

# Colchester Borough Council - Council Tax Reduction Scheme 2023/24 Consultation

## 1. Background to the Consultation

1. I have read the background information about the Council Tax Reduction Scheme: This question must be answered before you can continue.				
Answer Choices			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes	<div></div>	99.29%	139
2	No	<div></div>	0.71%	1
			answered	140
			skipped	0

## 2. Part 1 – Increasing the maximum level of support within the scheme for working age applicants

2. Do you agree with increasing the maximum level of support for working age applicants to 85%?				
Answer Choices			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes	<div></div>	62.16%	69
2	No	<div></div>	25.23%	28
3	Don't Know	<div></div>	12.61%	14
			answered	111
			skipped	29

3. If you disagree with increasing the maximum level of support, please explain why and what alternative would you propose?				
Answer Choices			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question		100.00%	31
1	Extend the criteria to enable more people to get help.			
2	I think we help too much already & this can contribute to a reluctance in finding alternative ways to get back to work. Why should those at work pay more who are also struggling day to day.			
3	You would end up increasing council tax to people who are not covered by this but are still finding their bills increased and finding life difficult. A better way would be free car parking, black bin sacks free events in Castle Park etc as it would help a lot more people who are struggling.			
4	help more people instead			
5	People on low wages cannot manage these days without resource to help- food banks or official payments			

### 3. If you disagree with increasing the maximum level of support, please explain why and what alternative would you propose?




- |    |   |
|----|---|
| 6  | I think 80% (4/5th) is sufficient   |
| 7  | give more to basic state persons 141 pounds a week is not enough  |
| 8  | The cost could be better used elsewhere   |
| 9  | Widen the help to more households - increase the income threshold   |
| 10 | More and more money is being given to those who are on benefits. I have no issues supporting those who are unable to work through disability, but more should done to encourage those that don't work to seek work. By offering them even more support I dont see this would encourage them to seek work  |
| 11 | I would support this assuming it is reasonable to expect that the savings in administative costs following the the other proposed changes will cover the majority of the increased costs incurred by this change.   |
| 12 | Many households struggle even if they are not deemed to be on low income. I'd prefer that 5% to be distributed evenly or the brackets for help to be widened instead  |
| 13 | I would like this increase to also apply to single person discount.   |
| 14 | 80% is already too much. The alternative is to retain the services that council tax pays for rather than cut services to give more handouts.  |
| 15 | What about thoses who work and never claim benefits   |
| 16 | All benefits should be capped at a rate below the national minimum wage for a 40 hour working week, this is to ensure it is more beneficial to work than to claim benefits.<br>The alternative is to push people back into paid employment.<br>having worked all of my life and received no benefits i question why my neighbours can sponge off the state and enjoy having sky TV, mobile phones etc. without lifting a finger.  |
| 17 | we cannot keep increasing benefits. They are supposed to be a stop gap until the claimants can return to work. If we continue to increase benefits in this manner there are no incentives for people to seek employment.<br>My alternative would be to reduce all benefits to a level where the total of all benefits is lower than the NMW for a 40 hour week. That way it will always be more beneficial to work, and will act as a deterrent for those who think benefits are a way of life. |
| 18 | people rely on benefits to much and think it is a way of life to sponge off of the state. The alternative is to reduce benefits to a level less than the national minimum wage for a full week (i.e. 40 hours) so any claimants benefits should total less than £17,000 per year. That way it would be more beneficial to work and pay income tax as they would be better off.  |
| 19 | Support should be reduced, to help cut the cost of council tax to all residence.  |
| 20 | The level of support should be reduced! My family and I all have to work hard to enjoy our lifestyle, and don't receive help or support. If the CTRS was reduced then all residence would enjoy the benefits as we would have to pay lets council tax.<br>Residents should not have to fund over £8M to subsidise others.   |
| 21 | The rate of is CTRS already too high, and the council should be looking to reduce their costs, and those of the residence who have to pay full whack.   |
| 22 | CTRS should be reduced not increased! the council cannot keep giving handout to residence.<br>If CTRS were to be reduced, it could mean a reduction in council taxes for all households, which in these tough times is more important.  |
| 23 | The level of support should be reduced to encourage people into employment or better paid jobs. Then all savings should be passed on to all residence to decrease the amount they pay.  |
| 24 | There are too many people relying on benefits, and the council should not be encouraging them by increasing what they can claim. if anything the council should be reducing benefits so that all residence can benefit from a reduction.  |
| 25 | Why should these individuals get on average £1000 council tax benefit?<br>I propose that you cease all CTRS payments and reduce the council tax for all of the residence of Colchester.   |
| 26 | Those who work deserve a discount however if people can work but do not then they should not be entitled to discount or assistance as it does not encourage them to go to work  |

### 3. If you disagree with increasing the maximum level of support, please explain why and what alternative would you propose?

27	There are enough spongers around who receive far to much benefits as it is. ( a recent newspaper report highlighted how a family received £84K a year in benefits). As a working person who has never had support, even when my wife was made redundant apparently I still earned to much for her to qualify for benefits. So no the council should not be increasing benefits it should be reducing it to ease the burden on all of us.		
28	i don't agree because the money i get from earning after tax to help other this not fair to me as i have to work a lot harder to pay The best way the council can help people who do not pay council tax or rent is to bring in a lever payment, so we all pay the same amount of rent and council tax		
29	To many people rely on benefits, and if anything the scheme should be scrapped. Save the money and either:- 1. Reduce payments for all residence, or 2. Use the money on other projects that benefit all of the residence of Colchester.		
30	THE SCHEME STATED IS NOT TRANSPARENT OR CLEAR THEREFORE ONE WOULD BE MAKING AN EDUCATED GUESS ON THE ISSUE. THE MATHS ARE RELATIVELY SIMPLE IN THE CASE OF THE ISSUE.		
31	why when they can work and get off their back side		
		answered	31
		skipped	109

### 3. Part 2 - The scheme will disregard certain crisis payments paid to taxpayers (Local Welfare Provision)

#### 4. Do you agree with this change to the scheme?

Answer Choices			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes		76.53%	75
2	No		17.35%	17
3	Don't Know		6.12%	6
			answered	98
			skipped	42

#### 5. If you disagree please explain why and what alternative would you propose?

Answer Choices			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question		100.00%	16
1	You need to be helping everyone in these difficult times.			
2	Money should be spent on getting the unemployed back into work rather than giving money to those who are not interested in working			
3	why should the council disregard such payments? we should not be living in a spongers paradise.			



**5. If you disagree please explain why and what alternative would you propose?**

4	my family and i have worked full time from the time we all left school, we are not entitled to benefits, even though at times we may struggle. Why should we be expected to fund additional benefits for those who choose to sit on their backsides and do nothing.
5	I don't get additional payments, and i have to pay tax on all of my income, why should the council allow others to benefit from addition payments?
6	Why should residence get a reduction in their council tax, and still receive the same service as residence who have to pay 100%? If all residence pay 100% we can all pay less.
7	All income and benefits should be taken into account. I don't qualify for benefits as apparently i earn to much. Why is it that by doing the right thing and being employed means you get no help, whilst those who choose not to work get every handout possible? There needs to be a benefits cap that is positioned well below NMW so it encourages people into employment if they want to have "luxury goods" like mobile phones, huge TV, Sky or cars. Or make people work for the community for their benefits, like cleaning the streets, litter picking, maintaining hedgerows etc.
8	why should these individuals get additional help? i work, pay taxes and get nothing back!
9	Why should the council disregard any income a household receives? I have to pay tax and NI on all my income, and don't receive discounts etc. so why should other household be so entitled?  The alternative is to reduce the CTRS inline with their full income.
10	as a full time working person i have to pay taxes and NI on all of my income, and receive no benefits, even in times of hardship like the fuel increase. So why should a chosen few be able to claim extra because the council failed to take into account their full income?
11	All income should be taken into account, so that benefits can be reduced. lessen the burden on the rest of the residence.
12	These individuals already receive too much benefits, and it should be cut.
13	Se previous answer. Cut benefits and use the money to provide better services for all residence or reduce the council tax for all residence.
14	Reduce of cancel the scheme benefits, Our council taxes should be the same for all residence, why should some pay for the services, whilst others don't?
15	THE SCHEME OR ITS INTENTION DOES NOT APPLY OR COUNT FOR PEOPLE OVER 60 WHO HAVE SAVINGS BUT NO INCOME ALSO THERE NEEDS TO BE CHANGE ON THE U CATEGORY RATED SEVERE MEDICAL IMPEDIMENT STATUS THESE PEOPLE SUCH AS MYSELF COULD AT LEAST BE CONTRIBUTING 10% OF THE CHARGE FROM BENEFITS. THIS MONEY RAISED COULD GO TOWARDS HELPING OTHERS OUTSIDE OF STIPULATION CATEGORIES.
16	their are jobs if we go back to the old ways ,,industry must come back in

	answered	16
	skipped	124

#### 4. Part 3 - Disregarding emergency increases in national welfare benefits

6. Do you agree with this change to the scheme?				
Answer Choices			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes	<div><div></div></div>	78.95%	75

6. Do you agree with this change to the scheme?				
2	No		15.79%	15
3	Don't Know		5.26%	5
			answered	95
			skipped	45

7. If you disagree please explain why and what alternative would you propose?				
Answer Choices			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question		100.00%	16
1	The answer is the same as part 1 & 2.			
2	As long as time limited and less than 1 year			
3	see previous answers! why should people be better off on benefits?			
4	The total of all benefits should always be lower than the NMW for a 40 hour working week. These people cannot continue to expect society to keep paying for their standard of living if they are not prepared to get up and get a job.			
5	A persons full income should be used to calculate any benefit. We should be encouraging people off of benefits, and into employment.			
6	I have to pay tax and NI on all of my income, why should some residence benefit from the council ignoring all of their income?			
7	As previous answer			
8	again if i earned more i would pay more in tax, why should some additional payments be ignored? they are still a benefit and should be taken into consideration.			
9	It should not be a case of those who live off of benefits should keep receiving more benefits. It should be incentivised to encourage people off of benefits and into employment, so that they can pay their way in society.			
10	Again why should a chosen few have extra benefits? all incomes should be accounted for and benefits reduced accordingly.			
11	see previous answer.			
12	see previous answers			
13	Those on benefits receive discounts and assistance with things that those who work struggle to afford whilst living a normal life.			
14	The sum of money could be used on better projects within the town centre like promoting retail.			
15	Scrap the scheme, save the money and reduce the council tax for all residence.			
16	ANY DISREGARD IS HELPFUL AS LONG AS IT DOES NOT IMPAIR THE CLAIM OF OTHERS			
			answered	16
			skipped	124

## 5. Alternatives to changing the Council Tax Reduction Scheme

## 8. Please use this space to make any other comments on the proposed scheme.

Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question	100.00%	23
1	<p>I am in favour of providing support to those on low incomes providing appropriate checks are in place. And please try to do more to support the "squeezed middle" too. Many of us do not qualify for financial assistance and are barely getting by. As a small business owner working from home I received no support during Covid and now struggling to keep a small business afloat in this time of economic downturn yet again there is no assistance available.</p> <p>Just because we are home owners in relatively affluent areas does not mean we are coping financially. A council tax reduction that could be applied outside of low income households or those receiving benefits would help.</p>		
2	How will it deliver more targeted support & to who . Can you give examples ?		
3	All households could do with extra help in these difficult times. Perhaps the council could make some cutbacks itself to enable helping more people to have a lower Council tax bill.		
4	Services have to be paid for and by increasing the level of financial relief albeit to the lowest income householders increases the burden for other low income holders who do not qualify for any relief or benefits. This isn't equitable. Council tax should be affordable for all		
5	Each case should be considered on all its individual merits rather than just handed out based on income		
6	why not make the scheme 100% for the most vulnerable due to limited income sources. This could include single people who are unable to work or looking for work. It would seem counterproductive to seek recovery of 15% of council tax liability from those receiving less than £90 per week in universal credit (single, no premium, out of work) Make the case that those in this cohort would not be able to pay 15% due to such limited income and the recovery for CBC would be in breach of any cost recovery exercise. Cost to CBC would be minimal, the cost of the uplift should be made public so the electorate would understand how negligible the impact would be to CBC. Remove the politics between CBC and ECC and simply support those that cannot pay - Councillor Cory make the case for 100%		
7	I have no comment to make except that from what I have read I agree with this scheme.		
8	As a low income household and someone who is on PIP would I benefit from this or is it just for ones who don't try and work		
9	None		
10	People should be forced out to work and seek employment, not have the council give them more benefits.		
11	the benefits system is broken and open to abuse. anybody claiming benefits needs to give something back to society. Why should us taxpayers pay for their mobile phones, cars big TV's etc.		
12	The council should be reducing the CTRS so as not to burden those of us who have to pay full council tax. I don't get any addition services from the council for my money. why should I pay more than others? why should they get any council services? Or should the receive less of a service as they get such a reduction?		
13	benefits were introduce as a way to ensure people didn't fall on hard time if the became unemployed. Now a lot of people see it as a way of life. This is wrong and everything possible should be done to encourage everyone into employment. there should be a cap on the amount of benefits received, and the length of time it is received. it should be reduced over a period of time to encourage employment, and not living on state handouts.		
14	more emphasis should be place on getting people into work rather than increasing their benefits.		
15	this already costs the council too much, and if CTRS were reduced this saving could be passed on to all households as a benefit.		
16	There needs to be a benefit cap so the total benefits anybody can receive is well below the annual NMW for a 40 hour week. Its the only way to encourage people to get off their arse and get a job.		
17	The council should be looking to reduce the burden of all residents, and not expect a majority to fund the minority.		

### 8. Please use this space to make any other comments on the proposed scheme.

	There should be a cap on what reduction is available and it should be nowhere near the current rate. It should be set at below 50% to encourage people to not claim benefits, but to get out to work.		
18	A moratorium period of 1 year to those who just moved out of student life would be beneficial since they don't earn enough to maintain any good form of lifestyle. I have seen my kids skipping meals and gym in order to save money to pay off food and other rental bills.		
19	If the council reduces benefits, it will ease the burden on the many not the few.		
20	Absolute joke and un-necessary increase for those who choose to sit on their fat backsides and live off the state.		
21	The scheme smacks of a benefits charter. People should not be reliant on benefits.		
22	MY FAMILY AND I WHO HAVE EXTENSIVE KNOWLEDGE WORKING WITH THE COUNCIL ON THESE MATTERS HAVE STATED BEFORE THERE SHOULD BE A MORE BALANCED PARITY IN THE SYSTEM....U RATED CLAIMANTS WHO DO NOT PAY SHOULD CONTRIBUTE AT LEAST 5 TO 10 PERCENT AS TOKEN PAYMENT		
23	use your brains instead		
		answered	23
		skipped	117

### 9. Please use the space below if you would like the Council to consider any other options (please state).

Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question	100.00%	23
1	It's not just those on low incomes that need help in this financial crisis. These people get options to a number of benefits whilst those of us on moderate incomes, say a £1 over min.wage have to struggle on with no help at all. It's getting to the point where I see no point in working 50hr weeks and having no quality of life as there's no money after all the bills are paid.		
2	A reduction of all council tax across the board.		
3	Are we getting best value and competitive prices for services paid for via council tax? What about cutting costs?		
4	Please consider increasing support for those on single person discount and only have one income per household.		
5	None		
6	Consider an even larger discount for single parents (with school age children) on benefits. Finding even 15% of council tax when benefits have not increased in line with inflation is still a huge burden.		
7	Consider extending the scheme to other low income households who do not meet the current criteria		
8	other options are get off your lazy backside and get a job		
9	Get the lazy arsed people out cleaning the streets or doing something worthwhile for the community instead of sitting at home expecting the world to give them a living.		
10	The only real option is to get people back into employment and not expect to live off of the state at the expense of others.		
11	If the council reduced CTRS all residents would benefit from a reduced bill.		
12	Stop the council tax discount and reduce the burden for all residents.		
13	Consider reducing CTRS for the benefit of all residents.		

**9. Please use the space below if you would like the Council to consider any other options (please state).**

- |    |   |
|----|---|
| 14 | The option of stopping CTRS all together so we can all benefit from a reduction in council tax.   |
| 15 | Those claiming benefits should have to provide something back to the community, in return for what they claim.  |
| 16 | A moratorium period of 1 year to those who just moved out of student life would be beneficial since they don't earn enough to maintain any good form of lifestyle. I have seen my kids skipping meals and gym in order to save money to pay off food and other rental bills.  |
| 17 | i would like the council to stop all benefits, and encourage people back into employment or to find better paid jobs.   |
| 18 | A person's personal income should be taken into account. If you've got nowt in the bank, regardless of where you live, doesn't help you pay the council tax.  |
| 19 | More assistance to those who work full time especially in public services who have had real terms pay cuts of up to 17%   |
| 20 | The council needs to return to the scheme where low earners were completely exempt of a charge , especially in this current climate.  |
| 21 | Cut the CTRS to zero, use the money for the benefit of all residence.   |
| 22 | Encourage people back into employment.  |
| 23 | THIS COUNCIL NEEDS TO ACCEPT HOUSING BENEFIT NEW CLAIMANTS WHO ARE STILL ON THE OLD ESA SYSTEM AND NOT ON THE UNIVERSAL CREDITS<br>MANY OF US DO NOT WANT TO GO ONTO UNIVERSAL CREDIT WE WOULD LOSE OUR STATUS SHOULD WE DO SO<br>THE COUNCIL NEED TO FIGHT FOR AN INCREASE IN THE BUDGET TO ALLOW CURRENT NON UNI CREDIT CASES TO APPLY FOR HOUSING BENEFIT THAT YOU ARE STILL PAYING OUT UNDER A DEFAULT SYSTEM TO EXISTING CLAIMANTS<br>THIS WOULD GIVE YOU MORE JOBS IN YOUR AGENCY AND MORE STATUS IN WHAT YOU ARE DOING<br>IT WORKS BOTH WAYS FOR ALL |

answered	23
skipped	117

**10. If you have any further comments or questions to make regarding the Council Tax Reduction Scheme that you haven't had opportunity to raise elsewhere, please use the space below.**

Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question	100.00%	13
1	None		
2	I think it's highly commendable that you're asking opinions. Thank you		
3	I have recently been refused when applying for council tax reduction citing that I am not a full time registered student. But in my case I am 49 years old. In the past ten years of residing in Colchester I was never able to find a proper job and earn the national minimum wage due to child care and lack of chance for training. As long term unemployed, I am not qualified for any job seeker allowance. My husband is the scapegoat. He works day and night in hospitality industry. We paid all the taxes in the past ten years by living a restricted way of life to pay for mortgage and bringing up just one child. We are one vulnerable small family who knows no one else. No community support. We deal our own crisis. Now I got this chance to be enrolled in a government sponsored course for early year work force. It is registered as two year part time. But the tutor required us to finish theory in one year. We need to do at least two day work placement in a settings. I do not have any income for a year. The college gave a bursary to cover book travel and uniform as my net house income is lower than 27000. No one can afford to study in this economy. I wonder why council show no empathy to ordinary family like this. I feel I have a right to ask. I		



**10. If you have any further comments or questions to make regarding the Council Tax Reduction Scheme that you haven't had opportunity to raise elsewhere, please use the space below.**

	have paid my taxes. Yet in those difficult years when my child was small, when I lacked work experience, I was never able to find any support from local council. You have a duty to help long term unemployed mother to get back to work. I deserve rightfully the reduction to reduce household pressure in this difficult time when food price has gone up in a crazy way. For the years we suffered when school were closed. We are mothers not on any payroll. Yet I paid all my taxes for those three years!		
4	we live in a benefits driven society, which penalises those who work hard. that is all wrong, and people should not just look to handouts. the council should be reducing their costs not forcing those who choose to be employed to pay more.		
5	If anything the reduction scheme should be reducing the amount people can claim, to encourage them to work.		
6	If the council reduced CTRS rather than increase it they could use the additional funds on things that really matter.		
7	Why is there a need to increase this benefit? I don't see any benefit in the scheme for those residents who choose to work full time and pay their taxes etc. The council should treat all residence equally. If you reduce it for some then it should be reduced for all. Why should some of us be expected to fund £8M to cover this?		
8	It should be abolished.		
9	scrap the whole scheme and save us tax payers from the burden of those who chose to live on benefits.		
10	Use the money for the basics.....fill the potholes in.....pick the rubbish up.....we're supposed to be a city but we look like a third world nation in some parts.		
11	Well done for finding a simpler process and helping the most vulnerable		
12	Who in their right mind thinks this is OK? The council have absolutely no idea.		
13	THE LOG IN ADDRESS WAS WAY TOO LONG AND COULD HAVE DISENFRANCHISED OTHERS THE SYSTEM PROPOSED IS STILL VAGUE AND TOO LONG WINDED. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN BEST IN CLEAR MATH LANGUAGE TO SPELL OUT WHAT THE PROPOSALS WERE IE GIVEN A CLEAR CALCULATE EXAMPLE		
		answered	13
		skipped	127

## 6. About You

**11. Are you completing this form on behalf of an organisation or group?**



Answer Choices			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes	<div><div></div></div>	3.45%	3
2	No	<div><div></div></div>	96.55%	84
			answered	87
			skipped	53

If yes, please tell us the name of the organisation/group and add any other comments you wish to make.



Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question	100.00%	2
1	We are a group of student who just completed studies and rented a place to find jobs in our field.		
2	PRIVATE DISABLED CLAIMANT. I AM THE RELATIVE CARER AND POWER OF ATTORNEY		
		answered	2
		skipped	138

## 7. Questions for Individuals



### 12. Do you live in the Colchester Borough Council area?

Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes 	92.86%	78
2	No 	7.14%	6
		answered	84
		skipped	56



### 13. Are you currently receiving Council Tax Reduction?

Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes 	25.00%	21
2	No 	75.00%	63
		answered	84
		skipped	56



### 14. Are you or your partner in work or self-employed?

Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes 	69.05%	58
2	No 	30.95%	26
		answered	84
		skipped	56





### 15. Are you liable to pay Council Tax?

Answer Choices			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes		98.80%	82
2	No		1.20%	1
			answered	83
			skipped	57





### 16. Are you currently serving in the Armed Forces?

Answer Choices			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes		1.19%	1
2	No		98.81%	83
			answered	84
			skipped	56





### 17. What is your gender?

Answer Choices			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Male		20.24%	17
2	Female		57.14%	48
3	Non-Binary		1.19%	1
4	Prefer not to say		21.43%	18
			answered	84
			skipped	56




### 18. What is your age?

Answer Choices			Response Percent	Response Total
1	18-24		5.95%	5
2	25-34		8.33%	7
3	35-44		19.05%	16
4	45-54		20.24%	17





### 18. What is your age?

5	55-64		13.10%	11
6	65-74		9.52%	8
7	75-84		2.38%	2
8	85+		0.00%	0
9	Prefer not to say		21.43%	18
			answered	84
			skipped	56


### 19. Disability: Are your day to day activities limited because of a health problem or disability which has lasted, or is expected to last, at least 12 months?

Answer Choices			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes		15.48%	13
2	No		64.29%	54
3	Don't know		0.00%	0
4	Prefer not to say		20.24%	17
			answered	84
			skipped	56

### 20. Ethnic Origin: What is your ethnic group?

Answer Choices			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Prefer not to say		21.69%	18
2	White British		69.88%	58
3	White Irish		1.20%	1
4	White Gypsy or Irish Traveller		0.00%	0
5	Any other White background		3.61%	3
6	Mixed/Multiple ethnic groups - White & Black African		0.00%	0
7	Mixed/Multiple ethnic groups - White & Black Caribbean		0.00%	0
8	Mixed/Multiple ethnic groups - White & Asian		0.00%	0

## 20. Ethnic Origin: What is your ethnic group?

9	Any other multi mixed background		0.00%	0
10	Asian or Asian British Pakistani		0.00%	0
11	Asian or Asian British Indian		0.00%	0
12	Asian or Asian British Bangladeshi		1.20%	1
13	Asian or Asian British Chinese		0.00%	0
14	Any other Asian background		2.41%	2
15	Black African		0.00%	0
16	British Caribbean		0.00%	0
17	Black British		0.00%	0
18	Any other Black background		0.00%	0
			answered	83
			skipped	57

## 21. Other ethnic group?

Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question	0.00%	0
No answers found.			
		answered	0
		skipped	140