Colchester City Council - Council Tax Support Scheme 2024/25 Consultation

2. Background to the Council Tax Support Scheme consultation

1. I have read the background information about the Council Tax Support Scheme: This question must be answered before you can continue.				
Ar	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total	
1	Yes		98.25%	112
2	No		1.75%	2
			answered	114
			skipped	50

3. Part 1 - Introducing an income banded scheme for all working age applicants which will provide up to 80% support

2. Do you agree with introducing an income-based banded discount scheme as shown above?				
A	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total	
1	Yes	76.67%	69	
2	No	13.33%	12	
3	Don't Know	10.00%	9	
		answered	90	
		skipped	74	

An	Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question		100.00%	13
	 I am single parent with one child and a non dependant. With the cost of living, r are I am still struggling with paying all of my bills and trying to keep a roof over with paying council tax etc but there is nothing out there to help me financially I am concerned about the high cost of living and feel this scheme may put further paid employment on a low income. This raises the risk that CTX will not be paid to debt recovery measures However, I have concerns that couples on lower incomes are at a disadvantage 			
			•	
	4	No support for pensioners		

5	Why is it just people receiving all these benefit that have to pay less.		
	What about single female pensioners, with no benefits, because they are stupid They have less income than those on all these benefits.	enough to hav	ve savings.
6	For Working people I agree, for people who don't work but who can should have and removed/reduced as appropriate. Its the tax bands and costs that need to be		
7	Such reductions put blockages (like fair rent does) in the market and either trap others unfair advantages.	people in pov	verty or give
8	In these times of 'cost of living crisis' I don't feel that expecting anyone who is vuincome should be squeezed even more by reducing their support from the current perhaps councils should seriously considering their own internal operating costs costs cutting changes by way of reducing fact finding missions abroad by senior	nt 85% to 80% and introduce	some
9	I think it is a good idea to have bands similar to this as I hate the fact that as my through the year (purely due to fluctuating childcare costs) my council tax benefit month to month. One month I may pay £30, the next £140 and so it is impossible.	t entitlement c	hanges
10	Protection has to be linked to consumption. If all the apples is eaten or and the massures repetition of this dangerous error by the reaction of other memebrs of the protect . Life is not possuble to protect if the week are not open to you will die by week. Nature then cant help just illness fpr all . And fpr the vulnerabke no life qu to medicak teams to see if this works or that new implant nano item sends a head clump to stay outspde snd emter fpr hee sex act or children . Remkve the laws the eat with no feedback to say stop . The comminty will not find the above crimes as a practise and accepted work recimportant tax on stupid	e community so this action the ality and norm at to initiae the hat would allow	signed to ey stay ally handed nueron w a peeson
11	Far to many people see benefits as a way of living, with no intention or inclinatio their selves. The maximum amount of benefit should be capped at a rate of less hour week. The council should not be encouraging people to remain on benefits by providing incentives, they should be reducing the levels of support to encourage people in This would also reduce the burden on hard working families who have to pay 10 balance the councils books, so that they do not have to reduce other service levels.	than the NMV g such big disc to work. 0%, it would a	V for a 40 count
12	Will the Single Persons discount of 25% still be available? If not, the proposed n Scheme discount of 80% will add substantially to the bill of (for example) a single Credit with either of the Limited Capabilities for Work. Those people have alread beyond the bone.	e person on U	niversal
13	It seems to me that while on Universal Credit until 31/03/2024 the discount will b discount will be 20%. Meaning those with the lowest incomes will have to pay 5% will those low incomes find the extra money. Everything is cut to the bone alread Surely the extra 5% can be cut from the top end earners who will have more final	% extra. Where ly.	e on Earth
		answered	13
		skipped	151

4. Part 2 - Removing Non Dependant Deductions

4	. Do you agree with thi	s proposal?	
A	nswer Choices	Respon Percer	
1	Yes	74.70%	62
2	No	13.25%	6 11

4. Do you agree with this proposal?					
3	Don't Know		12.05%	10	
			answered	83	
			skipped	81	

1 Open-Ended Question 100.00% 10 1 I feel the income of all non-dependants living in a property should be taken in to account I I I feel the income of all non-dependants living in a property I think it's only fair that they contribute towards the household expenses and cover the non dep deduction. If the non dep was living on their own they would have a ctax liability which they would have to cover. I can see that it's easier for the claimant and CCC administratively but you don't say if the non-dependant is working (they could be receiving benefits themselves). If they're working, they should be contributing to household costs, which ups the household income. I The same confusion as usual 5 This is too intrusive, you are trapping people in poverty and not helping them into work. If there is more than 1 adult in a house that are non dependent and of working age, then they do have the chance to work to pay council tax, if you give discount it will only make them think they don't need to find work as it would be easier to just claim discount. 7 should pay something toward the household Interesure and they pay the ower dinternational debts which they governements realsize to its or thwice citezens in holdidays abroad . Ofher rewards . And the torusit comminity more often than not relaise that the inciming tourist are coreecting the no work syndrome that many areas are better suited to. Using green agendas or.policy thoughts that is mite sustainabme and a ship. Expreted work our deserving teams of wprkers realises another ballance with out which writilery weapon is this? No no yes ohhhhhhh. Can kill children too delivery it. 9	An	Answer Choices Response Response Percent 1					
 2 If a non dependent with their own income is living in a property I think it's only fair that they contribute towards the household expenses and cover the non dep deduction. If the non dep was living on their own they would have a ctax liability which they would have to cover. 3 I can see that it's easier for the claimant and CCC administratively but you don't say if the non-dependant is working (they could be receiving benefits themselves). If they're working, they should be contributing to household costs, which ups the household income. 4 The same confusion as usual 5 This is too intrusive, you are trapping people in poverty and not helping them into work. 6 If there is more than 1 adult in a house that are non dependent and of working age, then they do have the chance to work to pay council tax, if you give discount it will only make them think they don't need to find work as it would be easier to just claim discount. 7 should pay something toward the household 8 The excess money if any is not for this expression. That miney goes to the national treasure and they pay the owed internaiolnal debts which thoer governements realsiee to its or thwier citezens in holdidays abroad. Ofher rewards . And the toruist cpumminty more often than not relaise that the inciming touirist are coreecting the no work syndrome that many areas are better suited to. Using green agendas or policy thoughts that is mite sustainabme and a ship. Exprted woth our deserving teams of wprkers realises another ballance with out which wrillery weapon is this? No no yes ohhhhhh. Can kill children too delivery it. 9 the whole households income should be taken into account, and everything should be done to reduce the levels of support, to encourage people back into paid employment. 10 Excuse my cynicism (perhaps it could be not understanding the proposal clearly enough) but this seems to good to be true. "The negatives are: "Although the proposal could increase th	1	1 Open-Ended Question			10		
 towards the household expenses and cover the non dep deduction. If the non dep was living on their own they would have a ctax liability which they would have to cover. I can see that it's easier for the claimant and CCC administratively but you don't say if the non-dependant is working (they could be receiving benefits themselves). If they're working, they should be contributing to household costs, which ups the household income. The same confusion as usual This is too intrusive, you are trapping people in poverty and not helping them into work. If there is more than 1 adult in a house that are non dependent and of working age, then they do have the chance to work to pay council tax, if you give discount it will only make them think they don't need to find work as it would be easier to just claim discount. should pay something toward the household The excess money if any is not fpr this expression. That miney goes to the national treasure and they pay the owed international debts which thoer governements realsiee to its or thwire ritezens in holdidays abroad. Ofher rewards. And the toruist comminity more often than not relaise that the inciming tourist are coreacting the no wyrk syndrome that many areas are better suited to. Using green agendas or policy thoughts that is mite sustainabme and a ship. Exprted woth our deserving teams of wprkers realises another ballance with out which wrtillery weapon is this? No no yes ohhhhhh. Can kill children too delivery it. the whole households income should be taken into account, and everything should be done to reduce the levels of support, to encourage people back into paid employment. Excuse my cynicism (perhaps it could be not understanding the proposal clearly enough) but this seems to good to be true. "The negatives are: Although the proposal could increase the cost of the scheme, there are no negatives for people who qualify for the Council Tax Support Scheme." 		1	I feel the income of all non-dependants living in a property should be taken in to	account			
 is working (they could be receiving benefits themselves). If they're working, they should be contributing to household costs, which ups the household income. The same confusion as usual This is too intrusive, you are trapping people in poverty and not helping them into work. If there is more than 1 adult in a house that are non dependent and of working age, then they do have the chance to work to pay council tax, if you give discount it will only make them think they don't need to find work as it would be easier to just claim discount. should pay something toward the household The excess money if any is not fpr this expression. That miney goes to the national treasure and they pay the owed internaiolnal debts which thoer governements realsiee to its or thwier citezens in holdidays abroad . O6her rewards . And the toruist cpumminty more often than not relaise that the inciming tourist are coreecting the no work struct and ship. Exprted woth our deserving teams of wprkers realises another ballance with out which wrtillery weapon is this? No no yes ohhhhhh. Can kill children too delivery it the whole households income should be taken into account, and everything should be done to reduce the levels of support, to encourage people back into paid employment. Excuse my cynicism (perhaps it could be not understanding the proposal clearly enough) but this seems to good to be true. "The negatives are: Although the proposal could increase the cost of the scheme, there are no negatives for people who qualify for the Council Tax Support Scheme." 		2	towards the household expenses and cover the non dep deduction. If the non de				
 5 This is too intrusive, you are trapping people in poverty and not helping them into work. 6 If there is more than 1 adult in a house that are non dependent and of working age, then they do have the chance to work to pay council tax, if you give discount it will only make them think they don't need to find work as it would be easier to just claim discount. 7 should pay something toward the household 8 The excess money if any is not fpr this expression. That miney goes to the national treasure and they pay the owed internaiolnal debts which thoer governements realsiee to its or thwier citezens in holdidays abroad . O6her rewards . And the toruist cpumminty more often than not relaise that the inciming touirist are coreecting the no wprk syndrome that many areas are better suited to. Using green agendas or.policy thoughts that is mite sustainabme and a ship. Exprted woth our deserving teams of wprkers realises another ballance with out which wrtillery weapon is this? No no yes ohhhhhh. Can kill children too delivery it 9 the whole households income should be taken into account, and everything should be done to reduce the levels of support, to encourage people back into paid employment. 10 Excuse my cynicism (perhaps it could be not understanding the proposal clearly enough) but this seems to good to be true. "The negatives are: Although the proposal could increase the cost of the scheme, there are no negatives for people who qualify for the Council Tax Support Scheme." 		3	is working (they could be receiving benefits themselves). If they're working, they				
 6 If there is more than 1 adult in a house that are non dependent and of working age, then they do have the chance to work to pay council tax, if you give discount it will only make them think they don't need to find work as it would be easier to just claim discount. 7 should pay something toward the household 8 The excess money if any is not fpr this expression. That miney goes to the national treasure and they pay the owed internaiolnal debts which thoer governements realsiee to its or thwier citezens in holdidays abroad. O6her rewards . And the toruist cpumminty more often than not relaise that the inciming touirist are coreecting the no wprk syndrome that many areas are better suited to. Using green agendas or policy thoughts that is mite sustainabme and a ship. Exprted woth our deserving teams of wprkers realises another ballance with out which wrtillery weapon is this? No no yes ohhhhhh. Can kill children too delivery it 9 the whole households income should be taken into account, and everything should be done to reduce the levels of support, to encourage people back into paid employment. 10 Excuse my cynicism (perhaps it could be not understanding the proposal clearly enough) but this seems to good to be true. "The negatives are: Although the proposal could increase the cost of the scheme, there are no negatives for people who qualify for the Council Tax Support Scheme." 		4	The same confusion as usual				
 chance to work to pay council tax, if you give discount it will only make them think they don't need to find work as it would be easier to just claim discount. 7 should pay something toward the household 8 The excess money if any is not fpr this expression. That miney goes to the national treasure and they pay the owed internaiolnal debts which thoer governements realsiee to its or thwier citezens in holdidays abroad . O6her rewards . And the toruist cpumminty more often than not relaise that the inciming touirist are coreecting the no wprk syndrome that many areas are better suited to. Using green agendas or.policy thoughts that is mite sustainabme and a ship. Exprted woth our deserving teams of wprkers realises another ballance with out which wrtillery weapon is this? No no yes ohhhhhh. Can kill children too delivery it 9 the whole households income should be taken into account, and everything should be done to reduce the levels of support, to encourage people back into paid employment. 10 Excuse my cynicism (perhaps it could be not understanding the proposal clearly enough) but this seems to good to be true. "The negatives are: Although the proposal could increase the cost of the scheme, there are no negatives for people who qualify for the Council Tax Support Scheme." 		5	This is too intrusive, you are trapping people in poverty and not helping them inter-	o work.			
 8 The excess money if any is not fpr this expression. That miney goes to the national treasure and they pay the owed internaiolnal debts which thoer governements realsiee to its or thwier citezens in holdidays abroad . O6her rewards . And the toruist cpumminty more often than not relaise that the inciming touirist are coreecting the no wprk syndrome that many areas are better suited to. Using green agendas or.policy thoughts that is mite sustainabme and a ship. Exprted woth our deserving teams of wprkers realises another ballance with out which wrtillery weapon is this? No no yes ohhhhhh. Can kill children too delivery it 9 the whole households income should be taken into account, and everything should be done to reduce the levels of support, to encourage people back into paid employment. 10 Excuse my cynicism (perhaps it could be not understanding the proposal clearly enough) but this seems to good to be true. "The negatives are: Although the proposal could increase the cost of the scheme, there are no negatives for people who qualify for the Council Tax Support Scheme." 		6	chance to work to pay council tax, if you give discount it will only make them think they don't need to find				
 the owed internaiolnal debts which thoer governements realsize to its or thwier citezens in holdidays abroad . O6her rewards . And the toruist cpumminty more often than not relaise that the inciming touirist are coreecting the no wprk syndrome that many areas are better suited to. Using green agendas or.policy thoughts that is mite sustainabme and a ship. Exprted woth our deserving teams of wprkers realises another ballance with out which wrtillery weapon is this? No no yes ohhhhhh. Can kill children too delivery it the whole households income should be taken into account, and everything should be done to reduce the levels of support, to encourage people back into paid employment. Excuse my cynicism (perhaps it could be not understanding the proposal clearly enough) but this seems to good to be true. "The negatives are: Although the proposal could increase the cost of the scheme, there are no negatives for people who qualify for the Council Tax Support Scheme." 		7	should pay something toward the household				
 levels of support, to encourage people back into paid employment. Excuse my cynicism (perhaps it could be not understanding the proposal clearly enough) but this seems to good to be true. "The negatives are: Although the proposal could increase the cost of the scheme, there are no negatives for people who qualify for the Council Tax Support Scheme." 		8	the owed internaiolnal debts which thoer governements realsize to its or thwier or abroad . O6her rewards . And the toruist comminity more often than not relaise are coreecting the no wprk syndrome that many areas are better suited to. Using thoughts that is mite sustainabme and a ship. Exprted woth our deserving teams another ballance with out which wrtillery weapon is this? No no yes ohhhhhh. Ca	citezens in hol that the incim g green agenc s of wprkers re	didays ing touirist las or.policy ealises		
to good to be true. "The negatives are: Although the proposal could increase the cost of the scheme, there are no negatives for people who qualify for the Council Tax Support Scheme."				reduce the			
		10	to good to be true. "The negatives are: Although the proposal could increase the cost of the scheme, there are no negatives for people who qualify for the Council Tax Support Scheme."				
answered 10				answered	10		
skipped 154				skipped	154		

5. Part 3 - Disregarding certain elements of Universal Credit

6	6. Do you agree with this proposal?			
A	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total	
1	Yes	81.01%	64	
2	No	10.13%	8	
3	Don't Know	8.86%	7	
		answered	79	
		skipped	85	

An	Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	0	pen-Ended Question	100.00%	6
	1	Yet again more rise in council tax again		
	2 Refer to first point. People who can work should work. All support going to people support (whether genuine or not).			gets lots of
	3	Again, these schemes will trap people into poverty in the long run.		
	4	The alter in azetc society was driven by lets offer the well this cohort for , see what cohirt.? So no evrryone has pains fair dustrubution abd access for all . The poten communuty and if a certain few say above are left strugglibg tge communty bows must. Oft in equality of pain and happiness, empathy the abswers come from modistant.	tial energy to by uts surviva	all in tge Il not by you
	5	People are already getting to much support, and need to learn that they have a d families. Why should hard working families have to continually pay for those who have loads of children? If you cant afford to support you children don't have them. It is not up to the state payers to provide for those who wont help them selves.	are work shy	or decide to
6 "The negatives are: Although the proposal could increase the cost of the scheme, there are no negatives for people where for the Council Tax Support Scheme and are in receipt of Universal Credit." See answer on previous page.			e who qualify	
			answered	6
			skipped	158

6. Part 4 - Where applicants or their partner (if they have one) are working, a standard disregard of up to £25 per week will be applied.

8	. Do you agree with thi	s proposal?	
Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes	76.92%	60

8	8. Do you agree with this proposal?					
2	No		10.26%	8		
3	Don't Know		12.82%	10		
			answered	78		
			skipped	86		

Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total			
1	0	pen-Ended Question	100.00%	7		
	1 If people are working then they pay council tax, we can't subsidise everyone. misunderstood?					
	2	Another excuse to raise the council tax bill				
	3	Take all earnings into account.				
	4	Obvious, I'm not going to be agreeing with anything here.				
	5	These rules if access ca1bt be exolained. And that opens to this cohort and supporting staff, worjers and families affexted who are related abd friendly to to finacial sex ual abuses tske all tge abuse list and these crimes are commited in thus. The i sugn but i dont know is not a rd yo follow. I have less is often tge answer or is. To access rewards cannot be constant or assured. Returement is identical				
	6	People should work to provide for themselves and their dependants, No discounts need to be provided.				
	7	 "The negatives are: Although the proposal could increase the cost of the scheme, there are no negatives for people who qualify for the Council Tax Support Scheme." See answer on previous page. 				
			answered	7		
	skipped 157					

7. Part 5 - Backdating support for longer than the current 1 month limit, where circumstances show that the applicant would have been continuously eligible had they applied at that time.

1	10. Do you agree with this proposal?						
A	nswer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total			
1	Yes		86.84%	66			
2	No		7.89%	6			
3	Don't Know		5.26%	4			
			answered	76			

10. Do you agree with this proposal?

	11. If you disagree please explain why. You can also use this space to let us know if you have any alternative suggestions.						
An	swe	er Choices	Response Percent	Response Total			
1	0	pen-Ended Question	100.00%	6			
	1	STRONGLY AGREE!!!					
	2	Another excuse to raise the council tax					
	3	Where will this money come from Increases from hard working peole.					
	4	If people cannot afford to live somewhere they should seek somewhere more affordable. Everyone complaining about high rents in London don't consider the rents are high because demand is high, they could always live elsewhere since they won't the rents will remain high. All your measures will trap people into poverty.					
	5	The words suggest tgat otger famikies ti dsy wealtgy will not access tge time to help them . Protect. Nothing can be pritected here ssy hunger. Not strvation , the rught to access paqin of a hard dsy at work. To recognise a sexuality. To point out tax avoidabce , terms used that are describung smiking criminals					
	6 to many people already play the system. only pay them from the date of the application if they can prove they are entitled. Better still provide better support to get them working.						
			answered	6			
			skipped	158			

skipped

88

8. Part 6 - Continuing to protect families by disregarding Child Benefit and Child Maintenance

1	12. Do you agree with this proposal?						
A	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total				
1	Yes	84.21%	64				
2	No	11.84%	9				
3	Don't Know	3.95%	3				
		answered	76				
		skipped	88				

	Response Re Percent	esponse Total
--	------------------------	------------------

13. If you disagree please explain why. You can also use this space to let us know if	
you have any alternative suggestions.	

1	0	pen-Ended Question	100.00%	8			
	1	Child maintenance payments can vary, especially when arranged privately between parents - there may be a few cases where these payments may be large but due to the single parent having a low income, they may/would still be entitled to Council Tax benefits - is there a way to check the child maintenance payments are fair and reasonable ?					
	2	I think child maintenance should be taken into account where the maintenance paid is over a certain amount, say £50pw					
	3	Another excuse for the council tax to raise					
	4	It encourages them to have more children.					
	5	"Continuing to protect families by disregarding Child Benefit and Child Maintenance" you release people will just game the system right?					
	6	I don't believe that not counting child maintenance as income is appropriate, as child maintenance IS income and should be considered as such.					
	7	Again weathky families are tgen perceived as a template fir a siciety to be a familiy this reward tgat resource thus time assures success. It is as is gravuty the oppdosite remaing in wealtg is no , i recall the huge paun on an operatibg table. Paying all taxes fue and wirking with hmrc to pay unpaud taxes saay fir ne a wirk time is an answer. The daataa on better heakth . Walking safely in our communty. Of course the rd to unballabces is lobg as is ibstant rds nit possible . But for highky rewarded and badky rewarded communities rds sre taken moderation and simetimes deserts of nothing to tge keader often over weught or adduct ni cannabus or alcholic no drnjs no access to illness a heavens reward. Nothung . Thebaccess to im.hungry and families dinner whike many options of time . Not cinstant but as the pritextion is not posdible by time, its your turn to guard and correct . I musy shiwer chinzano bianco. Glinttz nit realky any beed for the hearty fure 50000 feet in tge sky, the staff skeeping im showerung gifts of space					
	8	They chose to have children that benefit should not be disregarded as part of their income. Whey would the council increase costs when it is already cutting other services to hard working families?					
			answered	8			
skipped 1				156			

9. Part 7 - Protecting War Pensioners by continuing to disregard War Pensions or War Disablement pensions in full

1	14. Do you agree with this proposal?						
A	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total				
1	Yes	93.42%	71				
2	No	2.63%	2				
3	Don't know	3.95%	3				
		answered	76				
		skipped	88				

An	swe	er Choices	Response Percent	Response Total		
1	0	pen-Ended Question	100.00%	5		
	1	Another excuse to raise the council tax bill				
	2	Theses are people who have worked hard andikely for all their lives, given their liss totally deserved. Their pensions are likely to be less than a family on benefits.		I the country		
	3	I lost hpnour and valour by the retun if my beed to eat. It cant be done removing to the outsude the answers fir improverisged humanity. Ie war is not an option that is your wrapins tgesse are the reasibs you ssid, vited to war yee fue by that or tge nexcernary atrive by jet ftom who is the war to be gought against., sur salutes. We will war here. Point if consimption, super.				
		raids most Thursdays to arrest offenders. Do much for the military covenant. Still				
5 These people have represented their country and deserve proper support.						
			answered	5		
			skipped	159		

10. Part 8 - Maintaining the current capital limit of £6,000

1	16. Do you agree with this proposal?						
A	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total				
1	Yes	82.89%	63				
2	No	11.84%	9				
3	Don't know	5.26%	4				
		answered	76				
		skipped	88				

An	Answer Choices			Response Total	
1	1 Open-Ended Question		100.00%	9	
	1	Why should those that had made the effort to save for any future issues be penal	ised.		
	 The capital should be reduced Another excuse to raise the council tax bill 				
4 £6000 is a very low figure if you look at how much a funeral now costs or cre person dies that have to be paid off regarding rent, utility bills, that they leave					

	17. If you disagree please explain why. You can also use this space to let us know if you have any alternative suggestions.						
	5	Unless the money is going towards are deposit, but even then, who are the gover how a private individual should use their savings. I find all this giving away money getting benefits) ultimately are paying for wrong.					
	6	Thé £6,000 limit has been in place for many years. In light of the recent hike in the cost of goods and services across the board I feel this limit should be increased to at least £10,000.					
	7	Allow Applicants to have savings above £6000 but reduce the reduction in Council Tax for savings above by £1 for every £500 above £6000					
	8	Dont stress, perceived danger is propaganda. The feedback is better . It is impossible to remain fat and be happy,. Hunger for longer. Water investnent or outcome assures this outcome abd sexy been a essential. No one will starve , listen your honour i knocked on ger door she abswered stoid i She is alieve and well. Perhaps healthy emotions not unhealthy funance to ignore a person , coventry , o mad. Mufgt answer throw money at it. The mirror can or if you truthfully ask not lie	or too dirty or I	-			
9 Reduce the amount of savings to lessen the burden on all of the other hard working people.							
			answered	9			
			skipped	155			

11. Part 9 - Removing the Extended Payment provision.

1	18. Do you agree with this proposal?						
A	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total				
1	Yes	72.37%	55				
2	No	13.16%	10				
3	Don't know	14.47%	11				
		answered	76				
		skipped	88				

An	swe	er Choices	Response Percent	Response Total
1	1 Open-Ended Question		100.00%	9
	1	The transition between benefits to receiving a wage is often difficult and at a time debt. If anything, you should be have the extended payment provision for UC clai benefits. Yes it will cost the scheme more but will prevent people getting into deb people feel that they aren't benefited from working and were better off on benefits work and start claiming again.	mants as well t at a crucial ti	as legacy me. If
	2	Helping people who can't manage their money well		
	3	Should be as soon as they have an income.		

4 More poverty traps.			
5 Even with the roll over or extended 4 week payment, it can be a very stressful time go work, where because you waiting for first pay check it makes all bills late, ie your rent living. To remove this extended payment period would cause a lot of mental health dis affect children in low income families and we don't want to push parents or single pare only one way out of this situation and children losing parents to suicide.	normal da stress that	ay to day would	
. It is the ability to stop see and respond to issues by she is un troubke i have miney of not him. Th3 4esoyrces ar3 there so we must relook at how we see resource and what			
7 because they may be didnot get the letter it should make an appoitment for every pers have fully understand the process	son so he	/she will	
8 reduce the burden on the taxpayer. encourage more people to work.	reduce the burden on the taxpayer. encourage more people to work.		
9 This looks complicated but perhaps it's the change from legacy benefits to Universal Credit that is problem. What are the expected numbers of those who may lose out?			
ans	swered	9	
sk	kipped	155	

12. Part 9 - Providing a Transitional Protection Scheme for those applicants who may lose support or who may have a reduced award due to the change

2	20. Do you agree with this proposal?						
A	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total				
1	Yes	81.33%	61				
2	No	5.33%	4				
3	Don't know	13.33%	10				
		answered	75				
		skipped	89				

An	Answer Choices F		Response Total
1	1 Open-Ended Question		5
	1 Another excuse to raise the council tax bill		
	2 Why?		

	3 Again, this is not promoting independence, it's fostering dependency on the state and higher taxation the leads to more borrowing, less productivity. Stop sheilding people from the realities of life.			xation that
	4	No one who needs help with their council tax now should have the rug pulled from newly proposed scheme, so transitional help of this kind will only be a temporary them struggling when the support ends.		
5 There should be no increase in costs. the council is already pleadings poverty, reducing service forcing household to pay for garden waste services. The garden waste payments could be reduced by reducing benefit costs.				
			answered	5
			skipped	159

13. Your comments and suggestions on the proposed Council Tax Support Scheme

	22. Please use this space to make any other comments on the proposed scheme or any alternative suggestions you have.					
Answer Choices Response Percent Tot						
1	Op	pen-Ended Question	100.00%	15		
	1	Good luck with this. These are sensible proposals that will benefit those most in need and reduce some of the waste administration.				
	2	Simpler to understand for those in financial strife, which in turn causes stress, sounds good to me. Easier to administrate makes perfect sense.				
	3	Please make this survey a bit more customer friendly, I have not found it very easy to understand everything				
	4	Being DeafBlind I have found the Council website very challenging to navigate				
	5	Confusing				
	6	Elderly single people should have more than 25% discount.				
		More aggressive collection of unpaid Council tax would reduce shortfalls.				
	7	Any scheme that reduces complications and the cliff-edge loss of benefits when real progress in our understanding of the difficulties suffered by those who benefinequalities of our Capitalist Economy				
	8	'Encourages work" no it does not. "less complicated to manage." no it won't	t be.			
	9	While reading your survey I noticed that I am due extra help with council tax due benefits (tax credits) and I have a child on DLA, it would be helpful for us working disabled child if you make this fact more easier to find, as we are just left to find any help as DLA doesn't deal with other benefit offices and can not advise us on get.	g single parer out for oursel	its with a ves without		
	10	Council needs to help those in need who need shelter and reduce payments who set up an affordable direct debit. Those people who also work they shouldn't hav of council tax as it's hard either way with a job and paying for other priority bills. reduced to.	/e to pay a he	fty amount		
	11	No use access to truths about thuer capabulities need fir foids , weight rught wei Walking 40.miles is the reward	ggt fir height.			

22. Please use this space to make any other comments on the proposed scheme or any alternative suggestions you have.

	Laughing. The reason i am .					
12	Tax can be misleading in many ways and people are NOT ALWAYS aware that	It is a good move to allow backdating in certain circumstances as the link between Benefits and Council Tax can be misleading in many ways and people are NOT ALWAYS aware that if a Benefit changes i.e. ESA to UC that they need to inform you as they already may have a discounted CTC entitlement				
13	It should be capped at a much lower rate to encourage people into paid employment.					
14 If the scheme is indeed " easier for people to understand and less complicated to manage" at so point it will be better for all if the scheme is made less complicated every year. Universal Credit we supposed to simplify the Benefits system but seems to have caused knock on effects for all other Benefits. Was it integrated properly or was it difficult to integrate? Is it any wonder there are huge amounts of funds not claimed by those who need it? Benefits hav seemed designed to make claimants give up in exasperation. It seems - despite your noble efforts this fact has not changed.			it was her have always			
15	15 Is there any support/tax reduction for a person of working age living with a second adult(e.g older parer who claims no benefits and has no income either?					
		answered	15			
		skipped	149			

14. About you

2	23. Are you completing this form on behalf of an organisation or group?						
A	Answer Choices			Response Total			
1	Yes		4.23%	3			
2	No		95.77%	68			
			answered	71			
			skipped	93			

If yes, please tell us the name of the organisation/group and add any other comments you wish to make.

Ar	ารพร	er Choices	Response Percent	Response Total
1	1 Open-Ended Question		100.00%	2
	1	Colchester Borough Homes		
	2	For myself		
			answered	2
			skipped	162

15. Questions for Individuals

2	24. Do you live in the Colchester area?				
A	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total		
1	Yes	94.12%	64		
2	No	5.88%	4		
		answered	68		
		skipped	96		

2	25. Do you pay Council Tax?					
A	nswer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total		
1	Yes		95.59%	65		
2	No		4.41%	3		
		a	answered	68		
			skipped	96		

2	26. Are you currently receiving Council Tax Support?						
Α	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total				
1	Yes	29.41%	20				
2	No	70.59%	48				
		answered	68				
		skipped	96				

27. How would you describe your gender?				
Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total	
1	I prefer not to say		4.48%	3
2	Female		70.15%	47
3	Male		23.88%	16
4	l describe myself in another way		1.49%	1
			answered	67
			skipped	97

28. Which of the following age ranges do you fall into?

Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Prefer not to say	2.94%	2
2	16-24	4.41%	3
3	25-34	7.35%	5
4	35-44	25.00%	17
5	45-59	38.24%	26
6	60-74	17.65%	12
7	75 or over	0.00%	0
8	75-84	4.41%	3
9	85+	0.00%	0
		answered	68
		skipped	96

29. Which of the following best describes your ethnic group?

Ar	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total
1	Prefer not to say	13.43%	9
2	Arab	1.49%	1
3	Asian or Asian British: Indian	0.00%	0
4	Asian or Asian British: Pakistani	0.00%	0
5	Asian or Asian British: Bangladeshi	1.49%	1
6	Asian or Asian British: Chinese	0.00%	0
7	Any other Asian Background	0.00%	0
8	Black or Black British: Caribbean	1.49%	1
9	Black or Black British: African	0.00%	0
10	Any other Black Background	0.00%	0
11	Mixed Heritage: White and Black Caribbean	0.00%	0
12	Mixed Heritage: White and Black African	0.00%	0

29. Which of the following best describes your ethnic group? Mixed Heritage: White 13 0.00% 0 and Asian Any other Mixed 14 1.49% 1 Background White British 74.63% 15 50 16 White Irish 0.00% 0 Any other White 17 7.46% 5 Background answered 67 skipped 97

Response Response **Answer Choices** Percent Total 1 Prefer not to say 18.18% 12 2 Buddhist 1 1.52% Christian (includes Church of England, 3 Catholic, Protestant and 45.45% 30 all other Christian Denominations) Sikh 4 0.00% 0 5 Jewish 0.00% 0 6 Muslim 1.52% 1 7 Hindu 0.00% 0 8 Other 4.55% 3 9 No religion 30.30% 20 answered 66 skipped 98

31. Which of the following best describes your sexual orientation?

30. What is your religion/belief?

Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Prefer not to say	12.12%	8
2	Bisexual	0.00%	0
3	Gay or lesbian	0.00%	0
4	Straight/Heterosexual	84.85%	56

3	31. Which of the following best describes your sexual orientation?					
5	Other		3.03%	2		
			answered	66		
			skipped	98		