

**COLCHESTER BOROUGH COUNCIL
PLANNING COMMITTEE
6 January 2011 at 6:00pm**

SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA

Part A

(open to the public including the media)

Pages

9. Amendment Sheet

57 - 58

See Amendment Sheet attached.

AMENDMENT SHEET

**Planning Committee
6 January 2011**

AMENDMENTS OF CONDITIONS AND REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

**LATE AMENDMENTS HAVE BEEN INCORPORATED INTO THIS
AMENDMENT SHEET AND ARE SHOWN AS EMBOLDENED**

**7.5 102443 – Westwood Home Farm Cottages, London Road, Great
Horkesley**

An email has been received from the objector advising that due to illness he is unable to attend tonight's meeting to support his objections to this application. He has asked if the Chairman will give consent for the full text of his objection email to be read out at Committee.

The full text is included below for information:

“This is an OBJECTION to the variation of the condition that windows should be in whitepainted timber.

This common condition is intended (as stated in the reason given for it) to maintain local character and amenity. It is also common for applicants to come back later for consent to put in plastic or aluminium, etc. windows instead - usually, as in this case, continuing the old claim that these materials need no maintenance, which is incorrect.

If the LPA thought that this building needed to conform to local character and amenity to the extent of this particular condition, that has not changed and the condition should, in my view, be maintained and this application refused.

Thank you for considering my objection.”

7.6 101128 – Mill Race, New Road, Aldham

Withdrawn by Head of Environmental and Protective Services to await receipt of further information from agent.

7.8 102122 – Friars Grove Junior and Infant School, Upland Drive, Colchester

Further objection received from the occupier of 11 Mountain Ash Close. The objections are as follows:-

- The proposed route for pedestrians is unclear.
- The existing Infants' pedestrian route runs along the school's southern boundary [a boundary shared with my property].
- Will all pedestrians for both schools use the access that is used now only for infants?
- The existing Infants' pedestrian route is used to full capacity by children and parents and it is difficult to imagine how it could cater for the increase in traffic volume if it was also used by the Junior School.
- Traffic in this area will be more than doubled.
- If more pedestrian access with its associated increase in noise and loss of privacy is proposed along my boundary, I would like my objection noted and
- There should be conditions imposed to attenuate the noise and the site should be screened from neighbouring properties.

The Planning Report makes no mention of whether pedestrian access for both Infants and Junior Schools will be routed along my boundary.

The amenity will be significantly impacted if the Junior School pedestrian traffic is added to the Infants' School pedestrian access.

Amended layout drawing received. This drawing clarifies the use of the pedestrian access. Visitors and Junior pupils and parents would be routed northward around the car park in to the proposed new car-free space in front of the proposed new reception. Infant pupils would continue to use their existing path, which runs adjacent to the southern site boundary. As such, the Agent confirms that there will be no change in the number and composition of children and adults using the path running alongside the boundary with No. 11 Mountain Ash Close.

A copy of this amended drawing has been sent to the occupier of 11 Mountain Ash Close, together with the aforementioned information.

**COLCHESTER BOROUGH COUNCIL
PLANNING COMMITTEE
6 January 2011 at 6:00pm**

SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA

Part B

(not open to the public or the media)

Pages

There are no Section B Items