rev B
HT 852 Elevations - 6 RP-090 Rev B
HT 1145, 852 Floor plans 1 - 53, 58, 87 RP-091
HT 1145, 852 Elevations 1 - 53, 58, 87 RP-092
HT 1145, 852 Elevations 2 - 53, 58, 87 RP-093
HT 1119 Floor Plans - 10-11, 43-44 RP-100 Rev C
HT 1119 Elevations - 10-11, 43-44 RP-101 Rev C
HT 1119 & 946 Floor Plans- 12-14 RP-102 Rev B
HT 1119 & 946- Elevations- 12-14 RP-103 Rev B
HT 654 & 802 Floor plan 1- 33-36 RP-104 Rev B
HT 654 & 802 Floor plan 2- 33-36 RP-105 Rev B
HT 654 & 802 Elevations 1- 33-36 RP-106 Rev B
HT 1232 Floor Plans & Elevations 89 RP-109 Rev B
HT 903 Floor Plans & Elevations 88, 90 RP-110 Rev B
HT 946 Floor plans 15-16 RP-111 Rev B
HT 946 Elevations- 15-16 RP-112 Rev B
HT 673 Floor Plans 4 RP-113
HT673 Elevations 4 RP-114
HT 946 Floor Plans – 41, 42, 84 RP-115
HT 946 Elevations – 41, 42, 84 RP-116
HT 946, 1119 Floor Plans 30, 31, 32, & 37, 38, 85 RP-117
HT 946, 1119 Elevations 30, 31, 32 & 37, 38, 85 RP-118
Single Garage RP-200 Rev B
Double Garage RP-201 Rev B

Twin Garage RP-202 Rev C
Double Garage (sales centre) - 5 RP-203
External works details RP-300
Substation plan & Elevations RP-301
Pumping station Enclosure RP-302
Typical Bins & Cycle storage Details RP-303

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt as to the scope of this permission and in the interests of proper planning.

3. Tree Works and Protection

The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the SES Arboricultural Impact Assessment, dated May 2018. All of the tree protection measures set out in the assessment and accompanying Tree Protection Plans shall be implemented before any works commence on site and shall be maintained for the duration of the development.

Reason: In order to safeguard existing trees on and immediately adjacent the site in the interest of visual amenity and landscape value.

4. Tree Canopy Hand Excavation

During all construction work carried out underneath the canopies of any trees on the site, including the provision of services, any excavation shall only be undertaken by hand. All tree roots exceeding 5 cm in diameter shall be retained and any pipes and cables shall be inserted under the roots.

Reason: To protect trees on the site in the interest of visual amenity.

5. Trees and Hedgerows

All existing trees and hedgerows not shown for removal on the approved drawings shall be monitored and recorded for at least five years following contractual practical completion of the development. In the event that any trees and/or hedgerows die, are removed, destroyed, fail to thrive or are otherwise defective during such a period, they shall be replaced during the first planting season thereafter to specifications agreed, in writing, with the Local Planning Authority. Any tree works agreed to shall be carried out in accordance with BS 3998.

Reason: To safeguard the continuity of amenity afforded by existing trees and hedgerows.

6. Hours of Demolition/Construction

No demolition or construction work shall take outside of the following times;

Weekdays: 08:00 to 18:00

Saturdays: 08:00 to 13:00

Sundays and Bank Holidays: NONE

Reason: To ensure that the construction phase of the development hereby permitted is not detrimental to the amenity of the area and/or nearby residents by reason of undue noise at unreasonable hours.

7. Hours of Construction Deliveries

No construction deliveries to or from the site, worker vehicle movements, or construction work shall take place outside of the following times;

Weekdays: 08:00 to 18:00

Saturdays: 08:00 to 13:00

Sundays and Bank Holidays: NONE

Reason: To ensure that the construction phase of the development hereby permitted is not detrimental to the amenity of the area and/or nearby residents by reason of undue noise at unreasonable hours.

8. Removal of PD for All Residential Extensions & Outbuildings

Notwithstanding the provisions of Classes A, B, C, D and E of Part 1 Schedule 2 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 (or the equivalent provisions of any order revoking and re-enacting that Order), no extensions, ancillary buildings or structures shall be erected unless otherwise subsequently approved, in writing, by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: In the interest of visual amenity and to ensure the development avoids an overdeveloped or cluttered appearance.

9. Removal of PD for Fences/Walls

Notwithstanding the provisions of Class A of Part 2 Schedule 2 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 (or the equivalent provisions of any order revoking and re-enacting that Order), no fences, walls, gates or other means of enclosure, other than any shown on the approved drawings, shall be erected in advance of any wall of the dwelling to which it relates (including a side or rear wall) which faces a highway or public area (including a footpath or bridleway; public open space; and communal parking areas) unless otherwise subsequently approved, in writing, by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity with regard to the context of the surrounding area.

10. Construction Traffic Management Plan

No development shall commence until a construction traffic management plan, to include (but shall not be limited to) details of vehicle/wheel cleaning facilities within the site and adjacent to the egress onto the highway, has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall then be constructed in accordance with the agreed plan.

Reason: To protect highway efficiency of movement and safety.

11. Archaeological Investigation

No works shall take place until the implementation of a programme of archaeological work has been secured, in accordance with a Written Scheme of Investigation that has been submitted to and approved, in writing, by the Local Planning Authority. The Scheme shall include an assessment of significance and research questions; and:

- a. The programme and methodology of site investigation and recording.

- b. The programme for post investigation assessment.
- c. Provision to be made for analysis of the site investigation and recording.
- d. Provision to be made for publication and dissemination of the analysis and records of the site investigation.
- e. Provision to be made for archive deposition of the analysis and records of the site investigation.
- f. Nomination of a competent person or persons/organisation to undertake the works.

The site investigation shall thereafter be completed prior to development, or in such other phased arrangement, as agreed, in writing, by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall not be occupied or brought into use until the site investigation and post investigation assessment has been completed in accordance with the programme set out in the Written Scheme of Investigation approved and the provision made for analysis, publication and dissemination of results and archive deposition has been secured.

Reason: To safeguard archaeological assets within the approved development boundary from impacts relating to any groundworks associated with the development scheme and to ensure the proper and timely investigation, recording, reporting and presentation of archaeological assets affected by this development, in accordance with Policy SD1 and ENV1 of Colchester Borough Council's Core Strategy (2008) and Supplementary Planning Document 'Managing Archaeology in Development (adopted 2015).

12. Contaminated Land Part 1 of 4 (Site Characterisation)

No works shall take place until an investigation and risk assessment, in addition to any assessment provided with the planning application, has been completed in accordance with a scheme to assess the nature and extent of any contamination on the site, whether or not it originates on the site. The contents of the scheme are subject to the approval, in writing, of the Local Planning Authority. The investigation and risk assessment must be undertaken by competent persons and a written report of the findings must be produced. The written report is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority. The report of the findings must include:

(i) a survey of the extent, scale and nature of contamination, including contamination by soil gas and asbestos;

(ii) an assessment of the potential risks to:

- human health,
- property (existing or proposed) including buildings, crops, livestock, pets, woodland and service lines and pipes,
- adjoining land,
- groundwaters and surface waters,
- ecological systems,
- archaeological sites and ancient monuments;

(iii) an appraisal of remedial options, and proposal of the preferred option(s).

This must be conducted in accordance with DEFRA and the Environment Agency's 'Model Procedures for the Management of Land Contamination, CLR 11' and the Essex Contaminated Land Consortium's 'Land Affected by Contamination: Technical Guidance for Applicants and Developers'.

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors.

13. Contaminated Land Part 2 of 4 (Submission of Remediation Scheme)

No works shall take place until a detailed remediation scheme to bring the site to a condition suitable for the intended use by removing unacceptable risks to human health, buildings and other property and the natural and historical environment has been prepared and then submitted to and agreed, in writing, by the Local Planning Authority. The scheme must include all works to be undertaken, proposed remediation objectives and remediation criteria, timetable of works and site management procedures. The scheme must ensure that the site will not qualify as contaminated land under Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 in relation to the intended use of the land after remediation.

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors.

14. Contaminated Land Part 3 of 4 (Implementation of Approved Remediation Scheme)

No works shall take place other than that required to carry out remediation, the approved remediation scheme must be carried out in accordance with the details approved. The Local Planning Authority must be given two weeks written notification of commencement of the remediation scheme works. Following completion of measures identified in the approved remediation scheme, a verification/validation report that demonstrates the effectiveness of the remediation carried out must be produced, and is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors.

15. Ecological Mitigation and Management Plan

No works shall take place until an Ecological Mitigation and Management Plan (EMMP), in accordance with the SES Phase 2 Ecological Surveys and Assessment report dated February 2018, has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The mitigation and management measures shall then be fully implemented as approved.

Reason: In the interests of conserving and enhancing the biodiversity of the site.

16. Noise Mitigation

No development shall commence until a detailed acoustic mitigation report has been submitted to and approved in writing with the Local Planning Authority. The report

shall provide details of the noise exposure at the facade of proposed residential dwellings, internal noise levels in habitable rooms and noise levels in all associated amenity spaces.

The design and layout shall avoid, as far as practicable, exposure of habitable rooms to noise levels to above the following criteria

- 60dBLAeq16hours (Daytime outside)
- 55dBLAeq8hours (night outside)

Acoustic barriers, Site design (including building orientation) and internal layout of dwellings shall be used to minimise noise exposure to habitable rooms and reduce the need to rely on closed windows as far as practicable.

The report shall show where the levels are less than those above that the mitigation provided by glazing and acoustic passive ventilation measures ensure that internal noise levels are satisfactory

Where exposure to noise levels exceeds those stated above full details of fixed acoustic glazing and suitable mechanical ventilation options shall be submitted that demonstrate that internal noise levels do not exceed the internal noise levels stated in Table 4, paragraph 7.7.2 of BS8233:2014 Guidance on sound insulation and noise reduction for buildings. This includes with any mechanical ventilation operating where required.

Reason: In the interests of amenity of future residents of the development.

17. Acoustic Barrier

No works shall commence until full details of the design, construction and acoustic performance of an acoustic barrier, not less than 2.0m high along the boundary with the railway line has been submitted in writing to the local planning authority for agreement. The agreed barrier shall be retained and maintained in that form thereafter.

Reason: In the interest of protecting future residents from noise.

18. Full Landscape Proposals

No works shall take place until full details of all landscape works have been submitted to and agreed, in writing, by the Local Planning Authority and the works shall be carried out prior to the occupation of any part of the development unless an alternative implementation programme is subsequently agreed, in writing, by the Local Planning Authority. The submitted landscape details shall include:

- proposed finished levels or contours;
- means of enclosure and boundary treatments;
- car parking layouts;
- other vehicle and pedestrian access and circulation areas;
- hard surfacing materials;
- minor artefacts and structures (e.g. furniture, play equipment, refuse or other storage units, signs, lighting etc.);

- proposed and existing functional services above and below ground (e.g. drainage power, communications cables, pipelines etc. indicating lines, manholes, supports etc.);
- retained historic landscape features;
- proposals for restoration;
- planting plans;
- written specifications (including cultivation and other operations associated with plant and grass establishment);
- schedules of plants, noting species, plant sizes and proposed numbers/densities where appropriate; and
- implementation timetables and monitoring programs.

Reason: To ensure that there is a suitable landscape proposal to be implemented at the site for the enjoyment of future users and also to satisfactorily integrate the development within its surrounding context in the interest of visual amenity.

19. Surface Water Drainage

No works shall take place until a detailed surface water drainage scheme for the site, based on sustainable drainage principles and an assessment of the hydrological and hydro geological context of the development, has been submitted to and certified as technically acceptable in writing by the SUDs approval body or other suitably qualified person(s). The certificate shall thereafter be submitted by the developer to the Local Planning Authority as part of the developer's application to discharge the condition. No development shall commence until the detailed scheme has been approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The approved scheme shall subsequently be implemented prior to occupation and should include but not be limited to:

- Limiting discharge rates to 10 l/s for all storm events up to and including the 1 in 100 year rate plus 40% allowance for climate change.
- Provide sufficient storage to ensure no off site flooding as a result of the development during all storm events up to and including the 1 in 100 year plus 40% climate change event.
- Final modelling and calculations for all areas of the drainage system.
- The appropriate level of treatment for all runoff leaving the site, in line with the CIRIA SuDS Manual C753.
- Detailed engineering drawings of each component of the drainage scheme.
- A final drainage plan which details exceedance and conveyance routes, FFL and ground levels, and location and sizing of any drainage features.
- A written report summarising the final strategy and highlighting any minor changes to the approved strategy.

Reason: The National Planning Policy Framework paragraph 103 states that local planning authorities should ensure flood risk is not increased elsewhere by development. Construction may lead to excess water being discharged from the site. If dewatering takes place to allow for construction to take place below groundwater level, this will cause additional water to be discharged. Furthermore, the removal of topsoils during construction may limit the ability of the site to intercept rainfall and may lead to increased runoff rates. To mitigate increased flood risk to the surrounding area during construction there needs to be satisfactory storage of/disposal of surface water and groundwater which needs to be agreed before commencement of the development.

20. Scheme to Minimise off-site Flooding during Construction

No works shall take place until a scheme to minimise the risk of offsite flooding caused by surface water run-off and groundwater during construction works and prevent pollution has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the local planning authority. The scheme shall subsequently be implemented as approved.

Reason: In order to ensure that the development does not increase flood risk elsewhere and does not contribute to water pollution.

21. SUDs Maintenance and Management Plan

No works shall take place until a Maintenance and Management Plan detailing the maintenance arrangements including who is responsible for different elements of the surface water drainage system and the maintenance activities/frequencies, has been submitted to and agreed, in writing, by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure appropriate maintenance arrangements are put in place to enable the surface water drainage system to function as intended to ensure mitigation against flood risk.

22. Architectural Detailing

Notwithstanding the details submitted, no works shall commence (above ground floor slab level) until additional drawings (at scales between 1:20 and 1:1) that show details of the architectural detailing of the development hereby approved have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Details shall include window detailing (including details of the lintel and cill, the depth of reveal and dormer features); rooflights to be used; recessed brickwork and timber cladding; and any eaves, verge, ridge, and guttering details. The development shall then be implemented in accordance with the approved drawings.

Reason: Insufficient detail has been submitted to ensure that the proposed works are of high quality design for the rural edge location.

23. Materials To Be Agreed

Notwithstanding the submitted details, no external facing or roofing materials shall be used in the construction of the development hereby permitted until precise details of the manufacturer, types and colours of these (including samples as necessary) have been submitted to and approved, in writing, by the Local Planning Authority. Such materials as may be approved shall be those used in the development.

Reason: In order to ensure that suitable materials are used on the development as there are insufficient details within the submitted planning application.

24. Landscape Management Plan

Prior to the first occupation of the development, a landscape management plan including long term design objectives, management responsibilities and maintenance schedules for all landscape areas other than small, privately owned, domestic gardens shall be submitted to and agreed, in writing, by the Local Planning Authority. The landscape management plan shall thereafter be carried out as approved at all times.

Reason: To ensure the proper management and maintenance of the approved landscaping in the interests of amenity and the character and appearance of the area.

25. Validation Certificate

Prior to the first OCCUPATION/USE of the development, the developer shall submit to the Local Planning Authority a signed certificate to confirm that the remediation works have been completed in accordance with the documents and plans detailed in Condition 14.

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors

26. Highway Works

No occupation of the development shall take place until the following have been provided or completed:

- a. A priority junction off Chitts Hill as shown in principle on the planning application drawing but to include but not limited to a 59 x 2.4 x 59 metre visibility splay
- b. A new section of footway and dropped kerbs/tactile paving to connect the Colchester bound bus stop in Halstead Road (west of its junction with King Coel Road) with existing footway
- c. Residential Travel Information Packs in accordance with Essex County Council guidance

Reason: To protect highway efficiency of movement and safety and to ensure the proposal site is accessible by more sustainable modes of transport such as public transport, cycling and walking.

27. Travel Plan

The development hereby approved shall not be brought into use unless and until the measures set out in the Travel Plan have been implemented following approval in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Framework Travel Plan shall include the following:

The identification of targets for trip reduction and modal shift;

- The methods to be employed to meet these targets;
- The mechanisms for monitoring and review;
- The mechanisms for reporting;
- The penalties to be applied in the event that targets are not met;
- The mechanisms for mitigation including budgetary provision ;
- Implementation of the travel plan (until full occupation) to be agreed timescale or timescale and its operation thereafter;
- Mechanisms to secure variations to the travel plan following monitoring and reviews.

The completed development shall be occupied in accordance with the approved Travel Plan which shall be retained in place thereafter unless otherwise amended in accordance with a review to be agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason(s) for the direction given at b), c) or d) overleaf and the period of time for a direction at e) when directing that the application is not granted for a specified period:
1) To ensure the A12 trunk road continues to serve its purpose as part of a national system of routes for through traffic, to satisfy the reasonable requirements of road safety accordance with section 10 of the Highways Act 1980.

28. Ball Strike Assessment

Plots 67-80 (inclusive) as shown on Planning Layout RP-003 Rev E shall not be occupied until a Ball Strike Assessment, to include full details of the design and specification of any mitigation measures and management and maintenance responsibilities, has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The details shall then be fully implemented as approved.

Reason: To provide protection for the occupants of the development and their property from potential ball strike from the adjacent playing field or sport facility, to reduce conflict between neighbours and thereby safeguard sporting use of the adjacent sports facilities.

29. Contaminated Land Part 4 of 4 (Reporting of Unexpected Contamination)

In the event that contamination is found at any time when carrying out the approved development that was not previously identified it must be reported in writing immediately to the Local Planning Authority. An investigation and risk assessment must be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of condition 12, and where remediation is necessary a remediation scheme must be prepared in accordance with the requirements of condition 13, which is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority. Following completion of measures identified in the approved remediation scheme a verification report must be prepared, which is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority in accordance with condition 14.

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors

30. Street Name Signs

Street signs shall have been installed at the junction of the new highway with the existing road network prior to occupation of the respective dwellings on that street.

Reason: To ensure that visitors to the development, including emergency services, can orientate themselves in the interests of highway safety.

19.1 Informatives

19.1 The following informatives are also recommended:

Advisory Note on Construction & Demolition

The developer is referred to the attached advisory note *Advisory Notes for the Control of Pollution during Construction & Demolition Works* for the avoidance of pollution during the demolition and construction works. Should the applicant require any further guidance they should contact Environmental Control prior to the commencement of the works.

Informative on Conditions Stating Prior to Commencement/Occupation

PLEASE NOTE that this permission contains a condition precedent that requires details to be agreed and/or activity to be undertaken either **before you commence the development or before you occupy the development**. This is of critical importance. If you do not comply with the condition precedent you may invalidate this permission and be investigated by our enforcement team. Please pay particular attention to these requirements. To discharge the conditions and lawfully comply with your conditions you should make an application online via www.colchester.gov.uk/planning or by using the application form entitled 'Application for approval of details reserved by a condition following full permission or listed building consent' (currently form 12 on the planning application forms section of our website). A fee is also payable, with the relevant fees set out on our website.

Informative on Any Application With a Site Notice

PLEASE NOTE that a site notice was erected in a publicly visible location at the site. Colchester Borough Council would appreciate your co-operation in taking the site notice down and disposing of it properly, in the interests of the environment.

Informative on Archaeology

PLEASE NOTE The submitted scheme of archaeological investigation should be in accordance with an agreed brief. This can be procured beforehand by the developer from Colchester Borough Council. Please see the Council's website for further information: <http://www.colchester.gov.uk>

Anglian Water Informative

Anglian Water has assets close to or crossing this site or there are assets subject to an adoption agreement. Therefore the site layout should take this into account and accommodate those assets within either prospectively adoptable highways or public open space. If this is not practicable then the sewers will need to be diverted at the developers cost under Section 185 of the Water Industry Act 1991. or, in the case of apparatus under an adoption agreement, liaise with the owners of the apparatus. It should be noted that the diversion works should normally be completed before development can commence.

Informative regarding materials

Construction materials should be in accordance with the Essex Design Guide, i.e. weatherboarding to be natural timber, 'clay' tiles to be natural clay plain tiles and pantiles on subsidiary buildings, Any 'black/grey' tiles should be natural or slim-line fibre-cement slates.

Informative regarding boundary treatments

Boundary treatments adjacent public areas (including communal parking areas) shall be brick walls in accordance with details submitted and approved by the Local Planning Authority.