Saprh White Lucusing Officer

Dear Ms White,

1. Prevention of public missance:

does any one senously believe that ance this lemited drinks ! hierar has been obtained, The operator is going to stop There? a currory glance at re Puttin The Park's website show a variety of venues available for adult hime, for weddings, buthdays, corporate events - will Good lighting illumin ating The festivities when The light tades Plus requisite DI and sound systems, no doubt. As if one Party Venge in The Park is not enough! viz The Cricket Pavilian'. Which since it has become involved with an out side operator, now hosts norsy parties will into the night - will complaints to match 2 lublic safety. Parking, per 22, is a problem. But

to my mid, in The gallering to the Park are Those on food going to wit The Park in safety. This leads naturally on to...

3. Protection of children from harm. There is a difference here between young people ceaving, say the fabulous children's plany area, as night draws on, and youngsters leaving a venue where alcohol has been en sale all day. Pries are quoted for children tukets as Putt in The Park: why would alrohol be on. sale anywhere there are un accommpanied young sters? By he means a Tectofaller my self, l'arriggle to see why alrohol needs to be sold around duldren and young adults en joying Them selves. What mers age are we giving them!

Literally along side the softly of children, I would like to put the safety of our will life: as directly adjacent to one of the fences of Putt in the Park is a long standing Wild life Area, avidly policied - and nghtly so - by the park rangers. The proposed livence will ride

I rough shoot over this too. Drunk, noise,

lighting threaten bots which use that

part of the Folly as a high way; and if

is the only place in Colclester where I

regularly see stag beettes flying, in

early June ...

In conclusion, whilst on the subject of druk, and as a matter of genuine interest, does anyone know of any body who has even obtained a ticket, yel oilone attended The Oktoberfest event The Park now hosts? A mysterious affair. A big money-maker ter someone." Viscount Cowdray must be turning in his grown as he sees the park he gifted to the people of Colchester 100 years ago, primped out to the unacceptable face of capitalism by the very Borough Council who were meant to be its custodians.

Yours Janes

PUTT IN THE PARK LICENSING APPLICATION



To the Licensong officer.

I am writing to object to
The application for a license to
Serve alcohol at the 'Putt in the
Park' operation in the Costh Park.
My grounds for objection fell into
The category of 'public nuisance'.

The application states that the license will only be valid during the park opening times. Fine, as long as the park opening times remain as published atter the time of application. However, my major fear is that this is a 'Trojan Horse' opening that will see observation of the public license horng park opening times, but a

very different regime who the site is used for 'private event'.

Put on the Park's other three sites - Wandsworth, Bettersea and Acton Parks - all advertise as major features: late licenses, music and floodlit golf. (www. putinthe park. com) Is there any reason that, with their major investment in Colchester, this company will not seek to do the same here?

Residents of Castle a Roman Roads and of the Riverside Estate will mevitably suffer considerable public misance in such a seenanio. Late night music, drinking and coming and going as well as parking problems will be the price paid for allowing this operation the develop.

Those of us living on the environs of Caste Park naturally expect a neasonable level of centulariumets and activities. As a residut of 35 years standing I have neva felt the need to make any hand of complaint. However, sonce the cometé has franchised out the organising of events the marke in naise and straption has been expendial. Coming on top of this, the current application for a seemingly innocnous daytime alcohol license rings clarm Gells. I cannot see I Putt in the Park' settling for anything less man the ability to openate as they do on their after three sites. This application is the thing end of the wedge - once on, this company will take the next step

9

of extending their license to cover 'private events' resulting in misery for all in the neighbourhood.

yours six and





Colchester Borough Council Licensing Authority
P O Box 889
Rowan House
Sheepen Road
Colchester
CO 3 3WG

16 July 2019

Dear Colchester Borough Council Licensing Authority,

Re: Application for a Premises License by David Beamish for the premises 'Putt in the Park', Upper Bowls Green, Castle Park, Colchester CO1 1UG

We, the undersigned residents at nos 88, 90 and 92 Roman Road, Colchester, wish to express our strong objection to this application for a premises license to sell alcohol at what will be this 'Putt in the Park' venue in the former upper bowling green in Castle Park.

Our houses are within about 100 metres of this venue, and we are concerned that the proposed sale of alcohol from 8am until 9pm / seven days a week, as the application apparently states, could represent a significant change to the character of this quiet and peaceful part of the town centre.

We do not see any need at all to sell alcohol at such a venue. The 'Putt in the Park' company's website (www.puttinthepark.com) clearly shows that its other venues in London are not just about 'golf-putting', as we innocently assumed, but are being marketed as party venues for corporate functions as well as weddings and private parties. This will not just be a daytime activity for children and families in warmer months of the year but the clear boast of a "floodlit" course indicates that a substantial part of the activities will be these parties.

The sale of alcohol is clearly integral to the proposed operations of Putt in the Park. We recognise that not all alcohol consumption leads to public displays of anti-social behaviour, but already there are often problems with excessive alcohol consumption in the town centre, and Roman and Castle Roads see the unfortunate effects and results of this on regular occasions. This is also increasingly the case with the evergreater number of ticketed private events taking place in what is a public park, when the park is closed off to all but ticket holders (an aberration of the very definition of a "public park" anyway). Such events frequently lead to an increase in litter in the

APPENDIX 3

surrounding area (which no-one, it seems, bears any responsibility for clearing up except local residents themselves), and sometimes argument and disturbances obviously fuelled by excessive alcohol consumption.

There will also be great pressure on the availability of public parking close to this former bowling green. There is no parking immediately nearby, and which is concerned that party events in the evenings and on Sundays — which is most probably when they will take place and for when the alcohol license will be required, and which happens to be when the residents parking permissions are not in force — will lead to an increase in Putt in the Park customers looking to park their cars in Roman and Castle Road. The company's website says it can cater for parties of between 10 and 70 people. This could significantly contribute to what is already intense pressue on available parking spaces most of the time in these two roads.

Although it is too late to object to the Putt in the Park development even going ahead – which we would have done had we been aware of it – we wish to strongly oppose this application for an alcohol license on the grounds that it is unnecessary and will be a contributory factor to greatly increased noise, light pollution, litter, traffic issues, and potential anti-social behaviour. It could distinctly change the character of the area, which is why many of the residents live in Roman and Castle Roads, and the nearby Riverside area of housing, and have done for many years, and what attracts new residents.

We hope due consideration will be given to our objection,

Yours faithfully,