

Material Planning Considerations

The National Planning Policy Framework highlights that the planning system is plan-led and reiterates The Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, which require (in law) that planning applications “must be determined in accordance with the development plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise”.

Where our Development Plan is absent, silent or the relevant policies are out of date, paragraph 14 of the National Planning Policy Framework requires the application to be determined in accordance with the presumption in favour of sustainable development unless otherwise specified.

The following approach should be taken in all planning decisions:

- Identify the provisions of the Development Plan which are relevant to the decision and interpret them carefully, looking at their aims and objectives
- Identify and consider relevant material considerations for and against the proposal
- Consider whether or not the proposal accords with the Development Plan and, if not, whether material considerations warrant a departure from the Development Plan.

A material planning consideration is one which is relevant to making the planning decision in question (e.g. whether to grant or refuse an application for planning permission). The scope of what can constitute a material consideration is very wide and so the courts often do not indicate what cannot be a material consideration. However, in general they have taken the view that planning is concerned with land use in the public interest, so that the protection of purely private interests such as the impact of a development on the value of a neighbouring property or loss of private rights to light could not be material considerations.

When applying material considerations the Committee should execute their decision making function accounting for all material matters fairly, reasonably and without bias. In court decisions (such as *R v Westminster CC ex-parte Monahan 1989*) it has been confirmed that material considerations must relate to the development and use of land, be considered against public interest, and be fairly and reasonably related to the application concerned.

Some common material planning considerations which the Planning Committee can (and must) take into consideration in reaching a decision include:-

- Planning policies, including the NPPF and our own Development Plan
- Government guidance, case law, appeal decisions, planning history
- Design, scale, bulk, mass, visual appearance and layout
- Protection of residential amenities (light, privacy, outlook, noise or fumes)
- Highway safety and traffic issues, including parking provisions
- Heritage considerations; archaeology, listed buildings and conservation areas
- Environmental issues; impacts on biodiversity, trees and landscape, flooding
- Economic issues such as regeneration, job creation, tourism and viability
- Social issues; affordable housing, accessibility, inclusion, education, recreation

The above list is not exhaustive

The following are among the most common issues that are **not** relevant planning issues and cannot be taken into account in reaching a decision:-

- land ownership issues; private property rights, boundary disputes and covenants
- effects on property values
- loss of a private view
- identity of the applicant, their character, previous history, or possible motives
- moral objections to a development, such as may include gambling or drinking etc
- competition between commercial uses

- matters specifically controlled through other legislation

Strong opposition to large developments is a common feature of the planning process but whether or not a development is popular or unpopular will not matter in the absence of substantial evidence of harm (or support from the policies within the Development Plan). It is the quality of content, not the volume that should be considered.

The law also makes a clear distinction between the question of whether something is a material consideration, and the weight which it is to be given. Whether a particular consideration is material will depend on the circumstances of the case but provided it has given regard to all material considerations, it is for the Council to decide what weight is to be given to these matters. Subject to the test of “reasonableness”, the courts (or the Local Government Office) will not get involved in the question of weight. Weight may be tested at appeal.

Planning Obligations

Planning obligations assist in mitigating the impact of unacceptable development to make it acceptable in planning terms. Planning obligations may only constitute a reason for granting planning permission if they meet the tests that they are:

1. necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms
2. directly related to the development, and
3. fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind.

These legal tests are set out as statutory tests in the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations and as policy tests in the National Planning Policy Framework.

Human Rights, Community Safety and Equality and Diversity Implications

All applications are considered against the background and implications of the:

- Human Rights Act 1998
- Crime and Disorder Act 1998 (and in particular Section 17)
- Equality Act 2010
- Colchester Borough Council Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) Framework

In order that we provide a flexible service that recognises people's diverse needs and provides for them in a reasonable and proportional way without discrimination.

Using Planning Conditions or Refusing Planning Applications

The Planning System is designed to manage development, facilitating (not obstructing) sustainable development of a satisfactory standard. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and National Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) reinforce this, stating that “Planning should operate to encourage and not act as an impediment to sustainable growth”. Therefore, development should be considered with a positive approach. Where a condition could be used to avoid refusing permission this should be the approach taken.

The PPG sets out advice from the Government regarding the appropriate use of conditions, and when decision makers may make themselves vulnerable to costs being awarded against them at appeal due to “unreasonable” behaviour. Interpretation of court judgments over the years is also an important material consideration. Reasons why a Planning Authority may be found to have acted unreasonably at appeal include lack of co-operation with applicants, introducing fresh evidence at a later stage, introducing a new reason for refusal, withdrawal of any reason for refusal or providing information that is shown to be manifestly inaccurate or untrue.

In terms of the Planning Committee, Members are not bound to accept the recommendations of their officers. However, if officers’ professional or technical advice is not followed, authorities will need to show reasonable planning grounds for taking a contrary decision and produce relevant evidence on appeal to support the decision in all respects. If they fail to do so, costs may be awarded against the authority.

Whenever appropriate, the Council will be expected to show that they have considered the possibility of imposing relevant planning conditions to allow development to proceed. Therefore, before refusing any application the Planning Committee should consider whether it is possible to resolve any concerns by use of conditions before refusing permission. Failure to do so on a planning ground capable of being dealt with by conditions risks an award of costs where it is concluded on appeal that suitable conditions would enable the proposed development to go ahead.

Any planning condition imposed on a development must pass 6 legal tests to be:

1. Necessary
2. Relevant to planning
3. Relevant to the development permitted
4. Reasonable
5. Precise
6. Enforceable

Unless conditions fulfil these criteria they are challengeable at appeal as ultra vires (i.e. their imposition is beyond the powers of local authorities).

If no suitable condition exists that can satisfy these tests a refusal of planning permission may then be warranted. In considering the reasons for that refusal, the Council must rely only on reasons for refusal which stand up to scrutiny and do not add to development costs through avoidable delay or refusal without good reason. In all matters relating to an application it is critically important for decision makers to be aware that the courts will extend the common law principle of natural justice to any decision upon which they are called to adjudicate. The general effect of this is to seek to ensure that the Council acts fairly and reasonably in executing our decision making functions, and that it is evident to all that we have done so.



Colchester Borough Council Development Management

Highway Safety Issues

When considering planning applications, Colchester Borough Council consults Essex County Council Highways Authority on all highway safety issues. They are a statutory consultee, and a recognised expert body. This means that they must be consulted on planning applications, by law, where the proposed development will involve a new access to the highway network, create “material” changes in traffic movement, or where new roads are to be laid out. Where developments affect the trunk road network Highways England become a statutory consultee.

When the Highway Authority is consulted they are under a duty to provide advice on the proposal in question as the experts in highway matters. Their opinion carries significant weight upon which the Local Planning Authority usually relies. Whilst this Council could form an opinion different to the Highway Authority, it would need to provide counter-evidence to justify an argument that the expert body was incorrect. That evidence would need to withhold challenge in appeal or through the courts. Failure to do so would result in a costs award against the Council for acting unreasonably (see other notes pages within this Agenda). Similarly, if the Highway Authority were unable to support their own conclusions they may face costs being awarded against them as the statutory consultee.

Officers of Essex County Council Highway Authority conduct their own site visits to each site in order to take account of all highway safety matters. They also consult their own records and databases, traffic flow information and any other relevant material that may be available, including any submitted documents within planning applications.

Parking Standards

Although the Highway Authority has some remit over parking in so far as it relates to highways safety issues, parking itself is a matter for the Local Planning Authority to determine against national policy and our own adopted standards. Like the other Essex Authorities, Colchester Borough Council has adopted the Essex Planning Officer’s Association Parking Standards. These standards set out that:

- A parking space should measure 2.9 metres by 5.5 metres. A smaller size of 2.5 metres by 5 metres is acceptable in special circumstances.

For residential schemes:

- The residential parking standard for two bedroom flats and houses is two spaces per unit.
- The residential parking standard for one bedroom units is one space per unit.
- A garage should have an internal space of 7 metres by 3 metres. Smaller garages do not count towards the parking allocation.
- One visitor space must be provided for every four units.

Residential parking standards can be relaxed in areas suitable for higher density development and where there is good walkable access to shops, service and public transport, such as town centres.



Colchester Borough Council Environmental Control

Advisory Notes for the Control of Pollution during Construction and Demolition Works

The following information is intended as guidance for applicants/developers and construction firms. In order to minimise potential nuisance to nearby existing residents caused by construction and demolition works, Environmental Control recommends that the following guidelines are followed. Adherence to this advisory note will significantly reduce the likelihood of public complaint and potential enforcement action by Environmental Control.

Best Practice for Construction Sites

Although the following notes are set out in the style of planning conditions, they are designed to represent the best practice techniques for the site. Therefore, failure to follow them may result in enforcement action under nuisance legislation (Environmental Protection Act 1990), or the imposition of controls on working hours (Control of Pollution Act 1974)

Noise Control

1. No vehicle connected with the works to arrive on site before 07:30 or leave after 19:00 (except in the case of emergency). Working hours to be restricted between 08:00 and 18:00 Monday to Saturday (finishing at 13:00 on Saturday) with no working of any kind permitted on Sundays or any Public/Bank Holiday days.
2. The selection and use of machinery to operate on site, and working practices to be adopted will, as a minimum requirement, be compliant with the standards laid out in British Standard 5228:1984.
3. Mobile plant to be resident on site during extended works shall be fitted with non-audible reversing alarms (subject to HSE agreement).
4. Prior to the commencement of any piling works which may be necessary, a full method statement shall be agreed in writing with the Planning Authority (in consultation with Environmental Control). This will contain a rationale for the piling method chosen and details of the techniques to be employed which minimise noise and vibration to nearby residents.

Emission Control

1. All waste arising from the ground clearance and construction processes to be recycled or removed from the site subject to agreement with the Local Planning Authority and other relevant agencies.
2. No fires to be lit on site at any time.
3. On large scale construction sites, a wheel-wash facility shall be provided for the duration of the works to ensure levels of soil on roadways near the site are minimised.
4. All bulk carrying vehicles accessing the site shall be suitably sheeted to prevent nuisance from dust in transit.

Best Practice for Demolition Sites

Prior to the commencement of any demolition works, the applicant (or their contractors) shall submit a full method statement to, and receive written approval from, the Planning & Protection Department. In addition to the guidance on working hours, plant specification, and emission controls given above, the following additional notes should be considered when drafting this document: -

Noise Control

If there is a requirement to work outside of the recommended hours the applicant or contractor must submit a request in writing for approval by Planning & Protection prior to the commencement of works.

The use of barriers to mitigate the impact of noisy operations will be used where possible. This may include the retention of part(s) of the original buildings during the demolition process to act in this capacity.

Emission Control

All waste arising from the demolition process to be recycled or removed from the site subject to agreement with the Local Planning Authority and other relevant agencies.

The Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as amended)

Class A1. Shops

Use for all or any of the following purposes—

- (a) for the retail sale of goods other than hot food,
- (b) as a post office,
- (c) for the sale of tickets or as a travel agency,
- (d) for the sale of sandwiches or other cold food for consumption off the premises,
- (e) for hairdressing,
- (f) for the direction of funerals,
- (g) for the display of goods for sale,
- (h) for the hiring out of domestic or personal goods or articles,
- (i) for the washing or cleaning of clothes or fabrics on the premises,
- (j) for the reception of goods to be washed, cleaned or repaired,
- (k) as an internet café; where the primary purpose of the premises is to provide facilities for enabling members of the public to access the internet where the sale, display or service is to visiting members of the public.

Class A2. Financial and professional services

Use for the provision of —

- (a) financial services, or
 - (b) professional services (other than health or medical services), or
 - (c) any other services (including use as a betting office)
- which it is appropriate to provide in a shopping area, where the services are provided principally to visiting members of the public.

Class A3. Restaurants and cafes

Use for the sale of food and drink for consumption on the premises.

Class A4. Drinking establishments

Use as a public house, wine-bar or other drinking establishment

Class A5. Hot food takeaways

Use for the sale of hot food for consumption off the premises.

Class B1. Business

Use for all or any of the following purposes—

- (a) as an office other than a use within class A2 (financial and professional services),
 - (b) for research and development of products or processes, or
 - (c) for any industrial process,
- being a use which can be carried out in any residential area without detriment to the amenity of that area by reason of noise, vibration, smell, fumes, smoke, soot, ash, dust or grit.

Class B2. General industrial

Use for the carrying on of an industrial process other than one falling within class B1 above

Class B8. Storage or distribution

Use for storage or as a distribution centre.

Class C1. Hotels

Use as a hotel or as a boarding or guest house where, in each case, no significant element of care is provided.

Class C2. Residential institutions

Use for the provision of residential accommodation and care to people in need of care (other than a use within class C3 (dwelling houses)).

Use as a hospital or nursing home.

Use as a residential school, college or training centre.

Class C2A. Secure residential institutions

Use for the provision of secure residential accommodation, including use as a prison, young offenders institution, detention centre, secure training centre, custody centre, short-term holding centre, secure hospital, secure local authority accommodation or use as military barracks.

Class C3. Dwellinghouses

Use as a dwellinghouse (whether or not as a sole or main residence) by—

(a) a single person or by people to be regarded as forming a single household;

(b) not more than six residents living together as a single household where care is provided for residents; or

(c) not more than six residents living together as a single household where no care is provided to residents (other than a use within Class C4).

Class C4. Houses in multiple occupation

Use of a dwellinghouse by not more than six residents as a “house in multiple occupation”.

Class D1. Non-residential institutions

Any use not including a residential use —

(a) for the provision of any medical or health services except the use of premises attached to the residence of the consultant or practitioner,

(b) as a crèche, day nursery or day centre,

(c) for the provision of education,

(d) for the display of works of art (otherwise than for sale or hire),

(e) as a museum,

(f) as a public library or public reading room,

(g) as a public hall or exhibition hall,

(h) for, or in connection with, public worship or religious instruction, (i) as a law court.

Class D2. Assembly and leisure

Use as —

(a) a cinema,

(b) a concert hall, (c) a bingo hall or casino,

(d) a dance hall,

(e) a swimming bath, skating rink, gymnasium or area for other indoor or outdoor sports or recreations, not involving motorised vehicles or firearms.

Sui Generis Uses

Examples of sui generis uses include (but are not exclusive to):

theatres, amusement arcades or centres, funfairs, launderettes sale of fuel for motor vehicles, sale or display for sale of motor vehicles, taxi businesses or a business for the hire of motor vehicles, a scrapyards or the breaking of motor vehicles, hostels, retail warehouse clubs (where goods are sold, or displayed for sale, only to persons who are members of that club), night-clubs, or casinos.

Interpretation of Class C3

For the purposes of Class C3(a) "single household" shall be construed in accordance with section 258 of the Housing Act 2004.

Interpretation of Class C4

For the purposes of Class C4 a "house in multiple occupation" does not include a converted block of flats to which section 257 of the Housing Act 2004 applies but otherwise has the same meaning as in section 254 of the Housing Act 2004

Supreme Court Decision 16 October 2017

CPRE Kent (Respondent) v China Gateway International Limited (Appellant).

This decision affects the Planning Committee process and needs to be acknowledged for future reference when making decisions to approve permission contrary to the officer recommendations.

For formal recording in the minutes of the meeting, when the Committee comes to a decision contrary to the officer recommendation, the Committee must specify:

- Full reasons for concluding its view,
- The various issues considered,
- The weight given to each factor and
- The logic for reaching the conclusion.

Deferral and Recommendation Overturn Procedure (DROP) Flowchart

If Councillors require more information, or minor amendments to be explored, then the item should be deferred.

If no more information or amendment is desired Councillors will proceed to propose a motion.

