

**Colchester Borough Council Strategic Risk Register**  
**Draft For Cabinet September 2021**

**Appendix 1**

				Initial Score										Residual Score		
Ref	Risk Title	Description	Strategic / Recovery Strand	P	I	O	RATING	Consequences	Mitigation	Lead	P	I	O			
ST4	Covid-19 Pandemic	Ongoing, and recovery, impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on the council, the communities, our customers and the economy. The uncertainty of planning for future service delivery alongside the threat of further infection waves and restrictions.	Service Provision	5	4	20	Very High	The ongoing and future impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic including service and staff resilience and shortages, including through self-isolation, additional service pressures, short term changes in policy and alert status disrupting delivery of strategic priorities, impacts on service delivery with additional cost pressures and potentially reduced income levels alongside additional work to support recovery.	Delivery of the revised Strategic Plan which incorporates recovery objectives and additional burdens (e.g. outbreak controls). Specific recovery actions, including Reopening of the High Street, being monitored through the project management process. Maximise the use of available government support including income loss grant.	Chief Operating Officer	4	3	12			
ST2	Spending Power	Following two single-year spending reviews in 2021 the Government is committed to a Comprehensive Spending Review in 2021. This will impact on Council funding for 2022/23, with the Ministry for Housing, Communities and Local Government not one of the departments with 'protected' departmental expenditure limits (DEL).	Finance	4	4	16	Very High	Changes could be favourable or adverse for the Council's funding. If adverse, the Council could lose the opportunity to develop further, may have enforced changes to service delivery and covid recovery. Adverse impact on local residents / resources. Missed opportunities to boost local economy. Conflict between Council / Government agendas. Reduction in levels of service provision and potential withdrawal of services.	Maintain a constant review of the budget situation, including the impact of decisions from central government. Identify additional actions and areas for increasing income as necessary, including through the Council's Transformation Programme. Reduce reliance on New Homes Bonus to support the base budget. Lobby Government for a fair, multi-year funding settlement.	Chief Operating Officer	4	3	12			
SP4	Compliance	Failure to protect public funds and resources – ineffective probity / monitoring systems/legislative breaches. Especially as a result of the rapid changes in service delivery required to respond to the impacts of Covid-19	Finance	3	5	15	Very High	Service delivery failure. Financial and reputational loss by the Authority. Personal liability of Officers and Members. Legal actions against the Council. Loss of stakeholder confidence in the Borough. Inability to sustain costs. Failure to delivered balanced budget as planned. A need to use balances / reserves or to adapt financial plans to deal with impact of changes. Required to use Reserves & Resources to fund capital priorities. Severe impact on cash-flow leading to negative effect on performance targets.	Ensure the outcomes of the assurance systems that form the internal control environment, (including Internal Audit, Risk Management, Budget process, Corporate Governance and performance management) are appropriately reported so that issues and concerns are managed, and variances are spotted at an early stage. Horizon scanning upcoming legislative / policy changes	Chief Operating Officer	3	4	12			
CO3	Financial Inequality	The current, and future, impacts of Covid 19 are likely to increase the number of vulnerable residents leading to greater financial inequality and increasing the number of residents seeking support from the Council.	Community	3	4	12	High	The Council fails to support our most vulnerable residents leading to an increase in crisis intervention.	Regularly monitor the impact of the resources allocated to supporting customers, to ensure that they are signposted to appropriate providers/partners. AT THE CURRENT TIME, DUE TO THE UNKNOWN IMPACTS OF COVID-19, MITIGATION DOESN'T REDUCE THE SCORE.	Strategic Director, Customers and Relations	3	4	12			
SP3	Cyber Security	The Council suffers a successful cyber attack on it's computer systems.	Service Provision	4	4	16	Very High	Potentially severe disruption to core services, with financial, legal and reputational impacts for the Council, and potentially significant harm caused to residents.	Ongoing review of IT policies and protocols to ensure that they are fit for purpose and implement a training program for all staff and members. Implementing defined action plans to rapidly respond to any attacks on our systems to help minimise any potential damage. <i>CBC suffered a targeted cyber attack in December 2020, which was successful in breaching the security protocols. However the activation of the rapid response plans to lock accounts, reset all passwords and introduce new measures (including multi factor authentication for all users) were effective in limiting the impact and demonstrated the effectiveness of the mitigation measures in place.</i>	Assistant Director, Corporate & Improvement	3	3	9			

				Initial Score										Residual Score		
Ref	Risk Title	Description	Strategic / Recovery Strand	P	I	O	RATING	Consequences	Mitigation	Lead	P	I	O			
CO1	Partnership Delivery	Failure or inappropriate performance management of one or more strategic partnerships or key contracts E.g. Haven Gateway, LEP, ICS, CBH, Emergency Services	Community	3	4	12	High	The cost of service delivery is increased however quality decreases. Failure to deliver key priorities. Reputational and financial loss by the Authority. Failure to deliver expected outcomes through partnerships. Requirement to repay external funding granted to partnership – taking on the liabilities of the 'withdrawn' partner. External assessment of the Councils partnerships are critical and score poorly.	Review the assessment process for proposed strategic partnerships (to ensure that they will satisfy the Council's objectives) that needs to be signed off by EMT before commitment to new partnerships is made.	Strategic Director, Customers and Relations	3	3	9			
ST3	Partnership Commitment	Change of direction / policy within key partner organisation and they revise input / withdraw from projects. Increased risk due to partners having to review service provision in response to the impacts of Covid-19 on their business.	Strategic	4	3	12	High	The cost of service delivery is increased however quality decreases. Failure to deliver key priorities. Reputational and financial loss by the Authority. Failure to deliver expected outcomes through partnerships. Requirement to repay external funding granted to partnership – taking on the liabilities of the 'withdrawn' partner. External assessment of the Councils partnerships are critical and score poorly.	Set a formal relationship / performance review process to be used by all partnerships and ensure results are reported to senior management. Ensure that Exec Board and Leadership review partnerships on a regular basis. Embed Scrutiny Cttee process for key partnerships including CBH and the Safer Colchester Partnership.	Strategic Director, Customers and Relations & Strategic Director, Policy & Place	3	3	9			
CO2	CBC Function	The expectation remains that the Council will step in to deliver services when other providers either fail or reduce service provision	Community	4	3	12	High	The Council suffers from a loss of reputation as customers' expectations are not met. There is increased demand on existing services leading to a reduction in standards of delivery.	Ensure that Cabinet set a clear and consistent message about the role of CBC for customers and partners.	Strategic Director, Customers and Relations	3	3	9			
CM1	Service Innovation	Not taking or creating opportunities to maximise the efficient delivery of services through shared provision, partnerships or commercial delivery	Service Delivery	4	3	12	High	If not properly managed then either the Council could lose the opportunity to develop further or may have enforced changes to service delivery. Adverse impact on local residents / resources. Missed opportunities to boost local economy. Conflict between Council / Government agendas. Reduction in levels of service provision and potential withdrawal of services.	Clear approach and 'roadmap' for transforming the Council including an 'innovation' strand, monitored by the Senior Management Team and reported to Cabinet as part of the budget process.	Strategic Director, Policy & Place	3	3	9			
SP1	Data Protection	Sensitive data, in any format, is not correctly managed, processed or protected from loss or theft in line with GDPR and Data Protection Act requirements.	Service Provision	3	4	12	High	Potentially severe disruption to core services and/or data theft, with financial, legal and reputational impacts for the Council, and potentially significant harm caused to residents from any breach, potential financial losses, directly or indirectly e.g. ICO fines.	Ongoing review of data security policies and protocols to ensure that they are fit for purpose and implement a training program for all staff and members as required. Provision of secure CBC devices to staff and Members.	Chief Operating Officer	2	4	8			
PR1	Budget Strategy	Inability to deliver the budget strategy as planned.	Finance	3	4	12	High	Failure to deliver the planned budget. Unplanned additional use of balances / reserves or to adapt financial plans to deal with impact of changes. May be a need to make in-year budget and service changes.	Ensure effective use of the controls built into the annual budget strategy, to enable the organisation to respond quickly to changes. Regular monitoring of actual spend/income and sensitivity analysis to consider the impact on income streams and the capacity of the organisation to deliver services. Regular and open reporting and updating of the budget position through Cabinet, prudent use of reserves where necessary to mitigate in-year losses. Transformation Programme established early in 2021 to contribute savings to balance the 22/23 and future year's budgets.	Chief Operating Officer	2	4	8			

				Initial Score							Residual Score		
Ref	Risk Title	Description	Strategic / Recovery Strand	P	I	O	RATING	Consequences	Mitigation	Lead	P	I	O
OP1	ICT	Major system failure causing significant service disruption	Operational	2	5	10	High	The accelerated use of technology in 2020 and increasing reliance on IT to continue normal business leaves the Council potentially vulnerable to any major system failure, across all service areas.	Continue to shift any remaining legacy systems into more resilient, cloud-based solutions in line with ICT strategy. Ensure that the IT Disaster Recovery plan, and service plans, adequately reflect the organisation's requirements and provide an effective framework for maintaining service provision. Regularly review the IT development strategy to ensure it continues to support the organisations ambitions and provides appropriate safeguards for IT service delivery.	Assistant Director, Corporate & Improvement	2	4	8
SP5	Staff Wellbeing	The sustained response to the pandemic to ensure continued (and additional) service delivery, results in staff reaching their personal resilience levels, leading to organisational fatigue.	Service Provision	3	4	12	High	Decline in service performance. Disengaged and demotivated staff. Efficiency and productivity reduction. Inability to meet changing requirements and needs. Insufficient capacity to deliver the transformation programme. Customer perceptions decline as we deliver less. Loss of key staff.	Monitor staff morale and trends using staff surveys and by monitoring the People Dashboard; and ensure good communications with staff, exploiting new technologies such as Yammer. Implement the action plan for the People Strategy; ensuring that performance is regularly monitored. Regularly report the progress of the learning and development strategy, including financial considerations and business behaviours, and exploring training alternatives. Use it as an opportunity to review individuals in the community who could be retrained and reskilled, using processes such as supported internships.	Assistant Director, Corporate & Improvement	2	3	6
EF1	Capacity	The Capital programme contains more projects than the Council has the ability to deliver due to limited resources including specialist staff.	Efficiency	3	3	9	Medium	The Borough Council is unable to deliver the priorities as set out in the strategic plan and key projects are delayed, increase in cost or fail to be delivered. Inability to leverage additional resources.	Manage the recruitment and development processes to ensure that the organisation has the appropriate skills and expertise. Frequently review the Capital Programme to ensure that programmes are realistic and deliverable with available resources and / or identify any additional resources required to deliver key projects. Agile methodology enables project scopes to be adjusted through effective governance processes. Establishment of the Capital Programme Steering Group (informal Cabinet) to oversee the entire capital programme and address any capacity and other risks before they become issues.	Assistant Director, Corporate & Improvement	3	2	6