

Application No: 151672 Location: Stanway Rectory, Church Lane, Stanway, Colchester, CO3 8LR

Scale (approx): 1:1250

The Ordnance Survey map data included within this publication is provided by Colchester Borough Council of Rowan House, 33 Sheepen Roadl, Colchester CO3 3WG under licence from the Ordnance Survey in order to fulfil its public function to act as a planning authority. Persons viewing this mapping should contact Ordnance Survey copyright for advice where they wish to licence Ordnance Survey map data for their own use.

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey Material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller Of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Crown Copyright 100023706 2015

7.4 Case Officer	Richard Collins Due Date: 28/09/2015 HOUSEHOLDER
Site:	Stanway Rectory, Church Lane, Stanway, Colchester, CO3 8LR
Application No:	151672
Date Received:	3 August 2015
Agent:	Tim Moll Architecture Ltd
Applicant:	Mr Mclean
Development:	Demolition of outbuildings and construction of single storey and two storey extensions. (Resubmission of application 150746)
Ward:	Copford & West Stanway
Summary of Recommendation: Conditional Approval	

# **1.0** Reason for Referral to the Planning Committee

1.1 This application is referred to the Planning Committee because the applicant is related to a member of the Council.

# 2.0 Synopsis

2.1 The key issues explored below are that of design and amenity. The proposal is considered to have an acceptable design that would not result in any amenity issues – such as overlooking or overshadowing. Approval is recommended.

#### 3.0 Site Description and Context

3.1 The application property is a red brick and plain tile detached two-storey dwelling with attached single-storey addition and detached single garage set in spacious grounds. The property is setback approx. 40 metres from the highway, with an intervening coppice of trees located between the application property and the highway. The application site is bounded by agricultural land to the north and west, with the nearest residential properties located to the east (Airlie) and south-west (Owlstree House), both of which are two-storey properties.

# 4.0 Description of the Proposal

4.1 The proposal is for the demolition of the existing detached single garage, and attached single-storey utility room, and erection of single-storey and two-storey extensions.

- 4.2 The single-storey elements include the provision of a dining room, utility, w/c, workshop and triple garage to the front and side of the dwelling. The single-storey extensions would be a maximum of 4.7 metres in height, and protrude approx. 11.5 metres forward of the existing dwellinghouse. The dining room, utility and workshop would be finished in a cream render, with roof tiles to match the existing plain tiles. The triple garage would be finished in back hardiplank cement boarding, and matching plain tiles to the roof. Three roof-lights are proposed in the roof slope of the workshop and garage extensions, all facing into the site.
- 4.3 The two-storey element to the side of the dwelling includes the provision of an extended family kitchen at ground floor, with an additional bedroom at first floor. The extension would be a maximum of 8.8 metres in height, and 7.1 metres in width. The extension would be finished in cream painted render, and plain tiles to match existing.
- 4.4 The application drawings also include a rear facing dormer window in the roof slope of the existing dwelling. This dormer window would be classed as permitted development and therefore does not form part of the proposal.

# 5.0 Land Use Allocation

5.1 Residential.

# 6.0 Relevant Planning History

6.1 150746 - Demolition of outbuildings and construction of single storey and two storey extensions. Granted on 26 June 2015.

#### 7.0 Principal Policies

- 7.1 Planning law requires that applications for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the development plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The National planning Policy Framework (NPPF) must also be taken into account in planning decisions and sets out the Government's planning policies are to be applied. The NPPF makes clear that the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. There are three dimensions to sustainable development: economic, social and environmental.
- 7.2 Continuing the themes of the NPPF, the adopted Colchester Borough Core Strategy (adopted 2008, amended 2014) adds detail through local strategic policies. Particular to this application, the following policies are most relevant:

UR2 - Built Design and Character

7.3 In addition, the following are relevant adopted Colchester Borough Development Policies (adopted 2010, amended 2014):

DP1 Design and Amenity DP13 Dwelling Alterations, Extensions and Replacement Dwellings DP19 Parking Standards 7.4 Regard should also be given to the following adopted Supplementary Planning Guidance/Documents:

Vehicle Parking Standards Extending Your House? The Essex Design Guide

## 8.0 Consultations

8.1 Natural England – No comments to make regarding this application.

In addition to the details reported above, the full text of all consultation responses is available to view on the Council's website.

## 9.0 Parish Council Response

9.1 The Parish Council raises no objections to this application.

#### 10.0 Representations

10.1 None received.

#### 11.0 Parking Provision

11.1 No change.

#### 12.0 Open Space Provisions

12.1 No change.

#### 13.0 Air Quality

13.1 The site is outside of any Air Quality Management Area and will not generate significant impacts upon the zones.

#### 14.0 Development Team and Planning Obligations

14.1 This application is not classed as a "Major" application and therefore there was no requirement for it to be considered by the Development Team and it is considered that no Planning Obligations should be sought via Section 106 (S106) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

# 15.0 Report

- 15.1 As reported at paragraph 6.1, planning permission has already been granted for an almost identical development on 26 June 2015, which was presented to the Planning Committee on 25 June 2015. Following the granting of this permission, the applicant wishes to slightly increase the size of the single-storey front extension which forms the dining room from 4.8m in width to 6.8m in width (internally). This has resulted in the single-storey front triple garage extension moving closer to the boundary with the neighbouring property to the north (Airlie), from 3.2m to 1.2m at its closest point. Such a change could not be accepted as a non-material amendment and hence the need for the re-submitted application.
- 15.2 The application site is located outside of the defined settlement boundary of Stanway. Policy DP13 of the adopted Colchester Borough Development Policies (adopted 2010, amended 2014), states development of this nature outside of the settlement boundaries will be supported only where all the following relevant criteria can be met:
  - (i) Respects and enhances the character of the original dwelling and does not significantly alter its size;
  - (ii) Respects and enhances the landscape character and setting of the site and the wider rural area; and
  - (iii) Avoids a cramped form of development and retains an appropriate level of private amenity space for the occupants of the dwelling.
- 15.3 The principle of development is therefore acceptable, subject to the detailed criteria above.

#### Design and Layout

- 15.4 The proposed two-storey extension would be recessed from the existing dwelling by an appropriate amount and would be 0.5 metres lower than the existing dwelling. Both of these factors would mean that the proposal would be read as a subservient addition that would not overwhelm the original dwelling.
- 15.5 The single-storey extensions whilst projecting forward of the existing dwelling would not harm the setting of the site, given its spacious setting and setback from the highway, and given the break in roof levels between the workshop extension and triple garage, the garage would read as a separate addition. This is accentuated by a change in materials between the workshop and garage.
- 15.6 Whilst the roof materials are to match the existing plain tiles found on the dwellinghouse, the proposed facing materials differ from that on the existing dwelling, being soft red bricks. Given the age of the property, likely to be circa 1930, finding a suitable brick match may be difficult. The proposal therefore includes a cream painted render and black hardiplank cement boarding to the triple garage. These materials are considered to be acceptable, and assist in reading the extension as a subservient extension and a later addition to the historic evolution of the property.

# Impact on the Surrounding Area

- 15.7 Church Lane is a rural lane punctuated by large residential properties set within spacious grounds. The application site itself is large and can accommodate the proposed development comfortably.
- 15.8 Neighbouring properties are two-storey in form, with single-storey attached and detached additions. The pallet of materials on surrounding properties are also mixed, with in particular Robin Hill to the north of the site which has a mixture of red brick, cream painted render and black boarding.
- 15.9 The site is fronted by a small coppice of trees (which is outside of the red line of the application) which helps to screen the property from views from the public highway. It is considered the proposed development will not have any adverse impact on landscape character, the setting of the site and the wider area.

#### Impact on Neighbouring Properties

15.10 Regarding amenity the nearest neighbour to the proposal is the property known as Airlie to the north of the application site. The proposed extension would be a minimum of 1.2 metres from the boundary, and approx. 19 metres from the side elevation of Airlie. Whilst the boundary line is formed by metre high chestnut pale fencing, there are a number of mature trees within the ownership of the neighbouring property which would help to screen the development. In any event given the distance of the extension to Airlie, and that no first floor side windows are proposed in the two-storey extension, the proposal is not considered to have any adverse impact on the amenities of neighbouring properties by way of overlooking or overshadowing.

# Other Matters

- 15.11 The proposal will retain an appropriate level of private amenity space for the occupants of the dwelling, and would not appear cramped or overdeveloped.
- 15.12 It is noted that the parking spaces within the triple garage are under-sized when assessed against the adopted Parking Standards, however the property is afforded significant parking, turning and manoeuvring facilities within its frontage, which would still be retained following the implementation of this development. As a result, the under-sized parking spaces are not considered to be a reason to refuse planning permission.

# 16.0 Conclusion

16.1 The design is considered acceptable and there would be no detrimental amenity impacts. The proposal is therefore considered acceptable and would comply with Policies DP1, DP13 and UR2.

### 17.0 Recommendation

17.1 APPROVE subject to the following conditions.

# 18.0 Conditions

# 1 - Time Limit for Full Permissions

The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission. Reason: To comply with the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

## 2 - \*Development to Accord With Approved Plans

The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the details shown on the submitted Drawing Numbers 02G and 03C. Reason: For the avoidance of doubt as to the scope of this permission and in the interests of proper planning.

#### 3 - Non-Standard Condition/Reason

The external facing and roofing materials to be used shall be those specified on the submitted application form and drawings, unless otherwise agreed, in writing, by the Local Planning Authority. Reason: To ensure that materials are of an acceptable quality appropriate to the area.

### 19.0 Informatives

# (1) **ZT0 – Advisory Note on Construction & Demolition**

The developer is referred to the attached advisory note Advisory Notes for the Control of Pollution during Construction & Demolition Works for the avoidance of pollution during the demolition and construction works. Should the applicant require any further guidance they should contact Environmental Control prior to the commencement of the works.

(2) All works affecting the highway should be carried out by prior arrangement with, and to the requirements and satisfaction of, the Highway Authority and application for the necessary works should be made by initially telephoning 08456 037631.

#### (3) **ZTA - Informative on Conditions Stating Prior to Commencement/Occupation**

PLEASE NOTE that this permission contains a condition precedent that requires details to be agreed and/or activity to be undertaken either before you commence the development or before you occupy the development. This is of critical importance. If you do not comply with the condition precedent you may invalidate this permission. Please pay particular attention to these requirements.

# 20.0 Positivity Statement

The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that may have been received and subsequently determining to grant planning permission in accordance with the presumption in favour of sustainable development, as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework.