

**Application No: 162422** 

Location: 83 Ernest Road, Wivenhoe, CO7 9LJ

**Scale (approx):** 1:1250

The Ordnance Survey map data included within this publication is provided by Colchester Borough Council of Rowan House, 33 Sheepen Roadl, Colchester CO3 3WG under licence from the Ordnance Survey in order to fulfil its public function to act as a planning authority.

Persons viewing this mapping should contact Ordnance Survey copyright for advice where they wish to licence Ordnance Survey map data for their own use.

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey Material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller Of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.

Crown Copyright 100023706 2015

7.3 Case Officer: Bruce O'Brien Due Date: 08/12/2016 HOUSEHOLDER

Site: 83 Ernest Road, Wivenhoe, CO7 9LJ

Application No: 162422

Date Received: 13 October 2016

**Applicant:** Mrs Hannah De La Rue

**Development:** Erection of replacement ancillary outbuilding for storage.

Ward: Wivenhoe

**Summary of Recommendation:** Conditional Approval

# 1.0 Reason for Referral to the Planning Committee

1.1 This application is referred to the Planning Committee because Hannah de la Rue, the applicant, is an employee of Colchester Borough Council.

## 2.0 Synopsis

2.1 The key issues explored below are the proposal for a new outbuilding to the rear garden of 83 Ernest road. Also discussed is the proximity of the proposal to an established tree.

### 3.0 Site Description and Context

3.1 The site sits in a residential area, dominated by 1960's/70's detached bungalows and chalet dwellings. Many of these having been extended. To the North, South and West lie other residential plots, whilst the East side of the site fronts the highway. The site has front drive parking for 3 or 4 vehicles. To the rear of the site are neighbouring outbuildings and to the South there is a building that is presumed to be an annex. Where the proposal meets the Western border there is a large oak tree to the other side of the boundary.

## 4.0 Description of the Proposal

4.1 The proposal is for an outbuilding in the rear garden of the site.

## 5.0 Land Use Allocation

5.1 The site is located within the defined settlement limits and a residential area where development such as this is acceptable in principle.

# 6.0 Relevant Planning History

6.1 There is no planning history that has relevance to this proposal.

# 7.0 Principal Policies

- 7.1 Planning law requires that applications for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the development plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The National planning Policy Framework (NPPF) must also be taken into account in planning decisions and sets out the Government's planning policies are to be applied. The NPPF makes clear that the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. There are three dimensions to sustainable development: economic, social and environmental.
- 7.2 Continuing the themes of the NPPF, the adopted Colchester Borough Core Strategy (adopted 2008, amended 2014) adds detail through local strategic policies. Particular to this application, the following policies are most relevant:
  - SD1 Sustainable Development Locations
  - UR2 Built Design and Character
- 7.3 In addition, the following are relevant adopted Colchester Borough Development Policies (adopted 2010, amended 2014):
  - DP1 Design and Amenity DP13 Dwelling Alterations, Extensions and Replacement Dwellings
- 7.4 Regard should also be given to the following adopted Supplementary Planning Guidance/Documents:

Sustainable Construction The Essex Design Guide External Materials in New Developments

### 8.0 Consultations

8.1 The tree officer at Colchester Borough Council has recommended a condition for an arboriculture impact assessment report and associated method statement.

In addition to the details reported above, the full text of all consultation responses is available to view on the Council's website.

## 9.0 Parish Council Response

9.1 Wivenhoe Town Council have stated that whilst they have no material planning objections, but wish the Council to take the views of neighbours into account.

### 10.0 Representations

10.1 None received.

### 11.0 Parking Provision

11.1 Parking provision will remain unaltered.

# 12.0 Open Space Provisions

12.1 This scheme raises no concerns regarding open space.

# 13.0 Air Quality

13.1 The site is outside of any Air Quality Management Area and will not generate significant impacts upon the zones.

### 14.0 Development Team and Planning Obligations

14.1 This application is not classed as a "Major" application and therefore there was no requirement for it to be considered by the Development Team and it is considered that no Planning Obligations should be sought via Section 106 (S106) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

## 15.0 Report

## Design, Appearance, Materials

15.1 The design of the proposed outbuilding is satisfactory. Whilst fairly large in scale, it would be placed to the rear of the garden and would have minimal effect on the character of the area or the setting of the dwelling. The design is fairly standard for an outbuilding. It would have two sets of double doors and a sail hatch to its front and two windows to one side. The materials to be used would be unobtrusive. The external materials would be hardiplank (or similar) to the walls, upvc windows, timber doors and concrete roof tiles.

### Scale, Form

15.2 The scale of the proposed outbuilding is not significant. It would be sited 1.5 m from the rear boundary and 0.7m from the Southern boundary. It would be 2.02 m high to its eaves and 3.32 m to its ridge. The footprint is proposed to be 6.9 m long by 4.58 m wide.

There would be gable ends to its front and rear elevations.

## **Amenity**

15.3 The proposal would not be materially overbearing to neighbours, nor would it cause material, harmful loss of light or overshadowing to neighbouring properties.

#### Trees

15.4 There is a mature oak tree to the rear of the application site. Development close to this tree will be subject to an arboriculture condition.

#### 16.0 Conclusion

16.1 The proposed outbuilding would be of a standard form and would not create amenity issues for neighbours. Subject to an arboriculture condition, the application is recommended for approval.

#### 17.0 Recommendation

### 17.1 **APPROVE** subject to the following conditions:

#### 18.0 Conditions

#### 1 - Time Limit for Full Permissions

The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

# 2 - \*Development to Accord With Approved Plans

The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the details shown on the submitted Drawing Numbers 83ER-10,11,and 12.

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt as to the scope of this permission and in the interests of proper planning.

#### 3 - Non-Standard Condition/Reason

No works or development shall be carried out until an Arboricultural Implications Assessment, Arboricultural Method Statement and Tree Protection Plan in accordance with BS 5837, have been submitted to and approved, in writing, by the Local Planning Authority (LPA). Unless otherwise agreed, the details shall include the retention of an Arboricultural Consultant to monitor and periodically report to the LPA, the status of all tree works, tree protection measures, and any other arboricultural issues arising during the course of development. The development shall then be carried out strictly in accordance with the approved method statement.

Reason: To adequately safeguard the continuity of amenity afforded by existing trees.

# 19.0 Positivity Statement

19.1 The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that may have been received and subsequently determining to grant planning permission in accordance with the presumption in favour of sustainable development, as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework.